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In the year 2000 when Member States took stock of their performance in providing education, they were reminded by UNESCO that the Education for All (EFA) agenda had to be re-ignited with a new date set for its attainment. The Government of Nigeria have since then gone all out to meet the renewed target of 2015. As a result, policies have been adjusted and in some cases totally reformed, and programmes have been embedded in the already filled creatively and locally-relevant activities that the education sector had embarked upon. This review opportunity, offered by UNESCO to take stock of all of these activities from 2000-2014 is one that the Government of Nigeria welcome. The reason is simple: it has enabled the Government to look back, take note of the impacts, and fall-outs from a number of policies, as well as the reform initiatives that have been put in place.

The multifaceted nature of the EFA process has made it imperative that a multi-pronged approach is adopted. This means that other institutions within and outside the education sector have roles to play in the process. In the preparation of this report therefore, all MDAs connected with the EFA delivery process within education have been involved. Programmes offered outside the education sectors which are also targeted at delivering mass education both at the formal and non–formal sector have been collated as well.

Findings have shown brave steps taken and milestones reached on all of the EFA goals. Firstly, policies have been reviewed to capture the recognition of early child care development and education (ECCDE) as the most fundamental investment in basic education. This has led to expanding the basic education cycle to include one more year to accommodate the compulsory participation of children of 0 - 5 years in formal primary schools through the linkage created. This bold step is to recognize the inability of most parents to afford care at that level, thereby bringing ECCDE into the EFA process.

Secondly, the determination to sensitise and strengthen delivery processes by training teachers professionally to enhance access has been carried out by relevant agencies.

Thirdly, the vocationalisation of national curriculum is another bold step taken to recognize the importance of EFA Goals 3 which has been difficult for the country and other Member States to interpret and implement.

Enrolment at primary and junior secondary levels has greatly increased. However, transition and completion rates have remained below 70% and this is still a thorny issue requiring greater attention which is being aggressively addressed through the special intervention of the Federal Government in the establishment of Almajiri schools nationwide.

It must be noted that International Development Partners (IDPs) have been strong allies in education delivery in Nigeria. The direction of thinking and support that the country will
require in post-2015 have been shown and it is envisaged that the country will continue to enjoy the support of IDPs in the future focus on learning outcomes and activities that will lead to achieving the EFA goals.

This report is arranged in sections that capture each EFA goal and ends with a section on Way Forward that points out the steps that we need to take to re-ignite delivery for the entire Basic Education process while focusing on the area where gaps have been identified. We call on all States Ministries of Education (MoEs) to take this review seriously as education remains on the concurrent legislative list and the bulk of responsibilities for its efficient delivery still majorly lie with States and their local governments. We invite all to be committed to a renewed impetus to EFA.

Finally, we want to recognize UNESCO for initiating this review and other actions that have guided the global community on education delivery. On this note, I am pleased to present this report to the public.

Dr. Mallam Ibrahim Shekarau
Honourable Minister of Education
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The National Policy on Education (NPE) first published in 1977 and revised in 1981, 1995 and 1998, 2004 and 2006, provides for a 6-3-3-4 structure for the education sector. This translates into six years of primary schooling, three years of junior secondary, three years of senior secondary and four years of tertiary education. In a further review in 2013, an additional one year was included to make for the formal inclusion of pre-primary education into primary education. The subsequent first ten years are therefore treated as a continuum of 10-year basic schooling which is offered in a seamless manner. The basic education structure includes adult and non-formal education programmes, Almajiri education programmes and education for out-of-school children and youths. In Nigeria, education falls under the items on the concurrent legislative listing meaning that both Federal and State governments can pass laws on it. However, basic and secondary education as well as adult and non-formal education is managed by States and Local Government Areas.

One of the very serious challenges in the way of documenting the progress achieved towards the EFA goals within the Nigerian context is the paucity, and in some cases, the complete absence of data required for such an exercise. The 2002 Global Monitoring Report (GMR) documented that Nigeria was one of the countries in Sub-Saharan Africa without data on Universal Primary Education (UPE). The Report shows that Nigeria had no data on Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER), and Net Enrolment Ratio (NER), and that the country is one of the 11 African countries at serious risk of not achieving the goal. The exercise to analyse progress towards the goal of universal primary education (UPE) has been based on country net enrolment ratios, and these countries had NERs less than 80%.

The UBE programme is an expression of the desire of the Government of Nigeria to fight poverty and reinforce participatory democracy by raising the level of awareness and general education of the entire citizenry. The UBEC Law which was subsequently enacted in 2004 stipulates that “Every Government in Nigeria shall provide free, compulsory and universal basic education for every child of primary and junior secondary age” (UBE Act 2004). Accordingly “Federal Government’s intervention under this Act shall only be assistance to the States and
Local Governments in Nigeria for the purpose of uniform and qualitative basic education throughout Nigeria”

The high level launch of the EFA campaign in 1999 injected a ripple of activities into the EFA environment giving it the impetus to identify and mobilize its stakeholders and review implementation strategies. There are institutions charged with the primary responsibility of delivering on Nigeria’s EFA commitment. The institutions are the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC), the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE) and the National Commission for Mass Literacy, Adult and Non-formal Education (NMEC). There are other institutions whose services are also connected with the successful delivery of the EFA. These include the National Teachers’ Institute (NTI), Nigerian Education and Research Development Council (NERDC), the National Commission for Colleges of Education (NCCE) and the Teachers’ Registration Council of Nigeria (TRCN).

**Early Childhood Care and Development Education (ECCDE)**

There has been an appreciable increase in the number of ECCDE centres established to provide solid foundation as well as smooth transition from pre-primary schools to primary schools. In 2009, the number of public ECCDE centres across the country was 20,698 (35% of 58,595 total primary schools). This has increased significantly to 30,901 in 2013 (50% of 61,305 total primary schools). The increase has impacted positively on the number of pupils enrolled into the ECCDE programmes.

Most of the set targets are yet to be met. However, quality remains an issue that Government has begun to focus attention on through mounting specialised programmes to professionalise teaching at this level and formally including a one-year programme in the initial six years that the primary education component of basic education was. Some of the challenges at this level include:

i. Access to ECCE and pre-primary is low due to poor government funding and relative high cost of private provision;

ii. Linkage in the public sector between ECCDE and primary education is inadequate;

iii. There is inadequate data on enrolment in ECCDE centres/pre-primary schools;
iv. There is dearth of learning and instructional materials due to high cost of imported ECCE materials and absence of a vibrant local fabrication industry;

v. There are inadequate numbers of qualified ECCE teachers/caregivers and most lack the specialized methodologies and skills needed for work with young children;

vi. None or irregular monitoring of the ECCDE centres;

vii. Publicly-owned institutions are yet to dispense ECCE for children with special needs;

viii. Lack of synergy among the line Ministries and Agencies involved in the implementation of the ECD Policy;

ix. Poverty – Most parents cannot afford to send their children to ECCDE centres;

x. Low level of awareness of the policy on ECCDE among ECCDE policy/decision makers and practitioners;

xi. Inadequate sensitization/advocacy on ECCDE;

xii. Most public schools are yet to comply with UBEC directive of establishing ECCDE in their schools; and

xiii. Poor commitment on the part of the States to access UBEC intervention fund.

Universalization of primary education

To demonstrate the commitment of Government towards the delivery of EFA in Nigeria, an EFA Unit was created within the office of the Minister of Education and a National Coordinator was appointed in February 2002. The Unit was given the mandate to coordinate the activities of the National EFA Forum, facilitate the preparation of a fully participatory National Action Plan (NAP) for EFA, and to ensure institutional linkages with all stakeholders in the EFA enterprise within and outside Nigeria. The creation of this Unit marked the beginning of the process of coordination, planning, and assessment of EFA.

Access to basic education has improved. Between 2009 and 2013, the number of primary schools in Nigeria rose from 58,595 to 61,305, an increase of 5 per cent. At the Junior Secondary School (JSS) level, the number of schools increased from 10,410 in 2009 to 11,874 in 2013. Although progress has been made in basic education provision at primary and junior secondary levels, much more remains to be done, both in quantity and quality. The quality of education given at
basic education level is still adjudged below standard as evidenced in the products of this level (SER, 2013).

i. Participation in primary education is still low in comparison with primary school age population, with enrolment being particularly problematic, especially in some of the Northern States.

ii. The high quality of the national school curriculum is undermined by the generally low quality of teachers to implement it, translating into low levels of learning achievement.

iii. Infrastructure and furniture are inadequate and in dilapidated states; sanitary facilities and toilets are inadequate.

iv. The system of collecting comprehensive, relevant data for planning is weak.

v. There are social and cultural barriers that are hindrances to female participation.

vi. Lack of enforcement of the UBE Act, 2004 on enrollment and retention.

vii. Non-accessing of FGN intervention funds by some SUBEBS.

viii. Child labour.

ix. Poverty.

x. Insecurity issues, especially in parts of the Northern States.

Meeting the learning needs of youths and adults

Government has responded to the challenge of meeting the learning needs of youth and adults by vocationalizing the new senior secondary school curriculum which became effective in the 2011/2012 academic session. Challenges include the need to embark on programmes that will:

i. Enhance the image and visibility of TVET and the rating of skills;

ii. Establish strong recognition and standards;

iii. Strengthen certification through the National Vocational Qualifications Framework;

iv. Continued vocationalisation of all levels of education;

v. Enhanced funding; and

vi. Enlisting the support of the private sector.

Improving adult literacy

Institutional frameworks for delivering literacy have been strengthened considerably. Partnerships have also increased in the provision of non-formal education. However, some of those challenges confronting Nigeria are discussed below.
i. Payment of facilitators – Despite spirited attempts to set mobilized funds for the payment of facilitators, this challenge has remained intractable. As long as this challenge is not met, it will remain difficult, indeed, impossible to operationalise literacy classes at the community level;

ii. Scaling up literacy programmes is also a challenge as oftentimes projects stop at the pilot even when such pilots have been successful; and

iii. Data collection, storage and usage in monitoring literacy delivery and participation is another area of great challenge.

**Gender parity and equality**
Excellent milestones have been recorded in this goal. These include education opportunities that have been purposively created to enhance the participation of girls and women in education. However, these achievements notwithstanding, a number of challenges need to be addressed. These include:

i. Non-adoption of the Child Right Act in some Northern States affects the enrolment of girls in school and their rights to basic education.

ii. Inadequate learning materials and infrastructure in Nigerian schools to promote girl friendly school environment.

iii. Inadequate number of qualified teachers especially female teachers who serve as role models to girls in communities.

iv. Lack of political will and sustainability of educational policies which largely affect implementation.

v. Poverty of families who cannot afford to send their wards to school especially girls who serve as income generators for their respective families greatly affects enrolment and retention in schools.

vi. Recent trend in insecurity in some parts of Nigeria which threaten to derail the progress so far made in the education of girls, especially in the North East.

**Quality for education**
The number of qualified teachers multiplied more than six times from 2010 to 2011 with planned gradual projections for 2012 and 2013. The implication of the increase between 2010 and 2011 was the reduction of the teacher-pupil ratio from 1:42 to 1:25 as provided for by NPE.
However, the 2013 State of Education Report, describes teacher quantities as problematic with teacher gaps for attaining the EFA goals placed at several thousands. While some States like Bauchi, Benue, Gombe, and Plateau recorded increase in the number of teachers employed in primary schools between 2010/2011 and 2011/2012, others such as Delta, Imo, Jigawa, and Yobe experienced a decline in number of teachers within the period. In the same period at the junior secondary level, more additional teachers were recorded.

Teacher requirements for fundamental subjects showed a big gap in almost all areas. The number of primary school teachers required in fundamental subjects (English, Mathematics, and Language of the Immediate Environment) was by far more than the available teachers in most of the States.

**Way forward**

The Government of Nigeria is already focusing on the critical areas outlined in the section which are being embedded into new initiatives being introduced in the UBE programme. It is expected that policy focus on learning outcomes in order to address the gaps observed in education provision. Towards this end, early childhood care and education is receiving adequate attention in recognition of the head start it provides in overall learning. It is also expected that skills will dominate the post 2015 agenda for Nigeria to build on the extensive introduction of skills into the secondary education curriculum while adequate attention would be required to enhance transition and completion rates, as well as quality.
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<td>Non-Governmental Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMEC</td>
<td>National Mass Education Commission</td>
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Section 1: Introduction

1. Description of Country’s Context and Commitment to EFA

Nigeria is located in the West Africa sub-region and shares land borders with the Republic of Benin to the west, Chad and Cameroun to the east, and Niger to the north. Nigeria had a population of 162,470,737 in 2011. It is estimated that 42.3% of this population is aged between 0 - 14 and about 54.6% aged 15 and above. Nigeria has a higher birth than death rate, the percentage of both are placed at 40.4 and 16.9 respectively. Nigeria has more than 250 ethnic groups and over 500 languages and dialects.

Nigeria is a federation of 36 States and a Federal Capital Territory, Abuja. The States form the second tier of government and are further sub-divided into 774 Local Government Areas (LGAs) which form the third tier of government. An estimated 48% of the population is urbanized and 52% live in rural areas; while close to 60% of the population work in agriculture. Nigeria is the 12th largest producer of petroleum in the world and the 8th largest exporter with the 10th largest proven reserves. With the rebasing in 2014, Nigeria is ranked the largest economy in Africa and the West Africa sub-region. The country is ranked by the World Bank as at 2011 as the 31st in the world in terms of GDP and its oil reserve led to its being listed among the ‘Next Eleven’ economies (USSR 2013).

2. The Nigeria Education System

into six years of primary schooling, three years of junior secondary, three years of senior secondary and four years of tertiary education. In a further review in 2013, an additional one year was included to make for the formal inclusion of pre-primary education into primary education. The subsequent first ten years are therefore treated as a continuum of 10-year basic schooling which is offered in a seamless manner. This is the basis for the Universal Basic Education (UBE) programme. As noted in the report of the Presidential Task Team on Education (PTTE) led by a retired UNESCO Regional Director, Professor Pai Obanya, the revisions in the policy over time were made in response to trends at the global and pan-African levels (EFA-Education for All, MDGs-Millennium Development Goals, African Education Decades etc.) and also in response to evolutions in national development goals (VISION 2010, NEEDS, VISION 20-20-20, in particular). The Policy has been subjected to revisions on a regular basis. The 6-3-3-4 structure is in itself an attempt to align with global and pan-African trends that aim at compulsory education up to the end of junior secondary schooling (PTTE, 2011: 15).

The basic education structure includes adult and non-formal education programmes, Almajiri education programmes and education for out-of-school children and youths. These are tucked into the various levels presented in the formal education system of Nigeria in Fig 1.2

*Fig 1.2: Formal Education System in Nigeria*
In Nigeria, education falls under the items on the concurrent legislative listing meaning that both federal and state governments can pass laws on it. However, basic and secondary education as well as adult and non-formal education is managed by states and local government areas. The federal government however, owns and manages 104 Unity Colleges which serve the purpose of its name and as a model for states to emulate.

The National Council on Education (NCE) chaired by the Honourable Minister of Education is the highest decision making body in education. The Council is made up of all State Commissioners of Education and approves all decisions in education.

3. Nigeria’s Early Starts In Basic Education

One of the very serious challenges in the way of documenting the progress achieved towards the EFA Goal(s) within the Nigerian context is the paucity, and in some cases, the complete absence of data required for such an exercise. The 2002 Global Monitoring Report (GMR) shows that Nigeria was one of the countries in Sub-Saharan Africa without data on Universal Primary Education (UPE). The Report shows that Nigeria had no data on Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER), and Net Enrolment Ratio (NER), and that the country is one of 11 African countries at serious risk of not achieving the goal. The exercise to analyse progress towards the goal of universal primary education (UPE) has been based on country net enrolment ratios, and these countries had NERs less than 80%.

4. History Of Universal Primary Education In Nigeria

In Nigeria, even colonial Nigeria, successive governments have recognized the importance of primary education as the foundation of the education system. Attempts at universalizing primary education in Nigeria date back to the 1950s when the Macpherson Constitution of 1951 made education a regional matter. Each of the regions-Eastern Region, Western Region, and Northern Region- henceforth was free to enact laws and make polices on education. In 1952, the Minister of Education, Western Region, Hon. S.O. Awokoya, in a sessional paper, announced a policy of “expansion and reorientation” (Oni,2008). The policy of expansion, a six-year free primary education program which was designed to make education free and compulsory, was to start in 1955. On January 17, 1955, the Government of Western Region launched the six-year free primary education scheme with 392,859 children in 6274 schools participating.
In 1953 the Eastern Regional Government announced its intention to introduce free universal four-year junior primary education covering Infant 1, Infant 2, Standard 1 and Standard 2. The government later changed it to an eight-year free primary education programme. The scheme which was launched in 1957 failed in just one year after it started because of lack of proper planning. The government had no other option than to change to free, universal primary education for the first two years. Pupil enrolment which was 904,235 in 1956 went up to 1,209,167 in 1957. Lagos, a federal territory, started universal primary education in 1957 with 50,182 pupils in 96 schools participating. The Northern Regional Government did not initiate a commensurate programme of universal primary education.

Sixteen years after independence, in September 1976, the then General Olusegun Obasanjo, Head of State and Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces, launched the Universal Primary Education(UPE) scheme, at Oke Suna Primary School, Lagos. With effect from that date, primary education was to become not only free, but in addition, universal in all the then 19 States of the federation. The scheme was to become compulsory in 1979. Two million, three hundred thousand (2,300,000) children were projected to be enrolled in primary 1. Projection of additional teaching staff and classrooms was put at 59,500 and 36,000 respectively.

In 1989, to further ensure that the UPE is accessed by the hard- to-reach nomadic populations, the then Military President, General Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida established an education programme for nomads by Decree 41 of 1989 now Act N20 LFN. The provision of the programme led to the establishment of the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE) to implement the programme in the country.

Another attempt at universalizing primary education was made when on Thursday, September 30, 1999, Nigeria’s former civilian president, Chief Olusegun Obasanjo, launched the Universal Basic Education (UBE) programme at Shehu Kangiwa Square, Sokoto, Sokoto State. The scheme comprised six-year primary education for all children of school age, and three years of junior secondary education.
Unfortunately, these attempts at universalizing primary education in Nigeria have not yielded the expected results. As Oni (2008) puts it, “…there has been no time that all children of school age planned for have been in school and benefitted from primary education”. Oni argues that the failure of the UPE of 1976 was one of the reasons for the introduction of the UBE.

Between 1992 and 2000, government had intensified efforts to increase access to schooling. In 1992, the gross primary school intake in Nigeria for both boys and girls was 14,805,937 (Oni, 2008). The number rose to 16,190,947 in 1995 but dropped to 14,078,474 in 1996. By 1998, it picked up again to 17,305,991 and rose to 22,143,090 in 2000.

5. Nigeria’s Commitment to EFA

The UBE programme is an expression of the desire of the Government of Nigeria to fight poverty and reinforce participatory democracy by raising the level of awareness and general education of the entire citizenry. The programme affirms Nigeria’s response and commitment to the Jomtien Declaration (1990) as well as the Delhi Declaration (1993) which requires stringent efforts by the E9 countries\(^1\) to drastically reduce illiteracy within the shortest possible time frame; the Durban Statement of Commitment (1998) and the OAU Decade of Education in Africa (1997 – 2006) requiring African states to generalize access to quality basic education as a foundation stone for sustainable socio-economic development of the continent.\(^2\) The UBE programme was launched in September 1999 in Sokoto, ahead of the Dakar Summit and it represents the country’s strategy and most viable opportunity for achieving Education for All (EFA) (Okoro, 2009) The first batch of primary one pupils for the programme was enrolled nation-wide in the 2000/2001 academic session.

The UBEC Law which was subsequently enacted in 2004 stipulates that “Every Government in Nigeria shall provide free, compulsory and universal basic education for every child of primary and junior secondary age” (UBE Act 2004). Accordingly “Federal Government’s intervention under this Act shall only be an assistance to the States and Local Governments in Nigeria for the purpose of uniform and qualitative basic education throughout Nigeria”

---

\(^1\) E9 Countries are the nine most populous countries in the world with the highest number of illiterate population.

i. **Objectives of the UBE Programme**

The objectives of the UBE programme are to:

- develop in the entire citizenry a strong consciousness for education and a strong commitment to its vigorous promotion;  
- provide free, universal basic education for every Nigerian child of school-going age;  
- reduce drastically the incidence of drop-out from the formal school system (through improved relevance, quality and efficiency);  
- cater for the learning needs of young persons who, for one reason or another, have had to interrupt their schooling, through appropriate forms of complementary approaches to the provision and promotion of basic education;  
- Ensure the acquisition of appropriate levels of literacy, numeracy, manipulative, communicative and life skills, as well as the ethical, moral, and civic values needed for laying a solid foundation for lifelong learning.

ii. **Scope of the Universal Basic Education Programme**

The scope of the UBE programme communicates the expanded vision of basic education and includes:

- Programmes and initiatives for early childhood care, education and development;  
- Programmes and initiatives for the acquisition of functional literacy, numeracy and life skills, especially for adults (persons aged 15 and above);  
- Out-of-school, non-formal programmes for the updating of knowledge and skills for persons who left school before acquiring the basics needed for lifelong learning;  
- Special programmes of encouragement to all marginalized groups: girls and women, nomadic populations, out-of-school youth and the almajiris;  
- Non-formal skills and apprenticeship training for adolescents and youth, who have not had the benefit of formal education;  
- The formal school system from the beginning of primary education to the end of the junior secondary school (Pai Obanya, 2009).
iii. **The Universal Basic Education Policy**

The UBE Act and the National Policy on Education (revised 2004) provide the legal and policy framework for the implementation of the UBE programme. Some of the policy initiatives in place as a result of the law are:

- It compels every Government in Nigeria to provide compulsory, free, universal basic education for every child of primary and junior secondary school age.
- Services in public primary and junior secondary schools are free of charge. Penalties are prescribed for persons who charge or receive fees in respect of primary and junior secondary education in public schools.
- Parents have a duty to ensure the education of their children by enrolling them as well as making sure they complete the basic education cycle. Penalties are prescribed for non-compliance.
- Transition from primary to junior secondary (JSS) shall be automatic, as basic education terminates at the JSS level. Emphasis is placed on effective continuous assessment while final examination and certification will be at the end of nine-year basic education programme.
- The secondary education system is structured in such a way that the JSS component is disarticulated from the Senior Secondary (SSS).
- Notwithstanding the statutory responsibility of states and local governments to provide primary and secondary education the Act provides for Federal Government’s intervention in basic education as assistance to the States and Local Governments for the purpose of maintaining uniform and qualitative basic education throughout Nigeria.
- Provision is made to finance the Universal Basic Education programme from:
  - Federal Government block grant of not less than 2% of its Consolidated Revenue Fund;
  - Funds or contributions in form of Federal guaranteed credits; and
  - Local and international donor grants.

iv. **UBE Intervention Fund**

Nigeria further committed to implementing the elaborate programme that the UBE is by creating the UBE Intervention Fund, as it is called. The UBE intervention fund is in two parts: the
Fig 1.3: Allocation of UBE intervention Funds

2% consolidated Revenue fund

UBEC INTERVENTION

50%: Infrastructure/Matching Grants to States

10%: Teacher Professional Development

2%: Special Need Education

5%: Grants for good performance

14%: Educational Imbalance

15%: Provision of Instructional Materials

2%: UBE Programme Monitoring

2%: UBE Implementation

50%: Distributed equally among States

50%: Distributed to Disadvantaged States
intervention fund and the matching grant. Regarded as potentially and significantly important, the fund is fed through a 2% charge on Federal Government revenue. Its use is restricted to broadening access, improving quality, and ensuring equity in basic education, but not for payment of teachers’ salaries and overhead costs. The intervention fund is divided equally between the 36 states and Federal Capital Territory (FCT) regardless of population, educational development, poverty levels or overall commitment to universal basic education.

The second part of the fund is known as the matching grant, and constitutes 50% of the 2% Consolidated Revenue Fund (CRF). This fund can only be accessed under certain conditions by States and FCT, Abuja. Of particular interest is that equal counterpart funding from the state government is required to access the matching-grant component of the federal money.

To ensure a fair spread and effective utilisation of the fund to all levels and components of basic education, the Federal Executive Council approved a sharing formula for the 2% Consolidated Revenue Fund (January, 2005). This is graphically illustrated in figure 1.3.

To enhance synergy and transparency in implementation, the UBE Law stipulates that before any State Universal Basic Education Board (SUBEB) embarks on any of the UBE projects, both Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) and SUBEB must agree on the particular needs of the State upon which implementation must focus. To that effect, a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) spelling-out the details is signed by both parties for execution by SUBEB. Included in the MOU is a clause to ensure that misused, misapplied or diverted funds are recovered through appropriate means, including suspension or outright stoppage of grants to such defaulting states. This clause, despite having been acceded to by all the states, remain a clog in the ability of States to access the UBE fund as most States are unable to satisfy the provision.

6. Institutions Responsible For Implementing EFA

There are institutions charged with the primary responsibility of delivering on Nigeria’s EFA commitment. These institutions have the responsibility for implementing the national policies in such a manner that goals are attained within established milestones and overall timeframe. The institutions are the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC), the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE) and the National Commission for Mass Literacy, Adult and Non-formal Education (NMEC). There are other institutions whose services are also connected
with the successful delivery of the EFA. These include the National Teachers’ Institute (NTI), Nigerian Education and Research Development Council (NERDC), the National Commission for Colleges of Education (NCCE) and the Teachers’ Registration Council of Nigeria (TRCN).
Section 2: Main EFA Challenges in 2000 and Targets for 2015

2.1 Overall EFA situation and Challenges in 2000

A.) Overall EFA Situation in 2000

Efforts towards achieving the Jomtien targets were minimized by a number of cross-cutting constraints which included inadequate public enlightenment and social mobilization; a dearth of data as well as inadequate and inaccurate data for planning and monitoring purposes; poor learning environments and facilities; inadequate numbers of teachers generally and qualified teachers specifically with overcrowded classrooms and poor teacher/pupils ratios; a burgeoning population which, coupled with poor data, made for a disaster in planning; inability to achieve any form of gender parity in enrolment, retention, achievement, completion rates as well as in teacher recruitment; inadequate funding; and poor management of human, material and financial resources.

B.) Challenges in 2000

The process of developing a National Action Plan (NAP) highlighted some of the challenges the Nigerian government faced:

- Reviewing the policy for ECCD was a major challenge which government faced in its bid to overcome the failures of not providing wide-scale access to early childhood care and development opportunities.
- The proper take-off of the UBE scheme, including the building of ownership at all levels was another challenge that needed to be addressed in providing for the formal and non-formal basic education to Nigerians.
- The new and additional resources required to support such an ambitious programme as EFA was enormous. Government was able to show, by prioritizing education in 2000, that it intended to move significant emphasis, priority and resources into EFA. Government started making progress in the refurbishment of infrastructure and facilities. Sustaining this momentum, while mobilizing civil society, the private sector and
international development partners in the resources drive, was a central challenge for the new millennium.

- Breaking down the barriers to access, retention, participation and achievement of girls and women education represented a serious challenge. This was particularly important in the light of the gender targets of 2005 and 2015.
- Meeting the needs of excluded and vulnerable groups in the inclusive concept of EFA represented a significant challenge.
- Development of genuine partnerships and collaboration with civil society, the private sector and development partners posed another challenge. Integrating the work on education within wider multi-sectoral issues through the Poverty Reduction Strategy Plans (PRSPs) and the Comprehensive Development Framework in the context of globalization presented challenges that required new and innovative approaches.
- The threat posed by the HIV/AIDS pandemic was significant. Rapid and proactive linkages and synergies with the work of NACA in planning for, and mitigating the impact of HIV/AIDS in education were initial steps in addressing the huge challenge the virus presented.
- The lack of data and its impact on the development of effective monitoring, evaluation and impact assessment systems must be underscored. In its National response to this challenge, government established the Nigerian Education Management Information System (NEMIS) Policy in 2007.
- Teacher shortfall, the need for capacity building and the emphasis on building up teacher status and professionalism was another challenge that needed to be tackled if the programmes on education were to be successful.
The Six Dakar EFA Goals

1. Expanding and improving comprehensive early childhood care and education, especially for the most vulnerable and disadvantaged children.

2. Ensuring that by 2015 all children, with special emphasis on girls, children, in difficult circumstances and from ethnic minorities, have access to complete, free and compulsory primary education of good quality.

3. Ensuring that the learning needs of all young people and adults are met through equitable access to appropriate learning and life-skills programmes.

4. Achieving 50% improvement in levels of adult literacy by 2015, especially for women, and equitable access to basic and continuing education for all adults.

5. Eliminating gender disparities in primary and secondary education by 2005, and achieving gender equality in education in literacy by 2015, with a focus on ensuring girls’ full access to and achievement in basic education of good quality.

6. Improving all aspects of the quality of education, and ensuring excellence for all, so that recognized and measurable learning outcomes are achieved especially, numeracy and essential life-skills.

2.2 National Targets for 2015

A. Goal 1: Early Childhood Care and Development

1. By 2010, an allocation and spending level of 30% of the National Budget on Early Childhood Care and Development Programmes, and comprehensive multi-sectoral family and child care development policies and funding by 2015.

2. By 2015, enrolment of children (in the age group 0-5+) in participatory early childhood programmes will increase from the current 18% to 70% of eligible children.

3. By 2015, 70% of children entering primary schools will have participated in at least one year of an early childhood care and development programme.
4. By 2005, 50% of parents will be sensitized and fully aware of prevention practices in relation to HIV/AIDS and by 2015, 70% of parents will have been educated in comprehensive childcare practice.
5. By 2015, the reduction by 30% of the number of children born with developmental delays and disabilities.

B. Goal 2: Universal Basic Education (UBE)

i.) Primary Education
1. By 2006, 100% of all children of official school-going age (6-11) will be enrolled fulltime in primary school or an equivalent education programme, including all school entry-age girls
2. By 2010, improvement of completion rates by 30% to an overall rate exceeding 90% of those in schools.
3. By 2015, all children of primary school age (girls as well as boys) will be enrolled in primary school or its equivalent.
4. By 2015, there will be a 50% increase in the number of children with disabilities mainstreamed into primary school.
5. By 2015, transition rate from primary to junior secondary school will be 90%.
6. By 2015, 80% of children up to the age of 15 will be enrolled in school or an equivalent education programme.
7. By 2015, the percentage of working children of school age will be reduced by 80% and access to relevant basic education will be provided for those still working.

ii.) Junior Secondary Education
1. By 2010, 95% of youth and adults will have access to information, education and services necessary to reduce their vulnerability to HIV/AIDS.
2. Provision of access to the children, on an incremental basis of 10% each year, to junior secondary school from 50% in 2001 to 100% by 2006.
3. By 2015, 80% of youth and adult aged 15+ will attain national standards set for literacy, numeracy and problem solving with gender parity.
4. By 2015, all young people and adults will have access to formal and non-formal life-long education.

C. Goal 3
   In 2000, this goal had no target set for its attainment for 2015.

D. Goal 4
   Adult Literacy and Non-Formal Education
   1. By 2002, the development of access programmes for all out-of-school youth, including pregnant girls and young mothers.
   2. By 2003, the mainstreaming of alternative participatory approaches to adult literacy.
   3. By 2010, the progressive recruitment and training of an additional 260,000 adult literacy instructors.
   4. By 2010, the provision, through renovation of existing facilities and new construction of an additional 100,000 training centres for the delivery of adult literacy classes and centres for nomadic education.
   5. By 2015, a reduction by half (25 million) of illiterates in Nigeria.

E. Goal 5: Eliminating Gender Disparities through the Education of Women and Girls
   1. By 2005, reduction of gender disparity in enrollment by 10% to 0.9% in all education programmes.
   2. By 2015, 95% of girls of primary school age will be enrolled in primary school or its equivalent.
   3. By 2015, 80% of girls up to the age of 15 will be enrolled in a school-based or equivalent learning/education programme.
   4. By 2015, 80% of all girls and women will have access to relevant basic education, with a special focus on hawkers, housekeepers, and groups of hard to reach women and girls.
   5. By 2015, 80% of all girls and women aged 15+ will attain national standards set for literacy, numeracy, and problem-solving.
6. By 2015, flexible access to relevant programmes of education will be provided to disadvantaged groups such as pregnant girls and young mothers, including through use of New Information and Communication Technologies (NICTs) in women Education Centres.

F. Goal 6

i. Quality and Relevance of Learning

1. By 2002, the review of national curricula to ensure clearly defined, context specific and appropriate learning outcomes (including such areas as child rights, health and nutrition, livelihoods, HIV/AIDS education) and clear mechanisms to achievement.

2. The development of capacity, by 2002, for the generation of appropriate and up-to-date data on all aspects of enrolment, learning and outcomes in order to monitor quality.

3. By 2010, 80% of learning resources will be relevant to learners, gender sensitive, and be in language and form which teachers and learners can relate to.

4. Development of a multi-sectoral approach to learning that ensures access, through NICTs, to wide and increasingly relevant range of learning and information opportunities.

5. Reduction of regional disparities, by 2010, of current pupil/teacher ratios such that pre-primary and primary levels are 1:20 and primary and junior secondary 1:40.

6. Improvement in resource availability including ratios of textbook access of 1:1 by 2010.

7. By 2015, all teachers of primary and above levels have the minimum qualification of the Nigeria Certificate of Education (NCE)

8. By 2015, the status and quality of life of learners will be increased through a 70% reduction in malnutrition; the achievement of full immunization, and the development of actions through the poverty reduction strategies that raise the standard of living of Nigerians to an acceptable level to enable effective engagement in quality programmes.

ii. Resource mobilization

a) An increase, by 2015, of the percentage of the national budget expended on education to 26% as recommended by UNESCO
b) Continued leveraging of resources through Restructuring of Nigeria’s external debt and as a result of the development of holistic poverty Reduction Strategy process for the country.

c) Commitment to the National EFA Action Plan as part of the process of leveraging additional international resources as promised at the Dakar Summit.

d) Continued engagement with civil society and the private sector to generate inputs in support of the education programme.

G. General

Human Capacity for UBE Delivery

Government recognized the huge investment which was necessary to ensure that capacity needed to deliver the UBE Programme was in place before 2015, especially in the areas of teacher capacity development, supervision, monitoring and evaluation, as well as schools and educational system management. For this reason, government proposed and committed itself to achieving the following target:

- By 2015, Nigeria will have the human resource base necessary to manage and implement the UBE Scheme.

2.3 Strategy for achieving each of the EFA Goals

1. Goal 1: Early Childhood Care and Development

a) Modification of the UBE Bill such that ECCE will form the bedrock of Nigeria’s education programme.

b) Proactive government encouragement of private sector and civil society-based ECCD service providers through the poverty reduction strategies of the Government and through direct funding of their programmes.

c) Community mobilisation through multi-sectoral committees at all levels and through the National EFA Forum (NEFAF).

d) Use of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) (radio, television and computer-based systems) to enhance and increase access.

e) Investment in training – especially in the provision of training leading to the Nigerian Certificate in Education (Pre-Primary) National Certificate on Education (NCE).
f) Funding, in disadvantaged areas, to support the setting up of community-based ECCD centres and initiatives.

g) Provision, by 2005, of infrastructural base necessary to enable smooth transition of children from ECCD programmes into primary education etc.

2. Goal 2

i. Primary Education

a) Increase, by 2015, public expenditure to 26% as recommended by UNESCO from current levels of under 10%.

b) Capacity building and investment to enhance managerial, analytical and administrative capacities

c) Improved performance through active involvement of civil society in schools management through local communities, and in inspection through community participation – using PTAs, NGOs and other community associations.

d) Provision, with increased resources, of necessary infrastructure to accommodate and provide quality learning for pupils.

ii. Junior Secondary Education

a) Increase access to basic education opportunities through the UBE Scheme, both for formal, and non – formal, as well as life – long education opportunities that enable disadvantaged groups’ access over time.

b) Increase in learner centered and relevant curriculum and pedagogical approaches which enhance learning and increase attainment levels.

c) Provision of adequate quality assurance mechanisms through capacity enhancement of the inspectorate function and increased civil society and community capacity for, and involvement in, monitoring and evaluation.

3. Goal 3

In 2000, this goal had no strategies set for its attainment come 2015.

4. Goal 4

Adult Literacy and Non – Formal Education
a) Increase resources allocated to trainer and instructor recruitment and training
b) Enhanced remuneration to ensure recruitment and retention of appropriately qualified personnel.

c) Professionalism to be entrenched as the approach to adult literacy and non-formal training and instruction.

d) Significant increases in resource allocation to meet the needs of non-formal training, including the provision of basic kits, mobilisation equipment, transportation to reach remote and disadvantaged groups, and focus on community based learning.

e) Encouragement of the introduction and development of participatory approaches to learning which emphasize community and learner ownership and which can be delivered at reduced costs.

f) The development of flexible access programmes for out-of-school youth.

g) The inclusion of curriculum content which encourages peace, mutual respect and cultural tolerance and understanding.

h) The integration of learning within non-formal settings into the formal context, thus enabling learning to switch into formal opportunities.

i) The use of NICTs (radio, television, computer-based technologies, and solar power technologies) to enable access to those in remote contexts as well as those with access to basic social infrastructure.

j) Civil society involvement and support in the development of community-based programmes.

k) Increased mobilisation for attendance.

l) Development of pedagogy and programmes which ensure appropriate learning which will increase completion and success rates.

m) Development of schemes that provide alternative income sources as part of the strategies for addressing poverty reduction.

n) Extensive use of NICTs to provide access to learners across great distance and in hard-to-reach areas.

o) Development, funding and encouragement of civil society and community based adult literacy initiatives.
p) A continuing programme of collaboration with international development partners in delivering innovation and flexible programmes, especially for the vulnerable and disadvantaged groups.

5. Goal 5: Eliminating Gender Disparities through the Education of Women and Girls
a) Development of awareness and sensitization programmes which target women and girls for formal and non-formal education opportunities.
b) Development of curriculum content which enhance respect for women and children.
c) The inclusion of curriculum content which encourages peace, mutual respect and cultural tolerance and understanding.
d) Conduct gender audit by 2003 to determine the extent and pattern of disparities in order to develop programmes to address the needs of all children and adult.
e) Provision of necessary facilities (such as toilets) which increase retention of women and girls in schools through improved learning environments.
f) Provision of flexible programmes of access for children which target the mainstreaming of young girls into formal education.
g) Development advocacy programmes which seek to mitigate the effects of cultural practices on the attendance of women and girls to educational programmes.
h) Employing strategies and involving civil society organizations in awareness building, civic training and programmes that highlight the role and importance of women and girl-child education.
i) Introduction of strategies, as part of Nigeria’s Poverty Reduction Programmes, which will focus on alternative income sources and enhance family earning while reducing dependence on child labour.
j) Developing programmes of awareness and training which address cultural impediments and harmful traditional practices which adversely affect the ability of women and girls gaining and sustaining access to educational opportunities.
k) Progressive move to the legal and practical removal of discriminatory laws, harmful traditional practices and cultural barriers to women and girl-child access to education, including those within schools, such as sexual harassment.
l) Increased relevance and appropriateness of learning such that it leads to productive functional learning that is also gender sensitive.
m) Involvement of international development partners in the introduction of NICTs that focus on increasing access to, sound learning achievement of, women and girls.
n) Expansion of programmes which are currently running which focus on gender-related disparities and which assist in reduction of disparities by 2015, including emphasis on increased and targeted female teacher recruitment to serve as role models as well as inclusion in governance system for education.
o) The development, by 2005, of clear indicators of progress that enable monitoring instruments to be designed to monitor progress with targets for delivery.

6. **Goal 6: Quality and Relevance of Learning**

a) Provision of revised and relevant curriculum.
b) Expansion of the provision of quality learning environments for education.
c) Investment in the development of teacher capacity, including provisions for training, retraining and upgrading qualifications to NCE level.
d) Increased emphasis on culturally sensitive, relevant and appropriate curriculum content.
e) The inclusion of curriculum content which encourages peace, mutual respect and cultural tolerance and understanding.
f) Provision of resources to facilitate adequate improvement of basic infrastructure and learning materials.
g) Inclusion of all multi-sectoral issues (around health, nutrition, sanitation, HIV/AIDS etc.) in curricula for all systems from ECCD through formal and non-formal education through the UBE scheme.
h) Programmes designed to improve cognitive and analytical skills and which increase learner participation.
i) Commitment to the enhancement and professionalism of teaching through capacity building programmes and packages of remunerations which recognize the central role of teachers in our national development programme.
j) Greater civil society and community involvement in monitoring and evaluation as a mechanism for feeding into the improved quality loops.
k) Development, through EMIS and ESA, of data generation and capacity for proper planning, monitoring, evaluation and impact assessment of education in Nigeria.

7. General

Human capacity for UBE Delivery

a) Continued expansion of teacher training opportunities and increasing conversion of existing TC II Certificate teachers to the NCE qualification; achieving 100% by 2015 (specific targets including the need for an additional 500,000 teachers and instructors to deliver the formal and non-formal components of UBE by 2015).
b) Complete analysis of the potential impact of HIV/AIDS on teacher supply and take actions to redress any shortfalls in the projected numbers required.
c) Continued programme of enhancement status and professionalism for teachers through training and retraining, reviews to current remuneration packages and enhanced career opportunities.
d) Programmes designed to address the capacity needs for schools and educational management, ranging from interventions directed at School Heads, Teachers, support staff and community-based committees and agents of civil society to enhance educational resource management.
e) Building the capacity of the Inspectorate Services to improve quality.
f) Engaging civil society partners in the roles of quality assurance, monitoring, evaluation and impact assessment at all levels.
Section 3: Progress towards EFA Goals in 2015

Introduction

To ensure the right to basic education, the Dakar Framework called upon governments to develop responsive participatory and accountable systems of educational governance and management.

The Dakar Framework encouraged government to:

- Develop comprehensive national education plans, linked to national poverty elimination and development strategies;
- Strengthen the capacity to monitor education progress;
- Engage civil society in policy making and monitoring;
- Improve regulatory frameworks for the provision of education; and
- Decentralize educational management.

i. Comprehensive National Education Plans

Nigeria has developed a comprehensive national educational plan that spells out the national objectives of education at all the levels of education—Early Child Care and Education, Primary, Junior Secondary, Senior Secondary, and Tertiary. The plan also spells out the objectives of each level of education and the curriculum to achieve those objectives.

ii. Establishment of Education Management Information System (EMIS)

The Dakar Framework identified improved capacity for monitoring of performance in the education system as fundamental. Nigeria sought to achieve this through the establishment of Education Management Information System (EMIS). The EMIS is being strengthened for the collection, integration, processing, maintenance and dissemination of data and information to support decision making, policy analysis and formulation, planning, monitoring and management at all levels of the education system.

iii. Engagement of Civil Society in Policy-making and Monitoring

In the quest to achieve the objectives of EFA and MDGs, the Government of Nigeria has been working in active collaboration with International Development Partners (IDPs) such as UNICEF, DFID, UNESCO, USAID, JICA, World Bank as well as Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) and Non-governmental Organizations (NGOs) to achieve the EFA/UBE goals. The role of IDPs, CSOs and NGOs have been most evident in the area of girls-child education.

iv. Improved Regulatory Framework for the Provision of Education

Since governments have an obligation under international treaties to ensure that children, youth, and adults receive adequate education, the Dakar Framework for Action paid attention to regulatory frameworks for the provision of education. Nigeria has regulations governing
registration of non-government schools. However, such regulations usually involve meeting teacher qualification requirements but in practice private schools, especially low budget ones, often rely on under-qualified teachers on temporary contracts. The situation is worsened by a lack of ongoing and effective supervision, and corruption which makes it possible for private providers to get away with substandard and inadequate provisions.

v. Decentralization of Educational Management
To promote participation and accountability, the Dakar Framework suggested that countries move towards more decentralized educational management. Countries are being encouraged to undertake programs to decentralize financial, political, and administrative responsibilities for education. In Nigeria, the powers of local governments in relation to delivery of education remain limited. Decentralization holds much promise in making schools more responsive to local education needs. In particular, school-based management - the most far-reaching form of decentralization - has received considerable attention in recent years. To strengthen management at micro level, the National Council on Education in 2005 approved the establishment of School-Based Management Committees in all public schools. While majority of States have complied with this policy, SBMCs have so far made little or no discernible impact on improving the quality of deliverables to learners in many of the States. The creation of School-Based Management Committees is aimed at giving the committees responsibility for key functions such as hiring, paying, and supervision of teachers and monitoring of student attendance. The aim is to increase enrolment, especially in poor rural areas, and to give parents a stronger voice in school administration.
1. Introduction

Gradually, nations all over the world have come to a common understanding that the foundations laid in the “first thousand days of a child’s life are critical for the child’s future (EFA GMR, 2013/4) and that investing in early childhood care and development brings high returns later in life. Education statistics show that ECCDE is only available to a few. To address this gap, EFA goal 1 seeks to “Expand and improve comprehensive early childhood care and education, especially, for the most vulnerable and disadvantaged children”. For the purpose of this National EFA 2000 - 2014 Review, this section will focus on the progress made by Nigeria, towards expanding Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE). The challenges to the attainment of Goal 1 by 2015 will also be highlighted.

2. Progress According to EFA Targets and Strategies

According to the strategies set for achieving this goal as stated in Section 1, some of the following have been put in place:

a) Modification of the UBE Act such that the ECCDE will form the bedrock of Nigeria’s education programme

As demonstrated in the policy analysis in the preceding section, this target has been met. The UBE Act of 2004 made the necessary provisions for the accommodation of Early Childhood Education within the framework of basic education. Moreover, the “National Policy for Integrated Early Childhood Development” (IECD) of October 2007 emphasizes the importance of inter – sectoral collaboration to enable the Nigerian child appropriate all its rights as stipulated in the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC). Against this backdrop, the 2013 edition of the National Policy on Education provides a structure for the education system which starts with
Early Child Care and Development (Ages 0 - 4 years) and recognizes ECCDE as a component of basic education given to children at two levels; namely:

i. 0 - 4 years given in the day care centres, crèches and nursery schools as non-formal education, fully in the hands of private providers; and

ii. 5 - 6 years given in kindergarten preparatory for transition into primary 1.

This development has further been consolidated in the official extension of basic education in Nigeria to 10 years. This provides for the one-year ECCDE as recommended in the 2013 review of the National Policy of Education (NPE).

As a result, there has been an appreciable increase in the number of ECCDE centres established to provide solid foundation as well as smooth transition from pre-primary schools to primary schools. In 2009, the number of public ECCDE centres across the country was 20,698 (35% of 58,595 total primary schools). This has increased significantly to 30,901 in 2013 (50% of 61,305 total primary schools). The increase has impacted positively on the number of pupils enrolled into the ECCDE programmes. Table 3.1.1 and Figure 3.1.1 capture the trend in the development of the public ECCDE centres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>ECCDE CENTRES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>23249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>31459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>28565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>30901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
b) Proactive government encouragement of private sector and civil society – based ECCDE service providers through the Poverty Reduction strategies of the Government and through direct funding of their programmes.

This target has been achieved through the establishment of literacy centres for women to educate and equip them with skills and knowledge to enable them see the value of education and support sending their children to school. A number of such centres are captured under the women’s education programme across the country run by agencies for mass education and ministry of women affairs.

Progress has been made in respect of enrollment in Early Childhood Care Development Education as shown in Table 3.1.2.

Table 3.1.2: ECCDE/Pre-primary Enrolment in Public and Private Schools 2006-2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Total (Public/Private)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005/2006</td>
<td>1367326</td>
<td>322080</td>
<td>2089406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/2007</td>
<td>2048082</td>
<td>637821</td>
<td>2685903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/2008</td>
<td>1610175</td>
<td>494845</td>
<td>2105020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/2009</td>
<td>1827657</td>
<td>718283</td>
<td>2538366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/2010</td>
<td>1819752</td>
<td>873571</td>
<td>2715077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3.1.2: ECCDE/Pre-primary Enrolment in Public and Private Schools 2006-2010

Total enrolment for public and private schools rose from 2,089,406 in 2006 to 2,715,077 in 2010. However, it is important to note that the increase in percentage terms is only marginal which implies that Nigeria may not reach the target set for 2015 which is increase in enrollment to 70% of eligible children.

Statistics for 2011-2013 show a further improved picture. These are presented in table 3.1.3

Table 3.1.3 ECCDE enrolments for 2011-2013 (Public Schools)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,183,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,297,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2,994,734</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As presented in table 3.1.3, enrolment has further increased as a result of the extensive mobilization that has created more awareness among parents to send their children to the pre-schools that have been created especially in the primary schools. In 2011 – 2013, enrolment had moved upwards from 1.8 to 2.1, 2.2 and 2.9 in 2013. These are quantum leaps that show a growing appreciation among parents of the need to send children to early child care centres as well as demonstrates government determination to make such services available. The figure above represents only public Schools.
c) Investment in training, especially in the provision and update on training leading to the Nigerian Certificate of Education (NCE) Pre-primary

To ensure that professionals eventually take over the running of ECCDE centres in the country, Early Childhood Care and Education has been infused into the NCE curriculum, specially developed by the National Commission for Colleges of Education for use in the training of ECCDE teachers and care-givers in Nigeria. Consequent upon this development, the institutional structure of most conventional Colleges of Education in Nigeria gives a pride of place to the School of Early Childhood Care and Primary Education with two departments:

(i) Department of Early Childhood Care and Education, and
(ii) Department of Primary Education.

d) Funding in disadvantaged areas, to support the setting up of community – based ECCDE centres and initiatives.

Five percent of the funds allocated to the Universal Basic Education Commission is made available for the funding of ECCDE initiatives. Funds are also made available for capacity building for care – givers.

The Nigerian Government has also shifted emphasis in the provision of infrastructural facilities for ECCDE to disadvantaged areas and hard to reach Community – based Childhood Care (CBCC) which were established in five pilot states; namely, Niger, Bauchi, Ekiti, Rivers and Osun. Two communities in each of the States benefitted from the CBCC infrastructural provision project.

e) Early Childhood Care Development and Education (ECCDE) in Nomadic School

ECCD Services have been established for the hard to reach communities such as the Nomads and Migrant fishermen. Against this backdrop, the National Commission for Nomadic Education embarked on advocacy, sensitization and mobilization on access to ECD for nomads. The outcome is the appreciable increase by almost 50% of ECD – institutionalized Nomadic Schools. The Commission in partnership with the Pastoral Resolve (PARE), Pastoralist Development Initiative (PDI) and Organisation Mondiale Education Lé Prescolaire (World Organisation for
Early Childhood Education) (OMEPE) established experimental ECCD centres for nomads at designated model nomadic centres, gazzetted grazing reserves and major nomadic settlements in Kachia, Chukun, Igabi Local Government Areas of Kaduna State and Gwagwalada, FCT.

Table 3.1.4: Percentage Increase in ECD Nomadic Schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of ECD – Institutionalized Nomadic Schools</th>
<th>Number of Pupils</th>
<th>Percentage Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1,289</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2,355</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 2009, there were 17 ECCDE centres. With the provision of more ECCDE infrastructure, the number increased to 33 centres while enrolment almost doubled from 1, 289 in 2009 to 2, 355 in 2012.

Fig. 3.1.3: Percentage Increase in ECCDE Nomadic Schools 2009 - 2012

3. Constraints

Issues and quality indicators requiring the involvement of cross-sectoral committees are subsumed under targets 3 and 4 which envisage that by 2015, 50% of parents will be sensitized and fully aware of prevention practices in relation to HIV/AIDS; by 2015, 70% of parents will have been educated in comprehensive childcare practice; and by 2015, the reduction by 30% of
the number of children born with developmental delays and disabilities would have been achieved.

Children aged 0 – 5 are at a critical age of development and need to receive adequate nutrition, health-care, educational and psycho-social support. Families and communities under pressure to cope with the impact of the pandemic find it difficult to meet all their developmental needs. According to the National Agency for the Control of AIDS, while there is great knowledge regarding HIV and AIDS, prevention remains the key to successful management and reduction of the spread of AIDS.

In Nigeria, the national HIV prevalence rate had steadily increased from 1.8% in 1991 to 5.8% in 2001, and then steadily declined to 5.0% in 2003, 4.4% in 2005, and 3.6% in 2007, increased again to 4.6% in 2008 and dropped to 3.4% in 2012. The prevalence rate in urban areas is 3.2% while that of rural areas is 3.6%.

Table 3.1.5: National Prevalence Rate of HIV/AIDS 1991 - 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>National HIV Prevalence Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The drop in the prevalence rate is not unconnected with the sensitization of parents and communities to create awareness of prevention practices as specified in the Nigerian EFA strategies. UNFPA, working in collaboration with Federal and State Ministries of Education, Health, Youth Development, Women Affairs, National Agency for the Control of AIDS, other relevant agencies and International Development Partners has consistently carried out sensitization, community dialogue and community activities in the different States and the FCT to provide information and educate women to embrace preventive mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT).

Though progress has been made through sensitization in respect of reduction of prevalence rate, the disparities among States is a drawback in the effort to make progress towards 2015. Many States in Nigeria are still battling with high prevalence rate. Rivers State, according to the National HIV/AIDS and Reproductive Health Survey-Plus has the highest rate of 15.2% while Ekiti has the lowest rate of 0.2%. Other States with high rates exceeding the national average are: Taraba (10.5%); Kaduna (9.2%); Nasarawa (8.1%); FCT (7.5%); while those with rates lower than the national rate are: Ogun (0.6%); Bauchi (0.6) and Zamfara (0.4%).
**Table 3.1.6: HIV/AIDS Prevalence Rate by State 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>HIV/AIDS Prevalence Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taraba</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaduna</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarawa</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCT</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogun</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauchi</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zamfara</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekiti</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: National HIV/AIDS and Reproductive Health Survey – Plus 2012*

Another Target envisaged that: “By 2010, there will be an increase in the allocation and spending level of 30% of the National Budget on Early Childhood Care and Development Programmes, and comprehensive multi-sectoral family and child development policies and funding by 2015.” It is expected that this will further boost political prioritization and accordingly, attract higher investment of state resources in early childcare.
4. National EFA Progress Indicators

(a) Enrolment

Since 2004; that is, since the enactment of the UBE Act, Early Childhood services in Nigeria have recorded reasonable expansion. The pre – primary education Net Enrolment Ratio (NER) increased from 10.9% in 2003/2004 to 18.1% in 2009/2010. About 2,693,323 children were enrolled in pre – primary schools in 2010. Out of this number, 1,366,522 (50.7%) were males while 1,326,801 (49.3%) were females. (NEMIS, 2010). In 2013, the number increased drastically again to 2,994,734. The steady progress in ECCE enrolment is captured in Fig. 3.1.6 below.

Though an appreciable increase has been recorded, the enrolment figures quoted will not be able to satisfy the requirements of increasing, enrolment of children (in the age group 0-5+) in participatory early childhood programmes from the current 18% to 70% of eligible children by 2015.

The Gross Intake Rate (GIR) recorded for 2009/2010 stands at a low of 1.18% with 1.17% for males and 1.19% for females. It was clearly stated in SER (2011) that the paucity of data, resulting in data gap in pre – primary enrolment makes it difficult to determine with certainty how low intake into the pre – primary level is. However, 2009 turned out to show the lowest in – take rate as shown below:
(b) Transition Rate

![Fig. 3.1.7: Trends in ECCDE](image)

Chart 3.1.8 shows the trend in percentage (%) of pupils enrolled in public ECCDE from 2009-2013. It shows a continuous increase pattern from 2009 with the highest percentage recorded in 2013 due to increased advocacy at state level and favourable response by SUBEBs to open more ECCDE centres.

(c) Management and Governance
Table 3.1.7: Pre – primary Enrolment by Ownership 2009/2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership</th>
<th>No. of Schools</th>
<th>No. of Male</th>
<th>% Male</th>
<th>No. of Female</th>
<th>% Female</th>
<th>Total Enrolment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public</td>
<td>21,629</td>
<td>929,059</td>
<td>50.89</td>
<td>893,693</td>
<td>49.11</td>
<td>1,819,752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>12,020</td>
<td>440,463</td>
<td>50.42</td>
<td>433,108</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>873,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,693,323</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: SER, 2013*

Table 3.1.7 shows that contrary to popular opinion, there are more public ECCE schools than private ones. The total enrolment of pupils into ECCE in public schools in 2008 was 2,646,810 as compared with 812,119 in private schools. The upsurge in enrolment in public schools can be explained as a function of the implementation of the provisions of the UBE Act in respect of making ECCE the bedrock of Nigeria’s education programme; the resolve to provide the infrastructural base necessary to enable smooth transition of children from ECCE programmes into primary education and the creation of awareness of the value of ECCE among Nigerian parents. The data in table 3.1.5 is pictorially presented in figure 3.1.9.

![Figure 3.1.9: Pre-primary enrolments by ownership](image)

However, Nigeria envisaged that by 2015, 70% of children entering primary schools will have participated in at least one year of an early childhood care and development programme. The
total enrolment of pupils into public and private ECCE centres in 2007 was 2,685,903. According to NEMIS, total enrolment into primary education, by 2010 when this cohort of pupils was ready for primary school, was 20,663,807. The 2007 ECCE pupils are only 12.9% of the enrolment figure of 2010 when the 2007 cohorts should have enrolled into primary schools. From all indications, the 70% target for 2015 is a far cry, judging from the current situation.

Lack of data for 2012 – 2013 at the national level makes it difficult to make categorical statements about the disparity in ECCE enrolment in favour of public schools. Statistics from the States especially from urban centres give a contrary view, suggesting that the private sector continues to have an upper hand in ECCE processes. The FME National Action Plan (updated 2013) shows that Nigeria has recorded a 49% growth in ECCE Centres through the upsurge in enrolment into private ECCE centres and Pre – primary schools, especially in States like Lagos, Ogun, Delta, Osun, Oyo and Anambra. Data from the FCT where more ECCE centres sprang up in private schools than in public schools between 2011 and 2012 corroborates this claim as shown in the table 3.1.8 below.

Table 3.1.8: Early Child Care Development Centers by Area Council (FCT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AREA COUNCIL</th>
<th>2010/2011</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2011/2012</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Public Centers</td>
<td>Private Centers</td>
<td>Total Centers</td>
<td>Public Centers</td>
<td>Private Centers</td>
<td>Total Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMAC</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWARI</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWAGWALADA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KUJE</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABAJI</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KWALI</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>872</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: ERC, 2013)
Data from Universal Basic Education suggest that for the public ECCDE, the target may be in sight as the survival rate for ECCDE has been calculated at 77%.

In 2009, the survival rate in ECCDE was above 100 per cent as a result of under-aged and over-aged pupils that survived up to the last grade while 77 percent survival rate was recorded in 2013 as a result of invigorated campaign by the Federal Government of Nigeria to keep children in school.

(d) Teachers and Teachers’ Training

According to the EFA Global Monitoring Report (2013/2014), 5.2 million primary level teachers need to be recruited between 2011 and 2015 in order to achieve Universal Primary Education. A sizable proportion of this number should be ECCDE teachers. UNESCO Institute of Statistics (UIS) (2013) sees teachers as playing a critical role in enabling learners to achieve good learning outcomes within effective education systems. While their ability to positively shape a child’s learning experience depends on a myriad of factors, the first step towards good learning outcomes is to ensure that there are enough teachers in classrooms.

Does Nigeria have enough ECCDE teachers for the attainment of EFA Goal 1 by 2015? In Nigeria, as part of the commitments towards the achievement of EFA goals by 2015, it was envisaged that as a quality indicator, “100% of teachers of basic education are to possess Nigerian Certificate in Education (NCE) as minimum teaching qualification”. A scrutiny of Table 3.1.9 below shows that in public schools, there were 246,923 pre-primary teachers in
2006. Out of this number, 228,923 were qualified while 18,691 were unqualified. In private schools, there were a total of 70,166 teachers out of which 62,290 were qualified while 7,876 were unqualified. In 2007, the figures remained unaltered suggesting that no teacher was recruited. With large numbers of unqualified teachers in pre – primary education and stagnation in terms of recruitment, the target of 100% of teachers with NCE by 2015 may not be reachable.

**Table: 3.1.9: Percentage of Trained Teachers in Pre-Primary Education**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Qualified Teachers</td>
<td>No. of Unqualified Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/2006</td>
<td>228,232</td>
<td>18,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/2007</td>
<td>228,232</td>
<td>18,691</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 3.1.11a: Trained Teachers in Public Schools**

**Fig. 3.1.11b: Trained Teachers in Private Schools**
Charts 3.1.11a, 3.1.11b and 3.1.12 show the trend in percentage of qualified teachers in ECCDE with year 2011 recording the highest percentage of qualified teachers. There was a slight drop in 2012 which later increased to 71% in 2013. This is as a result of deployment of more teachers and care givers at State level.

(e) **Pupil Teacher Ratio**

**Table 3.1.10: Pupil Teacher Ratio (PTR) in Public and Private Pre-Schools: 2007/2008**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Pupil</td>
<td>1,610,175</td>
<td>494,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Teachers</td>
<td>86,536</td>
<td>25,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>19:1</td>
<td>20:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From chart 3.1.14, the trend in teacher-pupil ratio in ECCDE is fluctuating with year 2013 recording the worst teacher-pupil ratio with 1:51 whereas year 2009 recorded best with 1:16. This situation could be as a result of increase/expansion of ECCDE Enrolment/centres with inadequate teachers in this level.
In ECCDE, there is a positive growth in the trend in pupil qualified teacher ratio from year 2010-2012 and a higher ratio in 2013. However, these ratios have exceeded the minimum standard of 1:25. This chart shows that there is a steady increase in the quality of teachers from 2009-2012. A key development in the sector is the professional training of ECCDE teacher to meet the shortfall in professional care at that level. In this vein, the National Commission for Colleges of Education (NCCE) has collaborated with UNICEF to bring this about. A pilot training has commenced in selected centres.

5. Progress and achievements

i. One of the achievements in the educational sector is the institutionalization of the provision of Early Childhood Care and Education in primary schools (NPC, 2011; Section E(1) (m), p.15, in SER, 2013, p.31);

ii. Incorporation of one-year Pre-Primary Education into the education system to become 1-6-3-3-4; and

iii. The UBEC gave directive to SUBEBs to dedicate a section in all public primary schools for ECCDE. The response to this directive has been growing. The total enrolment of pupils in public ECCDE centres was 1,510,974 in the year 2009 but increased to 2,994,734 in 2013. This shows an increase of 98 per cent as a result of sensitization and mobilisation carried out by UBEC to increased access to pre-primary schools.

6. Key Issues and Challenges

- Access to ECCE and pre-primary education is low due to poor government funding and relative high cost of private provision.
- Linkage in the public sector between ECCDE and primary education is inadequate.
- There is inadequate data on enrolment in ECCDE centres/pre-primary schools.
- There is dearth of learning and instructional materials due to high cost of imported ECCE materials and absence of a vibrant local fabrication industry.
- There are inadequate numbers of qualified ECCE teachers/caregivers and most lack the specialized methodologies and skills needed for work with young children.
- None or irregular monitoring of the ECCDE centres.
- Publicly-owned institutions are yet to dispense ECCE for children with special needs.
Lack of synergy among the line Ministries and Agencies involved in the implementation of the ECD Policy.

Low level of awareness of the policy on ECCDE among ECCDE policy/decision makers and practitioners.

Inadequate sensitization/advocacy on ECCDE.

Most public schools are yet to comply with UBEC directive of establishing ECCDE in their schools.

Poor commitment on the part of the state government to access UBEC intervention fund.

Lack of data for 2012-2013 at the national level makes it difficult to make categorical statements about the disparity in ECCE enrolment in favour of public school.

7. Conclusion

Early childhood care, education and development is critical to the provision of quality basic education. Although government and stakeholders recognize the critical importance of this component of basic education, it is yet to receive the kind of attention that recognition demands. All the policy statements that are needed to back up an efficient and robust implementation have been put in place. Political will and the appropriate strategies are needed drive implementation.
3.2 – Universalizing Primary/Basic Education

GOAL 2

Ensuring that by 2015 all children, particularly girls, children in difficult circumstances and those belonging to ethnic minorities, have access to and complete, free and compulsory primary education of good quality.

1. Introduction

“The enactment of the 2004 UBE Act gave birth to the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) and UBE program which serves as the Federal Government vehicle for achieving EFA Goal 2 and MDGs Goal 2 of Universal Primary Education (UPE). The UBE Act has put in place regulations to ensure orderly development of basic education in Nigeria as well as ensure that all children of school age are enrolled, attend and complete basic education, which presently cover seven (7) years universal primary education and three (3) years of junior secondary education totaling ten (10) years uninterrupted basic education.

The Universal Basic Education programme in Nigeria has the following components:
(10-year) continuing education which is broken into three (3) components as follows (1-6-3):

i. Early Child Care Development Education (ECCDE) - 0 - 5 years
ii. Primary Education - 6 - 11 year
iii. Junior Secondary Education - 12-15 years

Basic education administration and management in Nigeria is the responsibility of Local Education Authorities (LGEAs) under the supervision of State Universal Basic Education Boards (SUBEBs) and the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) at the federal level. Since the launching of the UBE programme in 1999, reasonable progress has been made especially in respect of children’s enrolment in schools.

➢ There is free and compulsory basic education for all children of school going age.
Children have a fundamental right to free primary schooling of good quality. This is to say that EFA Goal 2 has access, equity and quality dimensions. Unfortunately, deep-rooted inequalities linked to wealth, gender, ethnicity, language and location are still major barriers to universal primary education. These reasons among others are responsible for the large number of out-of-school children in the country. Notwithstanding the challenges, government has put in place initiatives aimed at ensuring that out-of-school children get into school. Accordingly, the Almajiri Education programme, the Girl Child Education programmes, in addition to other projects targeted at other identified vulnerable groups, are well on course.

The UBE Commission operates as an intervention, coordinating and monitoring agency to progressively improve the capacity of States, Local Government Agencies and Communities in the provision of unfettered access to high quality basic education in Nigeria. In the actualization of these responsibilities, Federal Government through the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) has put in huge investments in the areas of basic education access, quality and equity. To ensure the realization of this noble mission, UBEC presents periodic progress reports on the implementation of the universal basic education.

2. Progress according to EFA Targets and Strategies
The National Action Plan (NAP) set targets and strategies used to ensure that access to basic education is achieved by 2015.

a) Post-Dakar Framework for Action: 1999 to 2005
According to the 2011 State of Education Report, government policy on basic education “is to ensure a seamless transition from pre-primary to primary school level through its pre-primary education programme”. The basic education component of the education system comprising Early Childhood Care and Education, primary and junior secondary, remain the most significant foundation years for effective participation in learning. Attention was focused on issues such as
policy governance, physical infrastructure, deployment of ICT, academic achievement, regulation, quality of curriculum, teacher quality and supply, funding, equity. A pre-situation analysis of the attempts at reforms rated these issues very low at all levels of education, with the exception of policy and curriculum in basic education which it rated high. The overall picture was a basic education sub-sector that was undergoing a number of reforms, especially in the provision of access to quality education through a more strengthened and credible up-to-date information system, curriculum review, and the development of education sector plans.

b) Enrolment in Primary and Junior Secondary Schools, 2000-2010

In 2000 most countries of the world, including Nigeria, pledged to achieve EFA, by the year 2015, the six Education for All (EFA) goals by implementing the twelve strategies presented in the Dakar Framework for Action. Table 3.2.1 shows the trends in primary school enrolment in Nigeria between 2000 and 2010. In 2000, total enrolment, male and female, was 19,151,438. In 2003, primary school enrolment peaked at 25,773,044, and then there was a decline, with the figure dropping to 19,992,309 in 2008 and increased again to 20,663,805 in 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10,738,025</td>
<td>8,413,413</td>
<td>19,151,438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>10,583,411</td>
<td>8,457,812</td>
<td>19,041,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11,070,610</td>
<td>8,791,071</td>
<td>19,861,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>14,434,764</td>
<td>11,338,280</td>
<td>25,773,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>11,824,494</td>
<td>9,571,016</td>
<td>21,395,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>12,189,073</td>
<td>9,926,369</td>
<td>22,115,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>12,492,091</td>
<td>10,369,793</td>
<td>22,861,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>11,683,503</td>
<td>9,948,567</td>
<td>21,632,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10,768,742</td>
<td>9,223,567</td>
<td>19,992,309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10,791,896</td>
<td>9,288,980</td>
<td>20,080,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11,027,686</td>
<td>9,636,119</td>
<td>20,663,805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enrolment in Primary Schools by Gender, 2011-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>11,705,330</td>
<td>10,420,087</td>
<td>22,125,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12,571,483</td>
<td>10,905,456</td>
<td>23,476,939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC)(Public Schools Only)
Fig. 3.2.1: Enrolment in Junior Secondary Schools by Gender, 2000-2010

Table 3.2.2: Enrolment by Gender in Junior Secondary Schools by Gender, 2000-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1,264,903</td>
<td>1,012,388</td>
<td>2,277,291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1,431,633</td>
<td>1,148,535</td>
<td>2,580,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1,746,909</td>
<td>1,203,823</td>
<td>2,950,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2,083,699</td>
<td>1,600,945</td>
<td>3,684,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,972,637</td>
<td>1,535,291</td>
<td>3,507,928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1,984,387</td>
<td>1,639,776</td>
<td>3,624,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,653,753</td>
<td>1,281,219</td>
<td>2,934,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1,944,843</td>
<td>1,531,220</td>
<td>3,476,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,150,037</td>
<td>1,784,024</td>
<td>3,934,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2,413,235</td>
<td>2,022,016</td>
<td>4,435,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,703,938</td>
<td>2,306,289</td>
<td>5,010,227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,410,817</td>
<td>1,902,347</td>
<td>4,313,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2,408,578</td>
<td>2,061,459</td>
<td>4,470,037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At the junior secondary level of basic education, table 3.2.2 shows a progressive increase in enrolment from 2,277,291 in 2000 to 3,624,163 in 2005. The figure dipped to 2,934,972 in 2006 before rising again in 2007 to 3,476,063. Total enrolment for boys and girls was 5,010,227 in 2010. Over the period, more boys were enrolled than girls.
Enrollment in Public Primary and Junior Secondary Schools, 2009-2013

Between 2009 and 2013, the number of primary schools in Nigeria rose from 58,595 to 61,305, an increase of 5 per cent. At the Junior Secondary School (JSS) level, the number of schools increased from 10,410 in 2009 to 11,874 in 2013. This shows an increase of 1,464 schools, representing 14 per cent. This perhaps portends an increase in number of schools to accommodate pupils from the non-formal sector who may not have been in formal primary schooling.

Fig. 3.2.4: Number of Public Primary and Junior Secondary Schools from 2009-2013

Fig. 3.2.5: Public Primary and JSS Enrolment Trend from 2009-2013
At primary school level, there were 21,857,011 pupils enrolled in 2009 as against 24,071,559 pupil enrolment in 2013 which represents a 10 per cent increase. Students’ enrolment in JS Schools in 2009 was 3,107,287 while that of 2013 was 4,219,679. This represents 35 per cent increase. The shortfall between 2012 and 2013 enrolment has been explained as perhaps arising from disarticulation problems between junior and senior secondary schools.

However, the apparent increase in both primary and junior secondary enrolment between 2009 and 2013 were in absolute terms and do not represent any significant progress toward the UPE target of 2015. The 2009 EFA Global Monitoring Report had reported that between 1999 and 2005, the primary NER in Nigeria increased slowly from 58% to 63%. The report had warned that the country was off track and very unlikely to achieve UPE by 2015.

There are other factors besides enrolment figures that combine to render the country’s situation with regard to achieving UPE in 2015 more precarious than the NER of 63% suggests.

There are wide geographical differences in primary school enrolment. For example, in the south-west, the average primary NER was 82% in 2006, compared with 42% in the poorer north-west. Moreover, substantial gender gaps exist in primary school, particularly in the north. Only 40% of primary school age girls are enrolled in some northern states compared with 80% in the south-east.

![Fig. 3.2.6: Trends in Public Primary and Junior Secondary Schools, 2009-2013](image)
The global lower secondary gross enrolment ratio increased from 72% in 1999 to 82% in 2011 (EFA Global Monitoring Report 2013/4, p.63). The largest increase was in sub-Saharan Africa, where the number of students more than doubled, albeit from a low base, reaching 49% in 2011. Unfortunately, however, Nigeria was in the category of countries not included in the analyses reported in the 2013/4 EFA Global Monitoring Report because of insufficient or complete lack of data. However, judging by the country’s performance at the primary level, it is unlikely that it would achieve a lower secondary education net enrolment target of at least 95% by 2015.

Although some policy initiatives evolved to hasten the attainment of the EFA and MDG targets, serious challenges remained in certain, if not in all the education indicators, including the very serious issue of out-of school children. Currently estimated at over 8 million, primary net enrolment ratio still hovers around 63%. Of 34.92 million expected in primary education, only 24 million were enrolled. In the junior secondary level only 3.27 million of the expected 9.27 million children were enrolled.

Gender and geographic disparities persist and the age group disparities constitute a formidable challenge for Government. The 2004 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) EdData showed that a significant proportion of primary school pupils fall outside the official age range for primary schooling. Whereas the primary school Net Attendance Ratio (NAR) is 60 the Gross Attendance Ratio is 91, indicating that for every 60 pupils aged between 6 to 11 years, there are 31 pupils who are either younger than age 6 or older than age 11. As is the case with the NAR, the male GAR (98) exceeds the female GAR (84), producing a gender parity index of 0.86.

Geographic differences in both net and gross attendance ratios are also substantial. The primary school Net Attendance Ratio in the South-West (83 percent), in the South-South (82 percent), and in the South-East (80 percent), are nearly twice as high as the NAR in the North-West (42 percent) and North-East (44 percent).

Access to formal schooling still poses a problem. It is estimated that 7.3 million children, of whom 60% are girls, are not in school. Dropout is more pronounced at grade six levels, where more than 17% of children drop out of school yearly.
The drop-out issue has multifarious dimensions, the most significant of which are: early marriage for girls in the North, boys and girls engagement in income generating activities to supplement household income in the South Eastern and North-Eastern parts of the country, respectively, as well as in major State capitals. The poor quality of the education system and perceived weak employment prospects for school and university leavers are also key factors affecting drop-out and low transition from primary to junior secondary schools.

c) Initiatives towards EFA Goal 2

A major policy development in the primary education sub-stream within the period, according to the 2011 State of Education Report, was the vocationalization of its curriculum. The pre-vocational subjects in the primary level include Agriculture, Home Economics, Drawing, Handicraft, Music and Cultural Activities and Computer Education. At the junior secondary level, which is the third and final segment of the 9-year basic education program, the vocational thrust of basic education is consolidated. New vocational education curricula as well as academic subjects were introduced. The pre-vocational subjects at this level are Agriculture, Business Studies, Home Economics, Local Crafts, Computer Education, Fine Art and Music.

3. Recent achievements and impact of EFA Goal 2

i. Launch Of Targeted Education Programmes

Almajiri for the north and boys’ education programmes for the South-East and South-South. These are directed at enhancing enrolment and mobilization of non-formal education structures for all school age children across barriers.

ii. Greater Awareness On Girls’ Education

As a result of increasing government commitment, greater awareness has been created nationwide on girls' education, with the launch and dissemination of the SAGEN in July 2003 and a pledge by the Federal Ministry of Education to mainstream girls' education into the EFA Plan. Some States in northern Nigeria have already promulgated edicts to support the promotion of girls' education. For example, Kano State has prohibited the collection of all forms of fees / levies in Girls' Secondary Schools. Similarly, Gombe State promulgated an edict against the
withdrawal of girls from schools, while Niger, Bauchi and Yobe States have removed financial disincentives affecting girls' enrolment in secondary schools. Those who have dropped out as a result of early marriages and/or teenage pregnancy are encouraged to return to school, as is the case with the Women Day College in Minna, Niger State.

iii. Expanding Partnerships
In support of Strategy for Accelerating Girls' Education in Nigeria (SAGEN), other major partners are also reinforcing their efforts for girls' education. The World Bank has recently recruited a focal point for girls education; UNESCO has commissioned research in this area, United Nations Populations Fund (UNFPA) has been supporting girls' education to ensure that more girls' remain in school longer and USAID is considering scaling up their work with Islamiyah schools in Northern Nigeria, as such schools often recruit more girls than boys. The Ambassador's Girls Schooling Programme (an initiative of USAID) provides US$60 per child for indigent families. A total of 13 States are targeted with two States per geo-political zone plus the Federal Capital Territory (FCT). Fifteen pupils per state are to benefit from the programme with the funds disbursed through NGOs. Furthermore, all the major partners are mainstreaming gender across their work.

iv. Working with Civil Society
In Nigeria, UNICEF works in close partnership with Civil Society in both formal and non-formal sub-sectors, including partnership with Civil Society Action Coalition on Education for All (CSACEFA) – an umbrella organization encompassing NGOs around the country. As a result of this collaboration, the Nigerian Girls' Education Initiative was created this year and involves over 20 NGOs operating under Civil Society Action Coalition on Education for All (CSACEFA). The NGEI forum meets quarterly to synergize plans with NGOs involved in girls' education around the country. The last meeting this year will benefit from good practice sharing in both formal and non-formal interventions at the grassroots level. The Non-Governmental Organization for Literacy Support Services (NOLGASS) operates under Civil Society Action Coalition on Education for All to coordinate NGOs in Non-Formal Education. There is regular information sharing, participation in planning, capacity building and in monitoring and
evaluation activities. The NGO sector constitutes a good delivery alternative especially in some parts of the country where access is not easy.

4. The Nomadic Education Programme and EFA Goal 2
Greater commitment to the universalization of access to basic education heightened interest in the provision of quality basic education to nomadic and other educationally disadvantaged groups in Nigeria. These segments of the population have serious limitations to equitable access to basic education through the conventional education system as a result of certain occupational and socio-cultural peculiarities.

The National Commission for Nomadic Education was established by Vide Cap No: 20 LFN, 2004) to provide education to the nomadic pastoralists and migrant fisher folks whose population exceeds 9.4 million people and of recent the migrant farmers whose population is yet to be determined.

• Provision of access to quality basic education for nomads and progress towards the EFA Goals 2.
The aim of the Nomadic Education Programme (NEP) is to provide an unfettered access to quality basic education for nomads; to boost literacy and equip them with skills and competencies to enhance their well-being and participation in nation building. To effectively meet this challenge, the NEP utilizes innovative approaches and strategies such as:
  i. development and use of relevant curriculum, teaching methods, materials and infrastructure appropriate to the needs and peculiar circumstances of the target groups;
  ii. flexible and responsive school calendar and time scheduling to suit learners;
  iii. intensive community sensitization and empowerment to stimulate and sustain programme support; and
  iv. robust collaboration and partnerships with relevant governments, institutions and organizations in programme development, implementation and evaluation.
The NEP remains one of the key programmes of the Federal Government of Nigeria in its efforts to attain the EFA goals. In pursuance of its functions, the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE) has since inception evolved a number of distinct programmes for the
The effective implementation of NEP and the attainment of EFA goals. The programmes are highlighted under following.

- Provision of Universal Basic Education to Nomadic Education towards achieving EFA Goals 2.

The programme started with only 329 schools having an enrolment figure of 18,831 pupils made up of 13,763 male and 5,068 female. There were only 879 teachers in these schools. The teacher pupils ratio in the early days of the programme was 1:21. By 2013, the total number of schools had increased to 3,538 with 13,849 teachers and an enrolment figure of 519,081 comprising 295,876 male pupils or 57% while female enrolment figure is 223,205 pupils. These figures are presented in table 3.2.4

**Table 3.2.4: Pupils’ enrolment in the nomadic schools**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/NO</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>SCHOOLS</th>
<th>TEACHERS</th>
<th>CENTRE PUPILS</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>FEMALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>13763</td>
<td>5068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>25942</td>
<td>10559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>2491</td>
<td>33463</td>
<td>16689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>656</td>
<td>2168</td>
<td>38335</td>
<td>15253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>2822</td>
<td>42738</td>
<td>19094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>2788</td>
<td>56759</td>
<td>35751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>2915</td>
<td>63638</td>
<td>40938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>3265</td>
<td>71695</td>
<td>47081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>4328</td>
<td>74956</td>
<td>47418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>4318</td>
<td>97524</td>
<td>65837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1494</td>
<td>4748</td>
<td>112958</td>
<td>80291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>4735</td>
<td>111623</td>
<td>80818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>5290</td>
<td>134930</td>
<td>92014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1820</td>
<td>6305</td>
<td>176011</td>
<td>94174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>6305</td>
<td>211931</td>
<td>151616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2061</td>
<td>6974</td>
<td>221612</td>
<td>154281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2061</td>
<td>6976</td>
<td>221612</td>
<td>154281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>6368</td>
<td>174562</td>
<td>120192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4130</td>
<td>16483</td>
<td>433233</td>
<td>286650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2953</td>
<td>14233</td>
<td>261121</td>
<td>222436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2870</td>
<td>13849</td>
<td>256892</td>
<td>227799</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a) Mobile Schools
There are two types of mobile schools under this key strategy. The mobile collapsible classrooms are used for the highly mobile pastoral nomads who practice total movements, where the entire family moves with its animal. The structures made of canvass and aluminum props can be easily dismantled and put in bag and on an animal’s back during migration. These structures are mounted on reaching destinations. A mobile collapsible classroom accommodates an average of 15 children. This strategy has proved very useful for this category of pastoral nomads who are constantly on the move between their wet and dry seasons grazing locations. Table 3.2.5 presents the number of total collapsible classrooms that the NCNE has acquired.

Table 3.2.5: Mobile collapsible classroom acquired by National Commission for Nomadic Education since inception

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>156 sets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Permanent Structures
Permanent structures in the form of blocks of classrooms are also used for the settled and the semi-settled pastoralists. The use of these permanent structures is based on the premise that the ultimate aim of NEP is to settle the nomads and integrate them fully into the mainstream of Nigerian society. It is expected that such structures could serve as focal points for settlement.

In this regards, in addition to N135, 102,789.00 expended on the provision of infrastructure and furniture to the various nomadic schools across the country, the Commission has also spent N18,446,141.00 on rehabilitation of 196 classrooms and construction of 42 cement wells across
the 238 communities. The impact on these communities’ development projects can be gauged from the details of expenditure and spread of the project in Table 3.2.6.

**Table 3.2.6: Number of Nomadic Schools Constructed over the years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) **Improving the Quality of Nomadic Education**

Initial monitoring and evaluation reports in the early 1990s and subsequent years revealed that the poor conditions of the nomadic schools, prevalence of inexperienced teachers and lack of relevant curricula and instructional materials affected the quality of the educational provision for the nomadic populations. Nomadic children attending schools were not receiving the knowledge and skills they required. Meanwhile, demand for education among the nomadic families continued to rise. Capacity building initiatives as well as provision of relevant curricula and instructional materials were intensified to enhance quality.

d) **Improving teacher quality**

The need to train the existing teachers who, at the inception of the programme had no skills at all in working with this population, to appreciate the culture and the peculiar needs and circumstances, of the nomadic pupils on the one hand, and improve their perceptions of the new and special curriculum on the other, called for pedagogical renewal. This need has led to several training interventions. Table 3.2.6 provides number of teachers trained over the years.

**Table 3.2.7: Teachers trained over the years**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Type of Training</th>
<th>Year of Training</th>
<th>No. of Teachers Trained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Training of teachers on the use of curriculum for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the education of pastoralists</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Training of teachers on IRI</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Teacher training</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Training of teachers on the use of curriculum for the education of fisherfolk</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Training of teachers on adapted family life and HIV/AIDS Education curriculum</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Training of teachers on IRI</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Training of teachers on IRI</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Training of nomadic mentors and monitors on IRI</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Training of Head-teachers and supervisors on record keeping</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Training of Head-teachers, classroom teachers and supervisors on pedagogical skills, record keeping and school management for migrant fisherfolk</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Training of Mentors and Monitors on IRI</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Training of teacher on the use of the reviewed nomadic curriculum</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Series of National Teachers’ Orientation workshops were held between 1991 and 2014 for training of head teachers, teachers, supervisors and coordinators of NEP to familiarize them with the contents, objectives, peculiarities and expectations of the new nomadic education curricula; and enhance their knowledge, skills and competences through exposure to new and innovative teaching methods.

**e) Design and Development and Production of Instructional Materials**

The quality drive of NEP was also approached from the perspective of relevance. The premise on which this perceptive was based is the belief that the quality of any educational programme could be measured from its demonstrated relevance to the lives of its target populations since the essence of education, put simply, is to better the lives of its recipients.

The main objective of this intervention was to produce relevant and qualitative educational materials that reflect the nomads’ socio-cultural lifestyles and, which draw concrete examples from their backgrounds and economic activities for use in the nomadic schools. This involved the development of educational materials from scratch in subject areas in which there were no existing curricula and instructional materials namely: Fulfulde, Health Education, Islamic Religious Knowledge and Handicraft. While the national core curriculum was adapted in case of
Mathematics, English, Primary Science and Social Studies, the major consideration that ran through both interventions was to design a curriculum which puts the nomadic children at par with their sedentary counterparts.

To date, the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE) has recorded the following achievements in the area of design, development and production of instructional materials.

**Table 3.2.8:** Curriculum and book production for nomadic schools over the years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Type of material</th>
<th>Year of production</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>English, Maths, Social Studies, Primary Science, Fulfulde and IRS</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Pupils’ texts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Science/Mathematics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>24,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language (1-6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies (1-6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Science 1-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies 1-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language (1-6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>24,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Handicraft (book 1-6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Education (book 1-6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pupils’ texts</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Science/Mathematics</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>11,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td>11,698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guide</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>6,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English (1-6)</td>
<td>6,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies (1-6)</td>
<td>6,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Science</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics 1-6</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Science 1-6</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies 1-6</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guide Mathematics</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guide</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>IRI Teachers’ Guide English &amp; Mathematics</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text English 1-6</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>8,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies 1-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fulfulde</td>
<td></td>
<td>18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text Basic Science</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family Health Curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guide English</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Science</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fulfulde</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guide English Language</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text Mathematics 1-3</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic Science &amp; Tech 1-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guide English Language</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic Science &amp; Tech</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mathematics</td>
<td></td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>IRI Materials Workbook in Mathematics</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workbook in English Language</td>
<td></td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Pupils’ Text Mathematics 4-6</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic Science</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basic Science</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-vocational</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Religious and National Value</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
f) **Prospects for Post 2015**

To reduce the influence of these constraints, NCNE is planning to strengthen the implementation of a number of innovative programmes it embarked upon as responses to enhance the participation of nomads in basic education. The initiatives are as follows:

- Selection of more individuals from nomadic backgrounds for training as teachers.
- Heightened use of distance learning techniques for improved tuition.
- Development and production of more instructional materials to ensure the provision of essential learning packages to nomadic schools.
- Seeking new partnerships and greater collaboration with development partners and other stakeholders.
- Evolving new strategies to increase community participation and ownership of schools.
- Expanding inter-sectoral cooperation efforts with relevant Ministries and Agencies.
- Catalyzing new & innovative approaches to NEP.
- Expanding the integrated education scheme (model schools) to cover more grazing reserves and fishing ports.
- Quality of teaching and learning in the nomadic schools can be improved by continuous capacity building for nomadic teachers.
- Developed curricula and instructional materials for ECCD category.
- Improved teacher capacity and pedagogical renewal.
- Intensify sensitization campaigns.

The systematic implementation of the Nomadic Education Programme (NEP) since inception has recorded tremendous achievements. The educational profile of the nomads has increased from 2% to 10% approximately. It could be concluded that from the Nigerian experience of providing access to basic functional education to the nomads such as nomadic pastoralists, migrant fisherfolk and migrant farmers, there is no doubt that the right of the Nigerian child to quality education in the second millennium will be achieved. Considering the current implementation of Universal Basic Education, (UBE), Education for All (EFA) and MDGs, it is our conviction that if the current momentum is reinvigorated, sustained and the major constraints of inadequate funding improved, the Commission would achieve its mandate of broadening access to quality basic education to the hard-to-reach nomads in Nigeria.

5. **Almajiri Education Programme**

The Federal Government established the National Almajiri Education Programme as one of the strategies for achieving inclusive basic education programme in Nigeria and to tackle the menace
of the over 10 million out-of-school children. The goal of the programme is to ensure that the estimated 9 million Almajiri children are integrated into the UBE programme.

The programme is funded mainly from the UBE funds (derived from the Consolidated Revenue Fund). The Millennium Development Goals Office makes intervention by providing funds for specific projects.

Projects under Almajiri Education Programme involve the construction of 89 (model 1 & 2) schools in 27 States. Of this number, 72 have been completed and handed over to SUBEBs along with furniture.

The programme is being implanted under three models, namely:

i. **Integration of Traditional Tsangaya/Qur’anic School within its original location.**
   - This entails the provision of the following:
     - A block of two classrooms and furniture.
     - Office, Store and Toilets.
     - Hostel block and Students lockers.
     - Recitation hall with Store and furniture/mats.
     - VIP Toilets
     - Borehole with Overhead-Tank
     - Gate house
     - External works and Fencing

ii. **Model Almajiri Schools.**

   - This involves establishment of a Model Tsangaya/Qur’anic Schools, with the following facilities:
     - Two blocks of 6 classrooms
     - Admin block (offices, 2 nos. laboratories and 2 nos. workshops)
     - 12 nos. Staff accommodation
     - Hostel block
     - Toilets laundry
     - Recitation Hall
- Mallam’s Residence
- Hand-pump borehole
- Motorized Borehole with Overhead-Tank
- VIP toilets
- Kitchen and Dining
- External works and fencing
- Gate house

iii. Integration of basic education in established of basic education in established Islamiyyah and Ma’ahad Schools. This will involve provision of infrastructure and other facilities based on the needs of specific schools.

A total of 125 boarding and day schools have been constructed with most of these handed over to the States. These are located in 27 States of Federation and the FCT. All these have provided greater access to quality Basic Education to the Almajiri population in the country. With each of the school starting with a minimum capacity of 80 pupils, these will increase enrolment by at least 10,000 pupil per year.

6. Key issues and challenges for basic education in Nigeria

Despite the country’s national objective of providing free and compulsory basic education to all children of school age, a large number of them remain out of school (2009 EFA GMR, P.62). This trend is being reversed through government education programmes such as Almajiri Education project and other similar programmes.

The challenges facing the UBE programme in Nigeria are many and varied. They are not, however, insurmountable but rather provide opportunities for better planning and re-direction of the programme towards the achievement of the country’s vision and goals in education. Some of these challenges are described below:

i. Misinterpretation of the “expanded vision of UBE”

The expanded vision of basic education espoused at Jomtien was in the earlier years reduced to a simple emphasis on putting more children into school. Most attention and resources thus went
into increasing primary school enrolment and reducing gender disparities. Far less attention has been given to meeting the basic learning needs of adults and out-of-school youth.

ii. **Structural defects in implementation mechanism**

The trend to create a Parastatal for every development challenge has caught up strongly with the UBE programme in Nigeria, and this has been further worsened by the non-recognition of UBE as synonymous with Education for All (EFA). According to Obanya (2009), UBE was originally conceived as Nigeria’s articulation of EFA, as well as its domestication. Government created a different organ to plan EFA. This he observed, is “a clear case of discordant dancing to the same musical tune”. Nigeria’s UBE was originally conceived with the requirements of Jomtien and Dakar in mind, and therefore there was to be a coordinated response to the challenge of basic education. This however has not been so, as there are three distinct parastatals for basic education, the Universal Basic Education Commission, the National Commission for Adult and Non-Formal Education (NMEC) and the National Commission on Nomadic Education (NCNE). This unwieldy arrangement is replicated down to the State level.

At the State level, the situation is further compounded by two other phenomena. First, the primary section of UBE is controlled by the State Universal Basic Education Board (SUBEB), while the Junior Secondary segment is under the control of a Secondary Education Board. Second, the Chair of SUBEB is a direct appointee of the State Governor and stands on the same pedestal as the head of Education Sector in the State – the Commissioner for Education. Consequently, there is a situation in which a State Universal Basic Education Board is headed by an ‘Executive Chairman’, who reports directly to the State Governor. By the Act establishing the SUBEBs, it means that a large bulk of the school system is under the direct control of the State Universal Education Boards excluding the State Ministries of Education (SMoEs).

iii. **Unified curriculum (National Prescription)**

In a federation such as Nigeria, a curriculum that fits all sizes should seriously be re-examined. This places a burden of passivity on States who no longer bother even to localize the curriculum. It is possible in Nigeria to have a common curriculum and allow for each state to add locally relevant content to it. This will illustrate a good example of the utility of concurrent responsibility arrangements as a federation.
iv. **Funding and other financial Issues**

The under-utilized of UBE Funds has become a major challenge in the implementation of the UBE programme. Several reasons have been given for non-accessibility of the funds:

- Insufficient consultation with the states in the design and implementation of the UBE Programme, including project selection;
- Inadequate policy coordination across three tiers of government in the implementation of the UBE programme;
- Lack of capacity within States to use funds in accordance with the guidelines;
- Complex conditions for accessing the funds and the associated bureaucracy;
- Lack of capacity and political will at the federal level to amend guidelines in light of experience and to drive through disbursements;
- The suspension of disbursements due to “sharp practices” in expenditure, which has occurred in several states;
- A lack of willingness of States to give counterpart funding for matching grants.
- Pace of disbursement on the part of UBEC which has been subject to major delays. The fact that quarterly allocations can be rolled over indefinitely does not provide a strong incentive for State governments to commit their matching funding.

v. **Accumulated un-accessed Funds**

Funds allocated and not accessed have become another major challenge that confronts the UBE programme. It is on record that between 2000 and 2008, the sum of N22.6 billion that had been allocated to some public tertiary institutions, State Ministries of Education and Universal Basic Education Boards by the Education Trust Fund was not accessed during the period (Mahmood Yakubu publication 2009).

vi. **Establishing actual progress towards UBE**

This is a major challenge given the poor quality of data available at both Federal and State levels. This challenge has both political and technical dimensions. For this reason, projections based on population figures (like net and gross enrolments) have remained in the realm of conjectures. At the technical level, the human capacity is seriously deficient. There is even an attitudinal/ behavioral dimension to the problem, arising from a general apathy towards record keeping and to use facts and figures for planning and decision-making.
There is no doubt that some progress has been made in recent years in this direction in improving the data profile of the nation. The National Planning Commission in the presidency is experiencing a turn-around. The Education Management Information System (EMIS) is coming into the system, though slowly, but somewhat steadily.

vii. **Top-down Policy Making Culture**

It is quite clear that Nigeria’s Constitution confers the control of primary education to local governments. The grip that States have on Local governments have not allowed this to happen in reality. Similarly, the Federal Government has consistently gone beyond its powers of assistance to the States and Local Governments in Nigeria for purposes of uniform and qualitative education throughout Nigeria. Major education programmes that touch the lives of children in the states and local communities have overpowering influence and direction of the Federal Government even on issues that are best handled at the local level.

Although progress has been made in basic education provision at primary and junior secondary levels, much more remains to be done, both in quantity and quality. The quality of education given at basic education level is still adjudged below standard as evidenced in the products of this level (SER, 2013). The report attributes this to lack of inputs such as qualified teachers, standard infrastructure, effective methodology of teaching, instructional materials, and effective supervision. The report states that States need to do more to improve on enrolment, attendance and transition rates, reduce repetition rates by making sure qualitative teaching goes on in schools. It recommends the recruitment of qualified teachers and regular retraining to keep them abreast of their counterparts around the world.

The consequences of these issues are summarized as follows:

a) Participation in primary education is still low in comparison with primary school age population, with enrolment being particularly problematic, especially in some of the Northern States.

b) The high quality of the national school curriculum is undermined by the generally low quality of teachers to implement it, translating into low levels of learning achievement.
c) Infrastructure and furniture are inadequate and in dilapidated states; sanitary facilities and toilets are inadequate.

d) The system of collecting comprehensive, relevant data for planning is weak.

e) There are social and cultural barriers that are hindrances to female participation.

f) Lack of enforcement of the UBE Act, 2004 on enrolment and retention.

g) Non-accessing of FGN intervention funds by some SUBEBS.

h) Child labour.

i) Poverty.

j) Insecurity issues, especially in parts of the Northern States.

7. **Overall lessons learnt with regard to EFA Goal 2 since 2000**

   ➢ There has been marked increase in enrollment in primary and junior secondary schools over the years. This has also been matched with the provision of additional facilities such as classroom, as well as teaching-learning support materials.

   ➢ The number of public primary schools as at 2010 was 59,007 compared to 2006 with 54,434. This showed an increase of 8.4% of primary schools.

   ➢ The expansion in infrastructural facilities is as a result of a commitment of government to increase access to basic education for citizenry.

   ➢ Non-governmental organization has been key in promoting access to education in Nigeria. Working in collaboration with the Ministries of Education, SUBEBS, and International Development Partners (IDPs) they organize diverse programmes to promote access.
EFA GOAL 3

Ensuring that the learning needs of all young people and adults are met through equitable access to appropriate learning and life-skills programmes.

1. Introduction

EFA Goal 3 which deals with meeting the learning needs of youth and adults is little understood and neglected more so because no targets were set for it (GMR, 2012). This also leads to this EFA goal being erroneously interpreted as compensation programmes for those who have missed out on formal education in their young age. The irony posed by this interpretation of EFA goal 3 - as a make-up for those who have missed out on something - is mentioned as the root cause of the marginalisation process that actually begins with lack of quality basic and secondary education as well as early withdrawal of children from school before they can acquire the skills of survival and continuing learning. As noted in the 2012 GMR, it is very hard to measure skills because it has been difficult for the international community to systematically quantify skills.

Other thorny issues that complicate the goal are the uncertain completion rate of the junior secondary education level and the low transition rate from junior to senior secondary education at which point the bulk of youths from poor families drop out of formal schooling. In addition, some of the youths may not complete the senior secondary level or may not successfully pass out of the system.

To this end, the Government of Nigeria made a renewed commitment to meet the learning needs of out-of-school youths and illiterate adults. The commitment includes provision of skills acquisition programmes for out-of-school youths and adults literacy and education facilities for disadvantaged groups such as nomads. However, the provision of these facilities has not been adequate enough to meet the learning needs of the teeming out-of-school youths and adults. Along with other bottlenecks, this scenario makes it difficult for Nigeria to achieve EFA Goals 3 and 4 by the target year of 2015.
Recognizing the critical link of EFA goal 3 to technical and vocational education (TVET), experts posit that this neglect has led to the challenges of exclusion from the development process for a number of youth and adults who have no access to education or skills. Specifically concerning EFA goal 3 the world committed to:

... re-affirm the vision of the World Declaration on Education for All (Jomtien 1990), supported by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Convention on the Rights of the Child, that all children, young people and adults have the human right to benefit from an education that will meet their basic learning needs in the best and fullest sense of the term, an education that includes learning to know, to do, to live together and to be. It is an education geared to tapping each individual’s talents and potential and developing learners' personalities, so that they can improve their lives and transform their societies (Dakar Framework for Action, 2000).

Following the expanded vision in the Dakar Framework for Action, EFA goal 3 sets a development agenda that derives its potency from adequate emphasis on skills for all. This EFA goal has however remained largely not understood and little implemented for reasons not far removed from its vagueness. The resultant difficulty of interpretation has led to the neglect of goal 3 a situation that further threatens the achievement of EFA in Nigeria. The irony of the situation is that a sound implementation of EFA goal 3 would provide a significant impetus to the attainment of the rest of the EFA agenda³.

EFA Goal 3 interpreted literally; conveys the gain to be derived from appropriate combination of education and skills ultimately translating into enhanced livelihoods and wealth creation. As a result, technical and vocational education and training (TVET) are major drivers for this goal being the strand of education that empowers youth and adults with competences and skills for self-employment and income generation. Infused with a generous dose of enterprise skills, beneficiaries are most likely thoroughly equipped for life⁴.

⁴ Nwangwu, op.cit
2. Progress in EFA Goal 3

In its commitment towards meeting the learning needs of youths and adults in the country, government has developed specific programmes that target the youth and adult population.

- Each-one–teach–one programme, the Regenerated Freirean Literacy and Empowering Community Techniques (REFLECT), the Literacy Initiative for Empowerment (LIFE), which is a UNESCO’s global strategies framework for collaborative action to enhance literacy efforts in countries that have adult population of more than ten million illiterates.
- Another laudable achievement of government is the Literacy by Radio as well as gender and life skills programmes.
- Government has responded to the challenge of meeting the learning needs of youth and adults by vocationalizing the new senior secondary school curriculum, which became effective in 2011/2012 academic session. This gesture holds considerable potentials as it has infused more contents that are technical and offer 35 different trades within the curriculum. The vocationalised curriculum, offers opportunity to increase access and offerings in TVE.
- To boost enrolment in formal technical colleges, government in 2004 converted all Federal Government Technical Vocational Schools to Science Technical Colleges. This successfully increased the demand for placement into the colleges.
- More recently, the introduction of Vocational Enterprise Institutes (VEI) and Innovative Enterprise Institutes (IEI) was to promote school leavers’ employability through reskilling, fill the gap created by the low absorptive capacity of existing tertiary institutions and at the same time broaden access to TVET. Skills that can be offered by emerging enterprise institutes include, soccer, film making, theatre arts/acting, modeling/fashion design, Medical/Dental assistant/Technologist, Weaving/dyeing, Nursery Assistant/Nanny, Beautician/Manicurist etcetera.
- Through the support of African Development Bank (ADB), the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE) developed the capacities of 200 nomadic women on income generation and entrepreneurship skills (sewing, knitting, yoghurt production, liquid soap and pomade making) in two (2) nomadic model training centres in Nigeria.
located at Ladduga-Kachia Grazing Reserve and Kilometre 26 along Kaduna-Abuja express way.

Although a wide variety of opportunities exist for technical and vocational skills training, there is disparity in access to the disadvantage of the rural poor as most technical schools and skills training facilities are concentrated in urban centres, which offer higher likelihood of patronage. Girls are also less likely to subscribe to TVE since most of the courses offered including wood work, masonry, and metal work etcetera were traditionally of male bias. Disparity in enrolment in favour of boys is evident in statistics on enrolment in table 3.3.1.

Table 3.3.1: Gender Disparity in Technical College Enrolment – 2010/2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73,843</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>13,557</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>81,400</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State of Education Report (SER) 2013

Data from 147 out of the 159 Technical Colleges spread across the states of Nigeria indicate that there was a huge gap between male (84.5%) and female (15.5%) enrolment in 2010/2011 academic year (SER, 2013).

The open apprenticeship scheme operates under individuals or private proprietors and offer training on skills such as auto mechanics, welding, vulcanizing, carpentry, GSM repairs, painting and decoration, hairdressing, tailoring and dress making, woodwork/carpentry, baking etcetera.

However, opportunities also exist in adult non formal education centres including Women education centres under various State Ministries of Education or Local Government Education Authorities (LGEAs) which offer training for non-literates and learners of lower academic background on skills such as soap making, pomade making, catering, knitting tailoring/dress making, bead making and others, to promote income generation and empowerment of women. In addition, the various skills training programmes under the National Poverty Elimination Programme (NAPEP) which are aimed at the empowerment of the urban and rural poor for income generation towards self-sufficiency also contribute to the national efforts to boost technical and vocational education and training.
Other sectors including health and agriculture offer extension services by way of skill training for enhanced service delivery. There are also training programmes run by the Niger Delta Development Commission (NDDC) which focus specifically on ameliorating poverty and its attendant challenges in the oil producing areas. In addition, a number of Development Agencies including UNDP, NGOs and FBOs also undertake training on various skills areas for Persons Living Positively with AIDS (PLPWA) as well as urban and rural poor towards income generation for poverty alleviation.

However, while the scope of opportunities is varied, there is currently no systematized framework to coordinate activities of different sources of acquisition of skills including those offered by the Ministry of Niger Delta Affairs (MNDA) and other organizations to address overlaps, create synergy and maximize resource usage for maximum impact.

3. Main EFA Challenge for Goal 3

The result of the non-implementation of EFA goal 3 for Nigeria is that a number of young people operate in the informal sector and the system is not yet able to aggregate, support and mainstream this large reservoir of skills and entrepreneurship in the non-formal sector into local indigenous trades and industries. The activities of this group, though supporting sub systems and communities are undocumented, unsupported and little understood. An additional factor is that the exemplary skills and creativity demonstrated in this sector is poorly patronised, lowly priced and valued, a fact perhaps accounted for by the often-poor packaging of products. Given that apprentices who are in a hurry to earn money hurriedly finish these products, the lack of patronage is not surprising.

The assumption in EFA goal 3 is that a critical mass of knowledge and information is required for a leap into the development mainstream. This is not the case at the moment. National statistics show that over 40 million youths and adults in Nigeria are excluded from the mainstream by lack of education and skills. Perhaps this led to the conclusion drawn in the Dakar review that quantitative achievements noted in enhanced enrolment over the decade since the EFA proclamation in 1990 tell nothing of the plight of the millions who are still excluded from education or of alienated youth and their painful struggle to find a place and retain their values in changing societies.
Majority of Nigerian children remain unable to complete basic education even with the enhanced duration with a mere 34% attaining the secondary level. The professed emphasis on technical and vocational education as to become drivers of the economy and create employment for the youth, has not materialized into any meaningful gain with such low basic education base and as observed in the Global Monitoring Report (2010), ‘technical and vocational education is in such bad shape that it merits its reputation as a form of second-class schooling’-p.76.

As observed in GMR 2010, this is an unfortunate situation and limits Nigeria’s spring as a global player among emerging economies of the world. As observed by the Human Development thematic theme group of Vision 20-20-20, this constitutes part of the complex human development challenges that Nigeria grapples with summarised as:

- Exclusion of vast pools of human resources from the development process.
- Wide regional disparities in human development and capacity indices.
- Gaps and misalignment between supply and demand for human capital.
- Brain drain
- Failure to aggregate, support and mainstream reservoir of entrepreneurship, and skills within informal sector, and traditional trades and native industries.
- Weak institutional and human capacity.
- Human capacity gaps in strategic Sectors.
- Social and cultural barriers to education.
- Poverty
- Level of decay in infrastructure and learning resources.

Noting the decrease in average class size from 48 in 2007 to approximately 39 in 2010, SER 2011 pointed out that schools have maintained about the same average size of around 350 pupils although there remains a frightening overcrowding of classrooms in urban schools while classes in schools in rural communities are sparsely populated. The report tags this as a situation of wastage but above all, this overcrowded situation explains the low learning achievement that has become the marked dent in the education system. Table 3.3.2 provides further details.

**Table 3.3.2: Primary Enrolment by school, classrooms, streams 2008-2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No of school</th>
<th>No. of classrooms</th>
<th>Stream</th>
<th>No. Enrolled</th>
<th>Total M + F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 3.3.2 presents the trend of enrolment between 2008 and 2010. This trend shows that the number of children in school uncharacteristically dipped in 2010 the same year that a net gain of 15.57% in schools was recorded over the period 2008-2010. This shows curious statistical indicators of an increase in the number of schools but a decline in the number of children accessing school.

4. Out-of-School Children

A survey conducted by “United Nations Children Fund” (UNICEF) in 2011 revealed that Nigeria had the highest number of out-of-school children in the world. The survey showed that one (1) out of every three (3) school-age children was out of school. The problem was said to be more in the North and girls constituted the higher proportion of out-of-school children.

State by State and Zone by Zone comparisons of percentages of out-of-school primary and junior secondary school-age children are shown in table 3.3.3.

From the table 3.3.3, it can be seen that the States with the lowest rates of out-of-school children at primary school-age were Ekiti, Anambra, Lagos, Ogun and Imo, all of them coming from the southern part of the country in South-East and South-West Zones. On the other hand, States with the highest rates were Zamfara, Borno, Kebbi, Sokoto and Yobe, all of them from the northern part of the country in North-East and North-West Zones. Similarly, North-West Zone had the highest out-of-school primary school-age children followed by North-East Zone while South-West and South-South Zones had the least. The peculiar positions of Niger, Borno, Zamfara, Ebonyi, Enugu, Rivers and Oyo in their respective zones need to be noted.

Comparatively, the table 3.3.3 also shows rates of junior secondary school-age children that were out-of-school. States with the highest rates were Borno, Sokoto, Kebbi, Zamfara and Bauchi, again coming from North-East and North-West Zones. Likewise, the two zones had the highest rates of out-of-school junior secondary school-age children. On the other hand, Ondo, Abia, Ekiti, Imo and Osun States had the lowest rates. Once more, these States come from South-East
and South-West Zones. At the junior secondary level, Niger and Oyo stand alone in their respective zones.

*Table 3.3.3: Percentage of Out-of-School Children in Nigeria; State by State Comparison.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION/STATE</th>
<th>PRIMARY</th>
<th>JUNIOR SECONDARY</th>
<th>REGION/STATE</th>
<th>PRIMARY</th>
<th>JUNIOR SECONDARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH-CENTRAL ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SOUTH-EAST ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benue</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>Abia</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwara</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>Anambra</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogi</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
<td>Ebonyi</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarawa</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>Enugu</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>Imo</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonal Average</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>22.1%</td>
<td>Zonal Average</td>
<td>9.32%</td>
<td>4.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH-EAST ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SOUTH-SOUTH ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamawa</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>Akwa-Ibom</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauchi</td>
<td>58.2%</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>Bayelsa</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borno</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
<td>69.4%</td>
<td>Cross-River</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gombe</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>41.3%</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taraba</td>
<td>31.9%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>Edo</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yobe</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>64.3%</td>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonal Average</td>
<td>49.75%</td>
<td>49.68%</td>
<td>Zonal Average</td>
<td>8.88%</td>
<td>6.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NORTH-WEST ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>SOUTH-WEST ZONE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jigawa</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td>64.5%</td>
<td>Ekiti</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaduna</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>44.0%</td>
<td>Ogun</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsina</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>63.9%</td>
<td>Ondo</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kebbi</td>
<td>69.0%</td>
<td>67.6%</td>
<td>Osun</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokoto</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>68.9%</td>
<td>Oyo</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>18.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zamfara</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
<td>65.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zonal Average</td>
<td>57.04%</td>
<td>56.67%</td>
<td>Zonal Average</td>
<td>8.05%</td>
<td>6.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


While the national average rate of out-of-school primary school-age children was 26%, it is of interest to note that the average rate for Northern States was 43.3% while that of Southern States
was 8.75%. On the other hand, the national average for out-of-school junior secondary school-age children was 24.25%. Northern States had 42.82% while Southern States had 5.69%. This calls for special intervention of the three tiers of Government in Nigeria to intensify efforts to cope with the problems of out-of-school children, especially in the North. This situation has improved, although accurate data is not available yet.

5. **Drop-outs from the formal education system**

Another area of major concern is the drop-out rate of the youths from the formal education system. Children drop out of the formal school system for many reasons. These include poverty, cultural values, academic abilities and a host of other reasons. Such children would, later in life, require a second-chance for them to continue their educational careers. The non-formal education (NFE) system is expected to take care of the interests of this group. The Table 3.3.4 compares rates of enrolments, retention and completion of various levels of the education system.

*Table 3.3.4: 2010 Enrolment, Retention and Completion Rates in various Levels of Education*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Enrolment Rate</th>
<th>Retention</th>
<th>Completion Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (M)</td>
<td>Female (F)</td>
<td>Total (M&amp;F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>10,998,709</td>
<td>41.84</td>
<td>9,303,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jnr. Secondary</td>
<td>2,703,938</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>2,306,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Secondary</td>
<td>2,201,215</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>1,845,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary Institutions</td>
<td>391,431</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>240,715</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: National Planning Commission; 2011, pp4-7

From table 3.3.4, it can be seen that only 77.23% of primary school age children were enrolled in primary schools in 2010. In the same vein, only 43.1% of junior secondary school age children were enrolled in school, with 39.71% in secondary schools and 1.94% in tertiary institutions. The tertiary institutions here include Colleges of Education, Polytechnics, Monotechnics and Universities. These also include Federal, State and Private tertiary institutions.
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A comparison of enrolment in senior secondary education level to that of tertiary education level depicts the inadequacy of the carrying capacities of the tertiary institutions leading to the larger portion of graduates of senior education ending as out-of-school youths looking for second-chance to continue their education career.

Comparison of retention and completion rates as presented in table 3.3.4 as well shows that all those dropping out of the formal school system, for one reason or another, constitute the out-of-school youths. These are the productive elements of the population for whom skills as provided under TVET must be made available.

6. **Strategic imperatives for meeting EFA goal 3**

Government recognizes the fact that the focus of goal 3 is on skills and learning opportunities for young people provided through technical and vocational education programmes. The various programmes initiated by government are aimed at strengthening the transition from school to the world of work, in offering second chances and in combating marginalization. This section presents some strategic actions that could widen access to technical and vocational education and training.

7. **Enhanced image and visibility for TVET**

Government plans to work at enhancing the low status of technical and vocational education and training by according greater recognition to skills. Through the vocationalisation of secondary school education, government has begun a recognition and articulation of the centrality of skills in the overall national development agenda. It is expected that by so doing, government would revisit the policy provision for streaming into TVET in the National Policy on Education.

8. **Recognition and standards**

A major crippling factor against skills development is the low recognition of artisanal skills perhaps occasioned by the often hasty completion and poor packaging of products and services. Because a number of artisans are not even able to attach appropriate monetary value to their work, they are not able to earn a livelihood from their skills. This is a big challenge leading to exploitation of both customer and client. The perceived negative return on investment in turn fuels rejection of such skills and limits acquisition of them among young people and adults. It is
hoped that government would initiate a regulated system of pricing to ensure that both customer
and client are protected. There are recognized guilds and societies for most crafts and skills.
Such guilds can be supported to enforce standards among practitioners as well as protect clients
who need to seek redress for a job not well done.

9. **Certification**

There is need for a National Vocational Qualifications Framework (NVQF) which can categorise
and weigh the skills and competences offered in technical and vocational education and training
and locate them at commensurate levels in the NVQF. This is already being driven by the
National Board for Technical Education (NBTE). It is important to have this framework finalised
as quickly as possible. In 2014, NBTE began the process of certifying skills and appropriating
them for NVQF. This will help to streamline and clean up practice in that area.

10. **Vocationalisation of education at all levels**

This is about the most effective way of tackling stigma as well as ensuring availability of skills
for national development. This option exposes learners early to both academic and vocational
education. It is important to review the start of the programme as by the senior secondary level, a
number of students have left school whereas if this began at upper secondary practical skills
would have been consolidated by then.

11. **Enhanced funding**

Technical and vocational education and training is not a cheap programme to run. Current
provision is seriously hampered by lack of equipment around technical colleges while the VEIs
are equally not yet freely available. There must be increased funding of this sub-sector to enable
acquisition of the equipment that are very crucial for practical training.

12. **Companies must play a role**

Companies will gain from a useful collaborative relationship with government in workplace
training. Participating companies would have a pool of persons who may have become familiar
with their processes as well as have an opportunity to feed information on work demands into the
education system. This will bridge the current disconnect between education and the world of work.
3.4 – Improving Adult Literacy

EFA GOAL 4

*Achieving a 50 percent improvement in levels of adult literacy by 2015, especially for women, and equitable access to basic and continuing education for all adults.*

1. **Introduction**

Nigeria is one of the E-9 member countries that are responsible for three-quarters (3/4) of the world’s illiterate adults, two-third (2/3) of whom are women. This signifies that over the years, the marginalized groups have continued to be denied educational opportunities (EFA 2013/14: p1). Literacy levels have merely marginally increased and women remain lower in the rung of its attainment. Literacy programmes are offered largely through the non-formal means. It has therefore become imperative to think of post 2015 strategies of reaching the educational needs of the disadvantaged groups in addition to meeting the new challenges in the provision of educational opportunities. Before then, the discussion begins with analysis of the policy/legal framework of NFE, within the context of national and international commitments including *Jomtien Declaration on Education (1990)* and the *Dakar Frame Work of Action (2000).*

However, the following discussion is concerned with the target beneficiaries of NFE programmes. It examines the population of out-of-school youths and illiterate adults in relation to the national population, progress made, as well as lessons learnt and the way forward.

- **The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (1999):** In addition to recognizing the need for adequate and equitable distribution of educational facilities for all Nigerians, Section 18(3) (c) of the Constitution stipulates that:-

  *Government shall strive to eradicate illiteracy; and, to this end, Government shall, as and when practicable, provide: . . . free adult literacy programme.* (FRN; 2011, p35)
Hence, the Federal Government is committed to ensuring the rights of all citizens to education and knowledge in order to live meaningful life. In pursuance of this constitutional provision, the Basic Framework of Action to meet the Basic Learning needs was developed for implementation by national agencies, States and Local Governments.

2. **Progress Made towards non-formal and Adult Education**

In commitment to targets and actions for non-formal education which will enable its citizenry live more fulfilled and productive lives and contribute to national development and the consolidation of democracy, a range of provisions were made.

- **Legal and Policy Framework and establishment of National Institutions**
  - **National Commission for Mass Literacy, Adult and Non-Formal Education (NMEC):**
    
    Established under Decree No. 17 of June, 1990: The Commission is charged with the responsibility of: making literate all those who, for one reason or the other, did not or cannot benefit from formal school system. These include out-of-school youths, children in the street, women in purdah, victims of teenage motherhood (VVF), nomadic illiterate people, almajiris and migrant fishing folk (NMEC; 2010, p2).

    A Blueprint on NFE was developed by Government to serve as a roadmap and to facilitate the implementation of the objectives of NMEC.

  - **“National Commission for Nomadic Education” (NCNE):** Establishment in 2004 to providing educational opportunities to the nomadic pastoralists and migrant fishing folk (FME; 2013, p11).

    NCNE complements the activities of NMEC in providing functional and relevant educational programmes for children and young nomads and migrant fishing folk. The programme facilitates their integration into the normal life and equips them to make meaningful contributions to the nation’s socio–economic development. Beyond provision of basic literacy classes, the Commission continuously engages relevant agencies and professional bodies to train pastoralists on animal husbandry and modern dairy cattle production, as well as business/financial management to empower the learner, as well as encourage retainance.
✓ The **Universal Basic Education (UBE)** was launched in 1999, in fulfillment of its international obligation to the **1990 Jomtien Declaration** and the **Compulsory, Free, Universal Basic Education Act, 2004, and other Related Matters (UBEC LAW)**.

The operations of “Universal Basic Education” embraces *early childhood care and education, the nine years of formal schooling, adult literacy and non-formal education, skills acquisition programmes, girl-child and women, Almajiri, street children and disabled groups.*  (UBEC; 2005, P10).

Thus the main agency coordinating the implementation of basic education is “**Universal Basic Education Commission**” (UBEC) as EFA Goals 3 and 4 are components of UBE, while NMEC and NCNE are charged with responsibilities for adult and non-formal education and nomadic education respectively.

- The 1998 Edition of the “**National Policy on Education**” (NPE) laid down excellent objectives for mass literacy, adult and non-formal education. The document also made specific provision for policy makers and implementers and recommended the establishment of the “**State Agency for Mass Education**” (SAME), in all the 36 States of the Federation including the FCT, in order to complement the effort of the Federal Government, in eradication of illiteracy. The State Governments were also urged to adequately fund these Agencies to effectively coordinate established NFE offices in each of the Local Governments, in providing literacy programmes that fit the economic, cultural, social and political needs of the illiterate adults in their respective areas of jurisdiction (FRN; 1998, p36). NMEC maintains close working relationship with SAMEs in the implementation of literacy programmes, including running and monitoring of literacy classes.

- **Gender Parity and Equality in NFE:** The plight of the girl child has been a universal concern to the Nigerian Government. This led to the production of a **National Gender Policy in Basic Education** meant to address the cultural attitudes and practices hindering girls’ participation in education. The document also advocates for the need for women/girls education as a roadmap to gender equality and social justice. This development was followed by the production of curriculum for the girl child and
adolescents education in 2005 to serve as a guide for effective teaching and learning. The
National Blue Print for Non-Formal Education in Nigeria also guides the operation of
this curriculum while the National Minimum Benchmark provides means for monitoring
and evaluating the Literacy programmes

3. Progress Made

a) “National Action Plan” (NAP) was developed in 2001 as a roadmap for the
achievement of EFA and education related “Millennium Development Goals” (MDGs)
by the year 2015. A key mission of the Education Sector captured in the NAP is
“eradicating illiteracy in Nigeria by ensuring the acquisition of appropriate levels of
literacy and numeracy that will serve as the foundation for life-long learning”. (FME; 2013, p14). One of the effective strategies mapped out by NAP for achievement of EFA
Goals is:-Providing an enabling environment and stimulating the active participation of
the private sector, civil society organizations, communities and development partners in
education development. (FME; 2013, p8)

b) 2010 National Literacy Survey (NLS) conducted by the National Bureau of Statistics
(NBS) with the support of NMEC provided among other things, baseline information on
literacy in Nigeria which served as a platform for renewed national efforts towards
improving literacy activities especially, NFE. The Survey put Nigeria’s population at
154,774,091 out of which 38.9% were children 0-14 years, 18.8% youths aged from 15 -
25 and 42.3% were adults from 25 to over 85 years. The document also provided
variation in literacy levels by age groups, sex, household, urban to rural settlements and
by the 36 States of the Federation, including the FTC, as depicted in the Tables 3.4.1
below.

### Table 3.4.1: 2010 Estimated Populations by Age and by Sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>GROUP</th>
<th>MALE</th>
<th>FEMALE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>32,319,711</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>27,896,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-24</td>
<td>Youths</td>
<td>14,851,102</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>13,578,590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c.) Literacy rates in youths and adults

The 2010 survey shows the variation of literacy rates among the youths and adults in English Language and any other language as detailed in Table 3.4.2 below.

Table 3.4.2: 2010 National Literacy Rates of Youths and Adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Literacy Rate in English Language</th>
<th>Literacy Rate in any Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Male</td>
<td>% Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youths</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NBS; 2010, pp7-8.

Table 3.4.2 shows that youths were more literate than the adults and the males were more literate than the females. Also, figures for literacy rates in any local language were higher than figures for literacy rates in English Language.

The 2010 NBS Survey also illustrated that the highest literacy rate among the 36 States including the FCT in English Language for the youths, was 95.6% in Abia (Eastern State), while the lowest literacy rate of 33.1% was recorded in Sokoto (Northern State). Similarly, Imo (Eastern State) and Lagos (Western State) had highest adult literacy rates of 80.8% and 80.5% respectively, while Sokoto again, had the least rate of 22.1%. The urban settlements had higher literacy rate of 69.4% while rural dwellers had 38.5%. The findings also revealed that 47.4% of the illiterate adults wanted to be literate, albeit, only 30.2% of them were aware of the existence of adult literacy programmes (NBS; 2010, pp17-19).

Relatedly, the 2012 EFA Country Report showed that the South-South, South- East and South-West zones had the highest literacy rates of 69%.

C) Access to non-formal education (NFE) programmes
NMEC capitalizes on its established structure in all the States of the Federation to provide basic literacy for the target out-of-school youths and illiterate adults. In recent times, skill acquisition programmes including ICTs necessary for life-long learning, were integrated for economic empowerment and self-reliance. Post-literacy programmes are also provided as second chance for those interested in continuing their education career. Table 3.4.3 below shows the data of the various programmes offered by NMEC from 2008-2011.

Table 3.4.3: Summary of Learners Enrolments by Programmes for 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAMME</th>
<th>LEARNERS ENROLMENT</th>
<th>NO PASSED</th>
<th>% FEMALE ENROLMENT</th>
<th>% FEMALE PASSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MALE</td>
<td>FEMALE</td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>MALE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Literacy</td>
<td>195,441</td>
<td>146,742</td>
<td>342,183</td>
<td>114,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post Literacy</td>
<td>17,221</td>
<td>20,302</td>
<td>37,523</td>
<td>11,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cont. Education</td>
<td>16,140</td>
<td>10,483</td>
<td>26,623</td>
<td>12,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl-child Education</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>27,305</td>
<td>27,656</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literacy by Radio</td>
<td>19,384</td>
<td>35,046</td>
<td>54,430</td>
<td>14,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int. Qur’anic Education</td>
<td>78,588</td>
<td>72,969</td>
<td>151,557</td>
<td>38,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers Education</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women Education</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11,686</td>
<td>11,692</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational Education</td>
<td>3,936</td>
<td>10,098</td>
<td>14,034</td>
<td>3,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of Sch. Educ.</td>
<td>17,457</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,457</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nomadic Adult Educ.</td>
<td>5,603</td>
<td>1,564</td>
<td>7,167</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>355,101</strong></td>
<td><strong>336,614</strong></td>
<td><strong>691,715</strong></td>
<td><strong>195,034</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NMEC 2008-2011 Status Report on Adult and Non-Formal Education in Nigeria
The highest enrolments were in Basic Literacy, Integrated Qur’anic Education, Literacy by Radio and Post Literacy programmes. Out of the 691,715 registered learners, 585,693, representing 84.67%, were enrolled in these programmes. The next popular programmes were Girl-Child Education and Continuing Education. The programmes geared towards skills acquisition attracted more than 50% of female learners - Women Education, Girl-child Education, Vocational Education, Literacy by Radio and Post Literacy.

4. Other Progress towards EFA goal 4

The Government of Nigeria has initiated the following programmes driven by FME in collaboration with related agencies, IDPs and stakeholders as it strives to eradicate illiteracy.

i) REFLECT- “Regenerated Freirian Literacy through Empowering Community Techniques”. The main objective of REFLECT is to empower communities in functional literacy and provide opportunity for participants to be more knowledgeable and conscious of their rights and privileges, in order to take informed decisions to enhance their livelihood. The programme implementation was targeted at the less privileged, the poor, the marginalized and the excluded persons. Major achievements of the programme:

- Participants have taken ownership of the programme and developed their learning materials to meet their needs thus establishing the linkage between literacy community development issues.
- Establishment of more than 100 REFLECT communities nationwide from 1997 – 2010;
- Over five hundred thousand (500,000) illiterates made literate through this strategy. (FRN; 2012, p8)

ii) “Literacy by Radio” Programme: The programme was launched in 2009 by NMEC with the assistance of UNESCO, UNICEF and other government agencies to increase access for adult and non-formal education learners. It provided opportunity for the delivery of basic literacy to a large number of learners across the nation through the mass media, as well as enhanced reading, writing among learners irrespective of location, age, gender, socio-cultural, and economic circumstances. The success of the Literacy by Radio programme was achieved through advocacy, sensitization and mobilization of stakeholders in addition to distribution of transistor
radio sets to the target learners through SAME. Financial support was also provided to State Agencies at the cost of N2.1m per state in 2008, for the payment of airtime. Major achievements:

- 83.3% success was recorded in the pilot programme in 12 States which informed scaling-up to all the 36 States of the Federation including the FCT.
- Improvement on Learners’ knowledge and practice in health and nutrition including HIV/AIDS. Learners also learnt more about civic education and entrepreneurial/life skills while acquiring literacy.

iii) Establishment of Model Literacy Centres: The Government through the support of IDPs have established and equipped model literacy/Skill acquisition centres in all 774 Local Government Areas, to cater for out-of-school children and adult and enable them mainstream into the formal school system. Post literacy programmes are also offered at the centres. However, the centres in the Northern States give priority to young girls who drop out of schools because of poverty, early marriage or unwanted pregnancies. In Eastern and Southern States, the focus is on boy-child drop-outs. The activities of these centres have been scaled up in recent times with the initiation of the Girl Education Programme (GEP) and the Back-to-School Programme for Boys. The major constraint of these Literacy and Acquisition Centres is the lack of political will by most of the State Governments to sustain and fund the operations of the centres especially, the payment of the allowances of the facilitators/instructors.

- NMEC is close in working relationship with the 36 State Agencies for Mass Education (SAME) in NFE programmes implementation and conducts regular capacity building programmes to improve.

iv) Promotion of indigenous languages in literacy delivery: NMEC and SAMEs developed primers in 22 indigenous languages with the collaboration of the Nigerian Educational Research and Development Council (NERDC) and other experts. The following Primers were developed and produced between 2000 and 2012:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers Developed:</th>
<th>Year of Production:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Social studies and Citizenship Education</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Basic Science primer for Non-Formal Education</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Non Formal Education curriculum for Qur’anic Schools</td>
<td>2003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d) Non-Formal Education curriculum for Out-of Schools Boys……. . . . . . . . . 2003

e) Communication strategies for the Integration of Basic Education into Qur’anic Schools ……………………………………………………………….. 2007

f) National Benchmark for Non-Formal Education & Integrating Basic Education into Qur’anic Schools in Nigeria …………………… 2007

g) National Blueprint for Adult and Non-Formal Education in Nigeria. ……. 2007

h) English for Non-Formal Education ………………………………………………….. 2008

i) Policies Issues and Practice …………………………………………………………….. 2010

j) Customized Teaching and Learning materials ……………………………………….. 2012

v) **Curriculum development**: NMEC collaborated with UNICEF to develop Curricula for Basic and Post Literacy levels, as well as those for Integrated Qur’anic Education, Girl Child Education, Business Education and Vocational Education.

vi) **Standardized Monitoring and Evaluation Instrument Developed**: for monitoring and evaluation with the guidelines for programme improvement, efficiency, logical framework analysis and goal attainment scaling.

vii) “**Each-One-Teach-One**”: NMEC has produced jingles in three Major Nigerian Languages (Hausa, Igbo and Yoruba) and is sponsoring the weekly sensitization program on NTA Channel 5 under the slogan of “Each-One-Teach-One”.

viii) **E-Learning Package for Literacy and Community Based Learning**

NMEC developed e-learning content for basic literacy based on innovation and good practices from other E-9 countries, in particular India. The package is now being trial tested in some literacy centres, the findings of which will aid successful implementation.

ix) **Public-Private Partnership**

Partnerships are forged and existing ones being strengthened to promote the cause of NFE in Nigeria, more so, as NMEC is grossly underfunded. However, in 2012, the FGN developed the **Public–Private Partnerships Framework for Literacy** in collaboration with UNESCO, Bangladesh, Senegal and stakeholders, to syndicate and mobilize resources especially, for NFE. NMEC collaborates with relevant stakeholders in jointly implementing NFE programmes.
There is an increasing attention for NFE by both the private and private sectors.

x) Advocacy and Sensitization Campaign

NMEC is continuously engaged in advocacy and sensitization campaign to mobilize technical and financial support in programme delivery. Notably, the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed between NMEC and the “National Youths Service Corps (NYSC) signed in August 1997, to involve the one year mandatory graduate corps members in Mass Education Campaigns in their respective areas of primary assignment is being sustained because of its numerous benefits to NFE course.

NMEC undertakes continuous advocacy and national sensitization visits to states, agencies, stakeholders, law makers and opinion leaders to create awareness and mobilize support towards the delivery of NFE programmes. During such visits, government agencies, corporate organizations, the civil societies, faith-based organizations and individuals are encouraged to integrate literacy into their Work Plan. Visits undertaken and achievements recorded from such are presented in Table 3.4.4.

Table 3.4.4: Advocacy visits to states and results achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>States/Organization/Institutions</th>
<th>Achievements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sokoto</td>
<td>• Additional recruitment of facilitators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Improved payment of facilitators’ allowances in line with the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“National Blue Print on Adult and Non-Formal Education” (NBPANFE).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jigawa</td>
<td>• Increase in allowances of facilitators from ₦7,000 to ₦10,000.00.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Post literacy facilitator’s allowance increased from ₦10,000 to ₦13,000.00.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Provision of Vocational materials on monthly basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano</td>
<td>• Recruitment of more NFE facilitators and establishment of more NFE centres in the state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger Delta Development Commission (NDDC)</td>
<td>• “Revamping Adult and Youth Literacy in Niger Delta” project was initiated to address issues of illiteracy as a weapon curtail the challenges of unemployment, kidnapping and other social vices among youths in the region.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in table 3.4.4, the advocacy visits to some selected States and Government agencies achieved some level of successes. The achievements include recruitment of additional facilitators, increment of allowances of the facilitators, provision of additional learning materials, increase in the number of NFE Centres, increased awareness in the provision of NFE facilities, increased participation of the private sector in the provision of NFE programmes and facilities, and inclusion of NFE programmes in the “Poverty Reduction Strategic Framework”.

xi) Revitalizing Adult and Youth Literacy (RAYL)

Nigeria is collaborating with UNESCO in the implementation of the 4-year national programme which was initiated in 2011, in commitment to the Abuja Framework of Action and Cooperation (June 2010), adopted by the E-9 countries. An MoU was signed between Nigeria and UNESCO for self-benefiting–Fund –in Trust of $6,468,233. The programme is aimed at increasing literacy level especially, among the hard- to -reach groups and strengthen national capacity for the delivery of quality literacy programmes in NFE, with special focus on women and girls, in order to fast-track the attainment of EFA goals 3, 4 and 5, as well as the education related MDG goals 2 and 3. About 4 million learners are expected to be made literate through RAYL at the conclusion of the programme in 2015. Major activities undertaken within the context of RAYL programme:

- March – August 2012: Development of a Strategic Framework for Implementation, a Public–Private Partnerships Framework for Literacy and a Multi-lingual Aspects of Non-Formal Education for incorporation into the national policy on Adult and Non-Formal Education;
- March 2013 Self–Benefiting Literacy Fellowships for training of ten (10) officials drawn from of NMEC, SAMEs and an NGO, at the UNESCO Institute for Life-Long Learning (UIL) Germany;
Engagement of the UN System, the IDPs and the cooperate sector to support RAYL programme implementation through integration of literacy into their Work Plans. $1m syndicated from Procter and Gamble for the implementation of a 3-year programme on Empowerment of Girls and Women Through the use of ICTs in Literacy and Skills Development in Nigeria for girls and women in Rivers State and the FCT. About 60,000 women and girls are expected to benefit from the 3-year project two intervention sites.

Capacity Building Workshop on Preparation of Testing Action Research Guidebook for Youth and Adult Literacy in Multilingual Context, in April 2014; and

433 Master Trainers in April 2013 in partnership with Faculties of Education of selected universities in each of the six geo-political zones. Training programme was replicated for 3,774 facilitators of adult literacy programmes in 33 States including the FCT in August 2013.

Table 3.4.5: Summary of statistics of the training by zones from April-May 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>North –Central</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>North- East</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>North –West</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>South –East</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>South –West</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>South –South</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Major Constraints of RAYL Programme

a) Lack of political will by some State Governments to take ownership of the programme and sufficiently drive the non-formal education sub-sector;

b) Inadequate funding by States and Local Governments and consequent non-payment of allowances of facilitators of established State Literacy Centres; and

c) Insecurity, especially in the States under emergency rule because of insurgency.

5. Challenges

i. Lack of political will by some State Governments to take ownership and scale-up laudable NFE programmes initiated at the Federal level. Often times projects stop at the pilot level in the States to the detriment of eager learners at the grassroots;
ii. Inadequate funding of the NFE sector by all levels of Government hence NFE is mostly supported by IDPs and largely driven by the civil society and faith-based organizations;

iii. Non-payment or under payment of approved allowances of facilitators of established literacy and skill acquisition centres;

iv. Inadequate data to aid planning and monitoring and literacy delivery and participation;

v. Negative cultural attitudes and practices hindering the full participation of girls’ in education programme especially, in the Northern region;

vi. Lack of synergy of efforts and resources among literacy related agencies; and

vii. Recent insecurity by insurgent in some parts of the country.

6. **Way Forward**

i. Continuous advocacy and sensitization, as well as innovative strategies to highlight the relevance of NFE to individual and national development, in order to improve political will, and ultimately syndicate adequate financial and material resources;

ii. Urgent and similar attention given to the formal education by all levels of government is needed to support the NFE, in view of the potentials of NFE to provide opportunity for school drop-outs, youth and adults illiterates to improve their self-esteem, acquire basic and life skill and more importantly provide employment.

iii. Regular dialogue and networking among literacy related Government agencies to share information and experiences for more integrated delivery of NFE programmes;

iv. Strengthening the capacity and funding of Nigerian Education Information System (NEMIS) to process and provide accurate data for effective planning and monitoring of NFE programmes;

v. Effective implementation of the *Girl Education Programme (GEP)* and the *Back-to-School Programme for Boys* initiatives by all stakeholders;

vi. NMEC should be adequately funded to carry out its mandate; and

vii. Strengthening of security measures across the country.
3.5 – Gender Parity and Equality in Education

**EFA GOAL 5**

*Eliminating gender disparities in primary and secondary education by 2005, and achieving gender equality in education in literacy by 2015, with a focus on ensuring girls’ full access to and achievement in basic education of good quality.*

1. **Introduction**

Inequality as it relates to gender is an endemic reality which is aptly described in very strong terms from both global and local perspectives. Women are more than fifty percent of the world’s population. They perform two-thirds of the world’s work, yet receive one-tenth of the world’s income and own one-hundredth of the world’s property. They represent a staggering seventy percent of the world’s one billion poorest people. With an estimated population of over 162 million, Nigeria has the highest population among African nations and is ranked the world’s seventh most populated country. About 49% of the Nigerian population (approximately 80.2 million) is female. Out of this number, 38% of women lack formal education while only 25% is recorded for the males in this category. These figures have serious implications for national development. As rightly observed by the UN Secretary – General Ban Ki-Moon, no appreciable development can be made either at the local, national or international platform without recognizing girls and women as equal players in the game of life whilst empowering, up-skilling and investing in them for a better world. Ban Ki-Moon further declared that when we empower women and girls, we empower communities, nations and entire human family.

In view of the fore-going, issues related to gender (parity and equity), occupy a central place in tracking progress towards the attainment of EFA goals. The EFA GMR (2013) provides a framework for distinguishing between “gender parity” and “equality in education”. Thus, it explains that while gender parity means equal enrolment ratio for girls and boys, equality in education portends a schooling environment that is free of discrimination and provides equal
opportunities for boys and girls to realize their potentials. Furthermore, it was observed that gender parity is just the first step towards EFA Goal 5. Other steps include: making sure that school environment is safe and improving facilities by

- Providing separate conveniences for girls and boys;
- Training teachers in gender sensitivity;
- Achieving gender balance among teachers; and
- Rewriting curricular and textbooks to remove gender stereotypes (GMR2013).

2. **Antecedents/ Situation Analysis**

The EFA Goal 5 aims at eliminating gender disparity in primary and secondary education by 2015. All countries, Nigeria inclusive pledged to ensure that this Goal is delivered by 2015. Contrary to expectations, the 2003/2004 edition of the EFA Global Monitoring Report warned that many nations were not likely to achieve this goal judging from indicative trends. In Nigeria, there has been a history of sometimes, gaping disparities between the education that boys and girls receive. In some parts of Nigeria, many girls do not have access to adequate education past a certain age. Currently, the female adult literacy rate (ages 15 and above) for the country is 59.4% as compared to the male adult literacy rate of 74.4%. Disparity in education is what has given rise to this gap in literacy. According to the Central Bank of Nigeria the gender gap in literacy rates at the rural level between boys and girls was 18.3 percent in favour of the boys in overall rating. However, in the age group 6–9 years (primary school ages) it was only 3.9 percent in favour of boys. This indicates that there is a gender dimension to educational attainment and development in Nigeria. Apart from the disparities in enrolment, there are still other problems, such as high drop-out rates of females, poor performance, reluctance on the part of females to enroll in traditionally male dominated courses and poor classroom participation. Across various geo-political zones in Nigeria, a greater percentage of school-age girls are needlessly out-of-school, compared with the ratio applicable to boys of same age grouping.

Thus, in Nigeria, even though education has been conceptualized as a universal human right, gender inequality across regions often prevent women and girls from accessing it. The popular view is that the situation calls for gender – responsive educational processes which have the
power to transform individuals and societies when supported by well conceptualized policies (GMR, 2011).

3. National EFA Gender-Related Indicators across Educational Levels

Access, Equity and Parity

a) ECCDE Level

At the ECCDE level, a slight disparity was recorded in enrolment between 2006 and 2010. In public ECCDE schools, enrolment for girls was about 49% in 2006, 2007 and 2010. The lowest enrolment of 44% was in 2009 while the highest of 50% was in 2008. In the private ECCDE schools, the percentage of girls’ enrolment was about 43%. It rose to about 48% in 2007 and remained so till 2009, then rose again to almost 50% (precisely 49.58%) in 2010. As observed in SER (2013), this cannot be analyzed purely as a reflection of gender imbalance in the enrolment as the ECCDE involves the very early years. Thus, the age is too young for any discrimination to have set in. The overall enrolment for both public and private ECCDE schools shows that on the flip side there was evidence of gender imbalance with girls’ enrolment as high as about 57% in 2006. However, this dropped to almost 49% in 2007, rose to almost 50% in 2008, dropped again in 2009 to 46% and rose to about 49% again in 2010.

When disaggregated according to States and Geo – political zones, gender imbalance becomes more visible. While some States like Rivers State in the South – South zone have as high as 80% of enrolment for girls and many others had more than 50% in favour of girls, many States in the Northern zones are still grappling with low enrolment for girls (SER, 2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>%F</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>%F</th>
<th>Total (Public &amp; Private)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;F</td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;F</td>
<td></td>
<td>M%F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,367,326</td>
<td>49.44</td>
<td>722,080</td>
<td>43.39</td>
<td>2,089,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2,048,082</td>
<td>49.10</td>
<td>637,821</td>
<td>48.42</td>
<td>2,685,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1,610,175</td>
<td>50.35</td>
<td>494,845</td>
<td>48.73</td>
<td>2,105,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,827,657</td>
<td>44.83</td>
<td>718,283</td>
<td>48.64</td>
<td>2,538,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,819,752</td>
<td>49.11</td>
<td>873,571</td>
<td>49.58</td>
<td>2,715,077</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: FME, 2011/Digest of Education Statistics, 2000 - 2010
Data on gender parity for both public and private schools were not provided at the ECCE level. Data for public schools as reported by the Universal Basic Education Commission shows that in ECCDE, 2009 and 2012 have the highest gender parity index (GPI) of 0.97 while the years 2010, 2011 and 2013 recorded 0.96 each. For teachers at the ECCE level, the GPI was highest in 2010 (3.51) from a low of 1.83 in 2009. It dropped in 2011 to 2.20, dropped further to 1.67 in 2012 then went up again to 2.37 in 2013 (UBEC, 2014).

**Table 3.5.2: ECCE Gender Parity Index, 2009-2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPI - Pupils</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI - Teachers</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig.3.5.2: ECCE Gender Parity Index, 2009-2013**
b) Basic Education (Primary and Junior Secondary level)

In terms of equity, there is no doubt that girl’s education continues to pose a challenge to the attainment of EFA goal 5 from the primary level and beyond. For every 10 boys in primary school, only 9 girls are enrolled which is marginally lower than the Sub-Saharan (SSA) average (UNESCO, 2012). It has also been observed that the equity situation deteriorates with successive levels. The male enrolment was consistently higher than that of females from 2009 to 2012. The total enrolment for 2012 was 23,476,939. Of this number, 53.54% were male while 46.46% were female. Looking at enrolment trend between 2008, and 2012, female enrolment continues to trail behind that of males. Even with female enrolment increasing from 9,923,667 in 2008 to 10,905,456 in 2012 only a minimal increase in percentage terms was achieved which cannot accomplish the targeted reduction of gender disparity in enrolment from 10% to 0.9%. Moreover, it cannot account for the national EFA target which stipulates that 95% of primary school age pupils will be enrolled in primary school or its equivalent by 2015. The population of primary school age children (6 – 11) in 2010 was 25,701,113 while the female enrolment in primary schools in the same year was 9,636,119 which is only approximately 37.5%. With this trend, it is hardly likely that the 95% enrolment will be attained by 2015.

The Nigeria EFA Profile as portrayed in UNESCO (2012) shows that in respect of progress made in EFA Goal 5, the Nigerian Gender Equality Rate for the primary level stood at 83.1% in 2002. This figure only recorded a slight increase in a whole decade with the ratio of 91.0% in 2012 which is below the Sub-Saharan African (SSA) average of 91.8%, where the minimum and maximum SSA gender equality rate stood at 27.8% and 97.8% respectively.

Table 3.5.3: Primary Enrolment by School and Gender, 2009/2010 – 2011/2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of School</th>
<th>Male Enrolment</th>
<th>Female Enrolment</th>
<th>Total M &amp; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009/2010</td>
<td>58,348</td>
<td>11,616,700</td>
<td>9,923,667</td>
<td>21,540,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/2011</td>
<td>59,007</td>
<td>11,705,330</td>
<td>10,420,087</td>
<td>22,125,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/2012</td>
<td>59,382</td>
<td>12,571,483</td>
<td>10,905,456</td>
<td>23,476,939</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC)
It was earlier observed that gender disparity gets worse with successive levels. At the JSS level, the total enrolment in 2009 was 2,964,406. Out of this number, 1,685,935 (56.9%) were males, while 1,278,471 (43.1%) were females. While there was a drop in male enrolment between 2009 and 2012 (43.1% in 2012), the female enrolment increased from 43.1% to 46.1%. However, this is still much lower than the male enrolment for the same year which stood at 53.9%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of School</th>
<th>Total M &amp; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009/2010</td>
<td>9,850</td>
<td>2,964,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/2011</td>
<td>11,295</td>
<td>4,313,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/2012</td>
<td>11,561</td>
<td>4,470,037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC)

**Fig.3.5.3: Primary Enrolment by School and Gender, 2009/2010 – 2011/2012**

**Table 3.5.4: JSS Enrolment by School and Gender 2009/2010-2011/2012**
The gender parity index for pupils at the primary level in 2010 was 0.85 (NEMIS, 2010). This was a drop from the 2009 GPI of 0.86. It rose in 2011 to 0.89, dropped to 0.87 in 2012 and then recorded an appreciable increase in 2013 with the highest GPI of 0.94. For teachers at the Primary level, the GPI was also highest in 2013 (0.90) from its low percentage of 0.83 in 2012 (UBEC, 2014).

Table 3.5.5: Primary Gender Parity Index, 2009-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>GPI - Pupils</th>
<th>GPI - Teachers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the JSS level, the gender parity index was highest in 2012 (0.86). It dropped to 0.85 in 2013. The lowest recorded GPI was in 2010 (0.79) as compared with its 2009 level of 0.84. (NEMIS, 2010). For teachers at the JSS level, the highest GPI was in 2009 (1.10) from where it dropped to 0.83 in 2011 to 0.80 in 2012 and then rose again to 0.95 in 2013.
Table 3.5.6: JSS Gender Parity Index, 2009-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPI - Pupils</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI - Teachers</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.3.5.6: JSS Gender Parity Index, 2009-2013

i. Transition Rate

At the Basic Education level, the female transition rate (primary - JSS) was 57.96% which is lower than the male transition rate of 58.91%. Disaggregated according to States, this trend (higher transition rate for females) was recorded by Abia, Akwa-Ibom, Borno, Cross River, Delta, Ebonyi, Enugu, plateau and Kwara (104.52% which is the highest transition rate for females). The rest of the states recorded higher transition rate for males.

ii. Survival Rate

Statistics on survival rate shows an interesting trend with near parity for females (61.15) and males (61.44%). At the state level, the trend tilted towards disparity with higher survival rate for females recorded by Abia, Bauchi, Bayelsa, Ebonyi, Edo, Ekiti (151.46% which is the highest
survival rate for females), Delta (105.19%), Imo, Jigawa, Kaduna, Kano, Katsina (100.17%), Kebbi, Kwara, Nasarawa, Niger, Plateau, Sokoto (133.22%), Taraba and Yobe States.

iii. Completion Rate

At both the primary and junior secondary school levels, the female completion rate tends to be higher than the male completion rate for both 2010 and 2011 as can be seen in table 3.5.7 and figure 3.5.7 below. Similarly, when disaggregated by states, this trend is visible in several states especially mostly those from the Southern zones of Nigeria. On the flip side, there is a remarkable disparity in favour of males in respect of completion rate in most of the states in the Northern zones. Examples are: Borno, male completion rate (99.34%)/(62.71%) for females; Gombe, (71.78%)/(67.06%); Jigawa, (59.16%)/(40.93%); Kebbi, (66.63%)/(37.52%); Niger, (89.99%)/(65.03%). The lowest completion rate for females incidentally was recorded by Anambra with 9.01% for males and 9.08% for females, followed by Rivers State (30.7%) for males, even though, that was higher than the male completion rate (28.79%). In Imo State, there was a higher completion rate for females (96%) as against (90.37%) for males.

Table 3.5.7: National % Annual Completion Rate by Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior Secondary</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.5.7: National % Annual Completion Rate by Gender
4. **Post-Basic – Senior Secondary Level**

In terms of enrolment, categorical statements cannot be made in gender terms for the years 2011 to 2013 as a result of gaps in data as shown in SER 2013 where about eleven states failed to furnish accurate and reliable data on enrolment. According to NEMIS, total enrolment in 2010 at the Senior Secondary School level was 4,046,437. Disparity was clearly visible in favour of males with 2,201,215 (54.4%) as against 1,845,222 (45.6%) for females. The Gross Enrolment Rate (GER) showed a similar trend with 42.7% for males and 37.32% for females. The FME Education Digest (2011) reports a reverse disparity with 54.9% females enrolment when compared with 45.1% for males. This trend was short lived as shown in SER (2013), where male enrolment rose to 55.4% while that of females dropped to 44.6%. The GER in 2010 showed a wide disparity in favour of males with 42.71% while the female GER was 37.32% (NEMIS, 2014). In 2013, the GER followed a similar trend and stood at 41.3% with 36.7% for females showing wide disparity in favour of males (SER, 2013).

**Table 3.5.8: Number of Schools, Population of 15-17 Year Olds, Enrolment, GER and GPI for Senior Secondary Schools by State, 2011-2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Enrolment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>4,633,843</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** NB Data sourced directly from States.

**Fig. 3.5.8: Distribution of enrolment at the SS level by gender**
✓ **Transition**
At the Senior Secondary School level, there is also paucity of data for the same reason explained earlier. However, as shown in SER, 2013, in most of the states where transition rate differed for both males and females, females were at a disadvantage indicating that at this level, females were more likely to drop out on completion of JSS III.

5. **TVET**
An aspect of Post-Basic Education with remarkable EFA concerns as well as gender sensitive trends is the Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET). As observed in SER (2013), access to TVET is approached from the standpoint that it should be provided in Nigeria as part of its commitment to EFA and the other national initiatives that are its offshoots. Thus in line with EFA Goal 3 and Goal 5, Nigeria should “ensure among other issues that learning needs of all young people and adults (including females in this population category) are met through equitable access to appropriate learning and life-skills programmes”.

UNESCO (2005) enumerates reasons why many individuals who would like to acquire competences and skills are excluded from TVET. In gender-relative terms, women often find it difficult to enter TVET programmes because they are either denied access or because they are not expected to enter certain male-dominated occupations.

According to the African Development Fund Project, one of the objectives in Nigeria in respect of funding of TVET project is to eliminate gender disparity by 2015. Less than one year to 2015, the elimination of gender disparity in TVET remains a tall order for the following reasons:

- Enrolment into TVET programmes at the formal and informal levels are skewed in favour of males. At the formal level, statistics on enrolment into Technical Colleges in 2010/2011 indicate that there is a huge disparity between male and female enrolment which was 84.5% (males) and 15.5% (females).

| Table 3.5.9: Gender Disparity in Technical College Enrolment, 2010-2011 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Male | Female | Total |
| No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
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This level of disparity has serious implications for progress towards the EFA gender-related targets by 2015.

- Gender stereotyping in the choice of programmes.

  In line with the erstwhile cultural practice of channeling boys and girls into different occupational-types, there is still a huge disparity between male and female enrolment into traditional male-dominated courses as well as into traditional female-dominated TVET courses. Table 5.10 demonstrates this trend.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>No. of Male Enrolment</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>No. of Female Enrolment</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brick/Block Laying and Concrete Works</td>
<td>11,905</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agric Implement Mechanics</td>
<td>1,031</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>1,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Draughtsmanship</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto Electric Wiring</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpentry and Joinery</td>
<td>4,326</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4,423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer Craft Practice</td>
<td>2,528</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1,614</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>4,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Installation &amp;</td>
<td>19,113</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>20,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>1,971</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture Design &amp; Construction</td>
<td>2,378</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2,393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic Arts</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining Engineering Craft Practice</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical Engineering Craft Practice</td>
<td>4,622</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Mechanics</td>
<td>9,882</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting and Decorating</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plumbing and Pipe Fitting</td>
<td>1,323</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio, TV and Electronics</td>
<td>1,382</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding and Fabrication</td>
<td>5,832</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5,889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Adult and Non-Formal Education

Disparity in respect of adult and non-formal education shows some interesting trends. According to NMEC, (2013), a huge disparity exists nationally between men and women, boys and girls in education access, retention and completion.

**Table 3.5.11: Enrolment into Non-Formal Education by Gender: 2001 - 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>1,056,659</td>
<td>541,072</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>515,587</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>866,166</td>
<td>400,079</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>466,087</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>878,967</td>
<td>401,457</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>477,510</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1,018,243</td>
<td>469,172</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>549,071</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1,205,844</td>
<td>554,183</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>651,661</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1,520,813</td>
<td>780,271</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>740,542</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1,763,620</td>
<td>968,778</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>794,842</td>
<td>45.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>626,192</td>
<td>334,586</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>291,606</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>548,193</td>
<td>296,785</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>251,408</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>375,137</td>
<td>169,803</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>205,334</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,859,834</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,916,186</strong></td>
<td><strong>494.2</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,943,648</strong></td>
<td><strong>505.8</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: NMEC, 2013*
Fig. 3.5.10: Enrolment into Non-Formal Education by Gender: 2001 - 2010

Statistics for 2011 show that out of a total of 691,715 candidates who enrolled in various programmes, 365,101 (51.3%) were males while 336,614 (48.7%) were females. A look at the various programmes confirms the earlier observation made in respect of TVET about stereotyping in the choice of courses. In a nutshell, there were disparities in favour of females in such courses as Post Literacy, Continuing Education, Literacy by Radio, Women Education, and Vocational Education while more males than females enrolled for Basic Literacy, Qur'anic Education and Nomadic Adult Education.

Table 3.5.12: Summary of Learners Enrolment by Programmes for 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>355,101</td>
<td>336,614</td>
<td>691,715</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NMEC 2008-2011 Status Report on Adult and Non-Formal Education in Nigeria

Fig. 3.5.11: Summary of Learners Enrolment by Programmes for 2011
Table 3.5.13: General Summary of Literacy Level by Gender and Zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>83,482,348</td>
<td>80,812,168</td>
<td>164,294,516</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NMEC 2008-2011 Status Report on Adult and Non-Formal Education in Nigeria

Fig. 3.5.12: General Summary of Literacy Level by Gender and Zones

7. Teachers

It was observed in the EFA Global Monitoring Report (2013/2014) that children who feel that their teachers have nothing in common with them or cannot communicate with them are less likely to engage fully in learning. Thus, important strategies for providing inclusive good quality education should include making sure that there are enough female teachers and recruiting teachers from a wide range of backgrounds.

As shown in table 3.5.14 and figure 3.5.14 below, there were huge disparities in favour of female teachers in both public and private pre-primary schools in 2006 and 2007. In 2008, the trend changed with a remarkable disparity in favour of males which was also sustained in 2010 in public schools. In the private schools, a reverse trend was noticeable with disparity still in favour of females for 2008 and 2010. Data was not available for 2009 for both the public and private schools.
Table 3.5.14: Teachers in Public and Private Pre-Primary Schools by Gender: 2006-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public MF</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>%F</th>
<th>Private MF</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>%F</th>
<th>Total (Public &amp; Private) MF</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>%F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>246,923</td>
<td>151,249</td>
<td>61.25</td>
<td>70,166</td>
<td>46,106</td>
<td>65.71</td>
<td>317,089</td>
<td>197,355</td>
<td>62.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>151,412</td>
<td>94,402</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>41,047</td>
<td>27,425</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>176,867</td>
<td>121,827</td>
<td>68.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>86,536</td>
<td>39,801</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>25,331</td>
<td>14,455</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>111,867</td>
<td>54,256</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,646,810</td>
<td>1,289,630</td>
<td>48.72</td>
<td>812,119</td>
<td>425,721</td>
<td>52.42</td>
<td>3,458,929</td>
<td>1,715,351</td>
<td>49.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: FME, 2011, Table 1.15, p.15 (NA – Data not available)

Fig.: 3.5.13: Teachers in Public and Private Pre-Primary Schools by Gender: 2006 - 2010

At the Basic Education level (Primary and Junior Secondary), disparities still persist in favour of male teachers. As shown in SER 2011, the national figure for male teachers was 68,085 (51.1%) out of a total of 133,338 teachers while 65,253 (48.9%) were females.

Fig. 3.5.14: Distribution of Qualified Teachers by Gender (Source: SER, 2011)
At the senior secondary school level, there is evidence of gender disparity in teacher recruitment in the majority of States. Apart from Abia (69.2%), Anambra (68.3%), Delta (64.6%), FCT (53.4%), Lagos (55.7%), and Ogun (50.4%), where the proportion of female teachers is higher than the proportion of males, there is disparity in favour of male teachers in all other states where data was available. The disparity is more pronounced in Adamawa, Bauchi, Benue, Borno, Imo, Katsina, Sokoto, Taraba and Yobe States with the proportion of female teachers at less than 35%. The situation is most critical in Jigawa (12.1%) and Bauchi (18.8%) states where females are probably not available for employment or are highly discriminated. (SER, 2013)

8. Progress made towards EFA Goal 5

Despite the fact that the gender trends indicate that a lot needs to be done towards attaining the targets for 2015, a lot of achievements have been recorded by Nigeria towards this goal. These include:

- Formulated a Gender Policy on basic education with its guidelines in 2007.
- Formulated a national framework on Girls and Women Education in partnership with IDPs, such as DFID, UNICEF, Action Health Incorporated in 2012.
- A State Education Sector Operational Plan (SESOP) was developed in GEP states (Bauchi, Katsina, Niger, Sokoto, Zamfara) which details entails entire plans for proper functioning of the school.
- Whole school development plans developed in GEP States in collaboration with SBMCs who monitor the implementation of such plans. The plans were developed in each girls’ school.
- Established and trained mothers’ association members in 28 states plus FCT. In 2008, 2,068 SBMC, women were trained in school management. Mothers’ Association were trained to ensure and track girls enrolments, attendance, completion and transition from primary to JSS and higher education. A total of 1,962 mothers were trained in 2011 and 3,602 were trained in 2012.
- Developed School Based Teachers Development (SbTD) Pedagogy Model to enhance teaching and learning. Developed by Ministry of Education in conjunction with UNICEF (NCCE, NTI, TRCN, and Colleges of Education from GEP states) as core Teams. It was piloted in 2008 in Niger, Katsina, Bauchi, Sokoto and is currently being used in all GEP States and College of Education Owerri and Ibadan.
• Female Teachers Training Scholarship Scheme (FTTSS) was established in GEP States and young indigent girls from various disadvantaged communities are granted scholarships to be trained as teachers. After the training course, girls are encouraged to return to their individual communities to teach and serve as role models and address the inadequacy of female teachers in rural areas.

• 3 in Senatorial Districts of GEP States were empowered with income generating equipment like sewing machines, block molding machines etc., in 2008 to empower youths, women and dropouts.

• Increased funding for girls education as Zamfara state government commits N500 million yearly till date towards girls’ education. This commenced in 2008.

• Provision of learning kits for 10,000 girls propelled retention in school, mitigates for improved access and gender parity.

• Advocacy and sensitization targeting women and girls for education opportunities have been carried out in Anambra, Imo, Ebonyi, Nasarawa, Gombe. Provision has been made for advocacy to Oyo and Kogi states in 2014. This is an addition to high level advocacy that takes place nationwide.

• Improved child friendly school environment facilities by the construction of separate toilet facilities for boys/girls in schools including for students living with disabilities. Boreholes were also provided in schools to improve sanitary conditions for such communities. States that are mostly affected and benefitting from the project include Akwa-Ibom, Zamfara, Niger. This has greatly increased retention of girls in schools through improved learning environment.

• Formulation of Girls Education Movement (GEM) and girls clubs in schools to inculcate their rights on them and speaking out. Also provides a forum for girls to go back to school. Girls serve as role models to other girls in the communities who are out of school.

• Civil Society Organizations are actively involved in awareness building initiatives and civil education which highlight role and importance of women and girl-child education. Capacity building exercises are regularly conducted for traditional leaders, men and other agencies that tend to undermine importance of women/girls education. The umbrella body Civil Society Action Coalition on Education for All coordinates some of these activities.
Girls only schools have been built in states like Katsina and Kano to promote increased enrolment of girls in school, also discounted transportation has been provided in Katsina, Sokoto and Kano to enable girls in rural communities have easy access to schools.

Provision of conditional cash transfers to indigent families in Niger, Katsina and Bauchi to support the girl child to attend schools. The money is given to mothers to argument the families sources of income making way for girls to go to school instead of assisting in sourcing for family’s income.

9. Challenges

These achievements notwithstanding, a number of challenges need to be addressed. These are:

- Adoption of Child Right Acts in some Northern States still pose a challenge and it greatly affects the enrolment of girls in school and her rights to basic education.
- Inadequate learning materials and infrastructures in Nigerian schools to promote girl friendly school environment.
- Inadequate number of qualified teachers especially female teachers who serve as role models to girls in communities.
- Lack of political will and sustainability of educational policies which largely affects implementation.
- Poverty of families who cannot afford to send their wards to school especially girls who serve as income generators for their respective families greatly affects pay enrolment and retention in schools.
- Recent trend in insecurity in many parts of Nigeria that threaten to derail the progress so far made in the education of girls, especially in the North East.
- Data collection suffer set back in some states thereby rendering the data incorrect. Females feel certain subjects or courses are male oriented and tend to avoid such subjects or courses.
3.6 – Quality of Education

Goal 6

"Improve all aspects of the quality of education to achieve recognized and measurable learning outcomes for all - especially in literacy, numeracy and essential life skills”

1. Introduction

According to the 2005 EFA Global Monitoring Report, a new consensus and impetus is building up around the imperative to improve the quality of education. How well students are taught and how much they learn are likely to have a crucial impact upon the length and value of their schooling experience. Quality can influence parents’ choice to invest in their children’s education. The range of intrinsic and social benefits associated with education, from better protection against disease to higher personal income, is strongly dependent on the quality of the teaching-learning process. Although there is no single definition of quality, two principles characterize most attempts to define the objectives of education.

The first, which identifies learners’ cognitive development as the major explicit objective of all education systems, sees the success with which systems achieve this as one indicator of their quality. The second emphasizes the role of education in promoting commonly shared values along with creative and emotional development – objectives whose achievement is much more difficult to assess. Common ground is also found in the broadly shared objectives that tend to underpin debates about quality: respect for individual rights, improved equity of access and of learning outcomes, and increased relevance. These principles have been integrated into the aims of education set out in the Convention on the Rights of the Child (1990), which underpins the current positions on quality held by UNESCO and UNICEF.
2. Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE)

Assessing the quality of provision in Early Childhood Care and Development Education (ECCDE) is more challenging than assessing schooling. Achievement, tests, examinations and diplomas are largely absent at this level. In many cases, national data showing provision and inputs are limited and sometimes totally unavailable, and often not easily comparable. ECCDE therefore provides a good example of programs where relative interpretations of quality are necessary. For example, in the early years of learning, parents involve themselves more intensively and in different ways than they do later in their children’s education. As the quality of ECCDE depends strongly on program context, it can be argued that the definition of quality in this area should vary and be subject to negotiation among parents, practitioners and policy makers.

3. Availability of Teachers

The National Policy on Education (FME, 2013:5) states that “Government shall make provision in teachers’ education for specialization in early childhood care and education, and for retention of teachers.” This statement captures the major concern at this level of education, which is the need to have qualified teachers in sufficient numbers who have enough interest and job satisfaction to be able to bring about the required readiness for learning in children at this foundation level of lifelong learning.

Table 3.6.1: Teachers in Public and Private Pre-Primary Schools by Gender: 2006-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public MF</th>
<th>Public F</th>
<th>Public %F</th>
<th>Private MF</th>
<th>Private F</th>
<th>Private %F</th>
<th>Total (Public &amp; Private) MF</th>
<th>Total (Public &amp; Private) F</th>
<th>Total (Public &amp; Private) %F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>246,923</td>
<td>151,249</td>
<td>61.25</td>
<td>70,166</td>
<td>46,106</td>
<td>65.71</td>
<td>317,089</td>
<td>197,355</td>
<td>62.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>151,412</td>
<td>94,402</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>41,047</td>
<td>27,425</td>
<td>66.00</td>
<td>176,876</td>
<td>121,827</td>
<td>68.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>86,536</td>
<td>39,801</td>
<td>46.00</td>
<td>25,331</td>
<td>14,455</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>111,867</td>
<td>54,256</td>
<td>48.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,646,810</td>
<td>1,289,630</td>
<td>48.72</td>
<td>812,119</td>
<td>425,721</td>
<td>52.42</td>
<td>3,458,929</td>
<td>1,715,351</td>
<td>49.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SER, 2013
Table 3.6.1 shows the trend of teachers in public and private ECCDE schools from 2006-2010. The table shows that there were more teachers in public than in private schools. While there were more female teachers in both public and private schools in 2006 and 2007, with percentages of female teachers being above 60%, the number of female teachers dropped to less than 50% in public schools and above 50% in private schools in 2008 and 2010. The sharp increase in the number of teachers in 2010 could be attributed to the government policy of providing Kindergartens to all public primary schools and the take-off of the Federal Teachers Scheme. The policies could have equally influenced the private schools, resulting in more teachers in the same year.

The numbers of qualified teachers for ECCDE Centers and pre-primary are inadequate. The number of teachers in pre-primary rose from 2,540,089 in 2006 to 3,458,929 in 2010. In between the figure went down to 176,876 in 2007 and 111,867 in 2008. In 2010, there were 2,646,810 teachers in public pre-primary schools with 1,289,630 or 48.72% as female. In private pre-primary schools, there were 812,119 teachers out of which 425,721 or 52.42% were female. Overall, of the 3,458,929 teachers in public and private pre-primary schools in 2010, 1,715,351 or 49.59% were female.
### Table 3.6.2: Teachers in Private Primary School by Qualification and Gender, 2006-2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Qualified Teachers Male</th>
<th>Qualified Teachers Female</th>
<th>Other Qualification Male</th>
<th>Other Qualification Female</th>
<th>Total Male</th>
<th>Total Female</th>
<th>Total Teacher</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>9,377</td>
<td>19,523</td>
<td>11,420</td>
<td>20,486</td>
<td>20,797</td>
<td>40,009</td>
<td>60,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>6,864</td>
<td>14,216</td>
<td>8,243</td>
<td>13,791</td>
<td>15,107</td>
<td>28,007</td>
<td>43,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6,483</td>
<td>7,547</td>
<td>5,611</td>
<td>7,469</td>
<td>12,094</td>
<td>15,016</td>
<td>27,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>26,552</td>
<td>34,517</td>
<td>5,610</td>
<td>9,220</td>
<td>32,162</td>
<td>43,737</td>
<td>75,899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Nigeria within the period 2006-2010, the total number of teachers in both public and private primary schools declined from 500,136 to 225,866 in 2008 before rising in 2010 to 576,193. Data were not available for 2009. Over the period, there were more teachers in the public primary than in private primary schools. In fact, teachers in the private schools accounted for less than 20% of primary school teachers within the period.

According to the 2013/4 GMR, in some contexts, the presence of female teachers is crucial to attract girls to school and improve their learning outcomes. Nigeria appears to fit that context because it suffers from acute gender disparities in schooling at the expense of girls, especially in
the northern parts of the country. The availability of male and female teachers is heavily unbalanced between levels of education. At the pre-primary level in 2010, of the 2,646,810 teachers in public schools, 1,289,630 or 48.72% were female. In the private schools, 425,721 or 52.42% of the 812,119 teachers were female. Overall, of the 3,458,929 teachers in public and private pre-primary schools in 2010, 1,715,351 or 49.59% were female (Nigeria: Digest of Education Statistics, 2006-2010).

Within the period, available data in public primary schools, disparity between male and female teachers was narrow, showing strong evidence of gender parity. For example, in 2006, there were 224,257 male teachers to 215,073 female teachers (48.95%), and in 2010, there were 265,831 male teachers to 234,463 female teachers (46.87%). However, in private primary schools, the reverse was the case with female teachers dominating. For instance, for 2006, 2007, 2008, and 2010, 65.80%, 64.96%, 55.39%, and 57.63% respectively, were female teachers. In other words, there were more female teachers in private than in public schools.

Table 3.6.3 below shows that the numbers of teachers at this level of education fluctuated between 110,111 in 2006 and 160,941 in 2010. In both public and private schools, there were more male than female teachers except in 2010 when 61,253 teachers were female as against 55,824 male teachers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>50,157</td>
<td>33,523</td>
<td>18,009</td>
<td>8,422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>50,154</td>
<td>30,598</td>
<td>19,298</td>
<td>9,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>58,972</td>
<td>47,400</td>
<td>20,917</td>
<td>13,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>55,824</td>
<td>61,253</td>
<td>23,645</td>
<td>20,219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 3.6.3: National Summary of Teachers in JSS by Gender, 2006-2010*

4. Transition Rates

Another important indicator of quality is the rate of transition from primary to junior secondary. Before 2006 transition from primary to junior secondary level was based on a selection examination which meant that some children who could not pass the examination were pushed out of school. However, with the introduction of the free and compulsory nine-year (now ten
year) basic education cycle, transition to junior secondary became automatic with effect from 2006. The only evidence required to show that a child had completed primary school became continuous assessment records signed off by the head teacher. This, however, does not guarantee 100% transition because the junior secondary system lacks the capacity to absorb all children transiting from primary schools. With public junior secondary schools having limited capacity and the sometimes prohibitive costs of private schools, the trend of children dropping out at the end of primary school is likely to continue.

The “2013 State of Education Report” indicates that a number of States were transiting at significantly high rates.

5. Completion Rates

Data available for other indicators point to considerable gaps between current state of affairs and the EFA target. For example, completion rates in primary education were relatively low, although there was consistent increase for both boys and girls over the period 1998-2001. According to the National Action Plan (2013), an average of 65.1% of pupils admitted into Primary1 in 1993 completed Primary 6 in 1998. 72.9% of intakes in 1994, 76.75 in 1995, and 83.4% in 1996, completed Primary 6 in 1999, 2000, and 2001 respectively. The trend was similar for both male and female although girls had typically lower numbers. Table 3.6.4 shows that completion rates for primary education were higher for girls than for boys in 2010 and 2011 with 72% for girls as against 69% for boys in 2010, and 81.4% for girls as against 70% for boys in 2011. This is a good development for gender parity in primary education.

| Table 3.6.4: National % Annual Completion Rate by Gender |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Level                      | Gender | 2010 | 2011 |
| Primary                    | Male   | 69%  | 70%  |
|                            | Female | 72%  | 81.4%|
| Junior Secondary           | Male   | 72%  | 80.8%|
|                            | Female | 73%  | 82.2%|
Primary school survival rate in 2002 was 72.7%, rising to 79.9% in 2012. The sub-Saharan Africa average stood at 51.9% in 2012 with 27.8% as the minimum survival average and 97.8% as maximum survival average. The 2013 State of Education Report reports a national retention rate in primary education of 89% in 2010, and 93% in 2011, an increase of 4%.
6. Pupil-teacher ratio in basic education

The pupil/teacher ratio has been a key measure for assessing progress towards Goal 6 since the EFA goals were set. Globally, between 1999 and 2011, average pupil/teacher ratios have barely changed at the pre-primary, primary, and secondary education levels (GMR, 2013/4:85). According to the report, in primary education, pupil/teacher ratio improved slightly from 26:1 to 24:1. In sub-Saharan Africa, pupil/teacher ratio hardly changed at any level of education. In primary education, teacher recruitment grew by 62%, lagging behind enrolment which grew by 66% over the period. At 44 pupils per teacher, this is the region with the highest ratio at the primary level.

Pupil/teacher ratio at the primary education level improved from 46:1 in 2006 to 36:1 in 2010. The computation of the pupil/teacher ratio was based on total number of teachers at the primary level. This number is made up of teachers with the national minimum qualification for teaching in the primary school, and those without the national standard. For example, in 2006, 56% of primary school teachers were qualified and the figure rose to 66% in 2010. In terms of qualified teachers, the pupil/qualified teacher ratios for 2006 and 2010 were 82:1 and 54:1 respectively. At the JS level, the pupil/teacher ratio was 31:1 in 2010, up from 27:1 in 2006. The rise, though not significant, could be attributed to the rise in enrollment in 2010 which was not matched by a corresponding increase in teacher recruitment.

On the issue of quality of teachers, the National Council on Education (NCE) at its 57th meeting held from 21st to 25th February, 2011 in Sokoto, in section B(iv) and (v) of the communiqué urged:

- FME, States and FCT to embark on a gradual phasing out of unqualified teachers from the school system;
- Federal, States and FCT to organize regular induction programmes for the trained NYSC members deployed to the school system.

Nearly all the states in the federation are complying, with the exception of only a few states in the north-east still battling with shortage of teachers and have to fall back on TC II teachers, and sometimes school certificate holders.
Table 3.6.6: Improved Quality of Education, 2010-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Planned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Number of Professional Qualified Teachers in all subject areas</td>
<td>30,503 (5.34%)</td>
<td>192,643 (33.71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Teacher-Learners Ratio</td>
<td>1:42</td>
<td>1:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Transition Ratio</td>
<td>Not applicable at this level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Learner-Classroom Ratio</td>
<td>1:43</td>
<td>1:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Teacher-Classroom Ratio</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: NPC, 2011 NA (Data not available)

Fig. 3.6.5: Improved Quality of Education, 2010-2013

On the issue of improved quality of education, table 3.6.6 above shows that the number of qualified teachers multiplied more than six times from 2010 to 2011 with planned gradual projections for 2012 and 2013. The implication of the increase between 2010 and 2011 was the reduction of the teacher-pupil ratio from 1:42 to 1:25 as provided for by NPE (SER, 2013).

7. Teacher Quality

In Nigeria, as part of the commitments towards the achievement of EFA goals by 2015, it was envisaged that as a quality indicator, 100% of teachers at the basic education level should possess the Nigeria Certificate in Education (NCE) as minimum teaching qualification. Table 3.6.11 shows that in public pre-primary schools, there were 246,923 teachers in 2006. Of this number, 228,923 were qualified while 18,691 were unqualified. In private schools, there were
70,166 teachers out of which 62,290 were qualified while 7,876 were unqualified. In 2007, the figures remained unaltered suggesting that no teacher was recruited. With large numbers of unqualified teachers in pre-primary education and stagnation in terms of recruitment, the target of 100% of teachers with NCE by 2015 may not be achieved.

Table 3.6.7: Percentage of Trained Teachers in Pre-Primary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th></th>
<th>Private</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Qualified Teachers</td>
<td>No. of Unqualified Teachers</td>
<td>Total No. of Teachers</td>
<td>No. of Qualified Teachers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/2006</td>
<td>228,232</td>
<td>18,691</td>
<td>246,923</td>
<td>62,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006/2007</td>
<td>228,232</td>
<td>18,691</td>
<td>246,923</td>
<td>62,290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The trend in percentage of qualified teachers in ECCDE shows that the highest percentage of qualified teachers was recorded in 2011. There was a slight drop in 2012 which later increased to 71% in 2013. This was as a result of deployment of more teachers and care givers at State level.

Fig. 3.6.6a: Trained Teachers in Public Schools
8. Pupil Teacher Ratio

Table 3.6.8: Pupil Teacher Ratio (PTR) in Public and Private Pre-Schools: 2007/2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Private</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of Pupil</td>
<td>1,610,175</td>
<td>494,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of Teachers</td>
<td>86,536</td>
<td>25,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>19:1</td>
<td>20:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The trend in pupil/teacher ratio in ECCDE fluctuated between 2009 and 2013 with 2013 recording the highest pupil-teacher ratio with 1:51 and the best ratio of 1:16 in 2009. This situation could be as a result of increase/expansion in ECCDE Enrolment/Centres without a corresponding increase in the number of teachers at this level.

In ECCDE, there was a steady improvement in the pupil/qualified teacher ratio from 1:62 in 2010 to 1:42 in 2012 before rising again to 1:55 in 2013. However, even though these ratios
indicate some improvement in the quality of teachers at the ECCDE level within the period, they exceeded the minimum standard of 1:25.


This section discusses the progress made in basic education quality within the period 2009-2012. It is to be noted that the figures used in the discussion are for public schools and therefore, do not give a complete picture of the situation at the Basic Education level. However, considering that private schools represent less than 20% of enrolment at this level, the picture presented here can be regarded as fairly representative of the entire picture at this level.

10. Enrolment in primary education

*Table 3.6.9: Enrolment in Primary School by Gender, 2009-2012*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of School</th>
<th>No. Enrolment</th>
<th>Total M &amp; F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009/2010</td>
<td>58,348</td>
<td>11,616,700</td>
<td>9,923,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/2011</td>
<td>59,007</td>
<td>11,705,330</td>
<td>10,420,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/2012</td>
<td>59,382</td>
<td>12,571,483</td>
<td>10,905,456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC)*

![Fig. 3.6.9: Enrolment in Primary School by Gender, 2009-2012](image)

At the primary level, pupil enrolment increased progressively from 21,540,367 in 2009/2010 to 23,476,939 in 2011/2012. Over the period female enrolment trailed that of male. Nigeria’s
exponential population growth has put immense pressure on the country’s resources and overstretched public services and infrastructure. Despite a significant increase in enrollment numbers in recent years, with primary school-aged children accounting for over 16% of the population, the burden on education has become overwhelming: it is estimated that about 10.1 million of them are still out of school, and 26% do not complete the cycle. Increased enrollment rates have also created challenges in ensuring quality education and satisfactory learning achievements as resources are spread more thinly.

11. Learning Achievements in UBE

The quality of basic education is hampered by poorly implemented language policies, coupled with large army of untrained or poorly trained and unmotivated teachers. The national assessment carried out in 2003 by the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) assessed progress in the school system since the implementation of the universal basic education programmes in 1999.

The learning achievement assessment was administered to a national sample of students in grades 4, 5, and 6. Test items were based on the national curriculum (See Tables 3.6.10 - 3.6.13). Mean scores across the four subjects are low at each grade level. Grade 4 scores range from 25 to 50 across the four subjects, grade 5 scores range from 25 to 39 and grade 6 scores range from 21 to 40 across the subjects. Scores at each grade level are lowest in English language and for social studies, subjects in which test items are highly dependent on literacy skill and language, which in this case, is English (Adekola, World Bank Country office, 2006).

National Assessment Results on Basic Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>English Language</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pry 4 only in public, Private and Islamiyya Schools</td>
<td>28,416</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>34.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levels</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>English Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary 4</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>Mean Score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary 5</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>24.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary 6</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>25.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.6.12: 2006 National Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary 6</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>48.80</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>42.81</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>40.78</td>
<td>13,824</td>
<td>49.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSS 1</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>40.45</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>37.68</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>22.38</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>45.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSS 2</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>32.42</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>23.15</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>42.26</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>33.61</td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>27.82</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>27.07</td>
<td>4,608</td>
<td>23.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.6.13: 2011 National Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>No. of Candidates</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary 4</td>
<td>25,237</td>
<td>54.04</td>
<td>25,370</td>
<td>51.63</td>
<td>25,918</td>
<td>53.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary 5</td>
<td>23,943</td>
<td>60.38</td>
<td>24,207</td>
<td>50.36</td>
<td>25,029</td>
<td>45.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary 6</td>
<td>25,051</td>
<td>60.02</td>
<td>25,751</td>
<td>52.94</td>
<td>23,354</td>
<td>52.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSS1</td>
<td>9,640</td>
<td>47.66</td>
<td>9,605</td>
<td>41.08</td>
<td>9,935</td>
<td>39.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 12. Teacher Issues in Basic Education

The 2013 State of Education Report describes teacher availability as problematic with teacher gaps for attaining the EFA goals placed at several thousands. While some States like Bauchi, Benue, Gombe, and Plateau recorded increase in the number of teachers employed in primary schools between 2010/2011 and 2011/2012, others such as Delta, Imo, Jigawa, and Yobe experienced a decline in number of teachers within the period. In the same period at the junior secondary level, more additional teachers were recorded.

Data from the Federal Ministry of Education indicated that the number of professionally qualified teachers in all subject areas in primary schools was 350,233 (43.68%) in 2010 and 465,885 (60.59%) in 2011. Junior secondary schools had 124,124 (55.59%) of such teachers in 2010 and 204,231(74.59%) of them in 2011, an increase of about 19% within a year. The FME had projected that as high as 94.85% of such teachers would be available in junior secondary schools, and 88.74% in primary schools by 2013. The teacher/pupil ratio of 1:34 was computed at the basic education level in 2010. In 2011, both primary and junior secondary schools had a teacher/pupil ratio of 1:30. Public primary schools had teacher/pupil/ ratio of 1:36 while
qualified teacher/pupil ratio in the same year was 1:60. For the year 2011, the ratios were 1:38 and 1:66 for teacher/pupil and qualified teacher/pupil respectively. In 2012, a teacher/pupil ratio of 1:43 was recorded for public primary schools and 1:70 for qualified teacher/pupil ratio. These ratios were an indication that staffing in public primary schools was inadequate in both quality and quantity, and States and Local Governments needed to employ more qualified teachers.

Teacher requirements for fundamental subjects showed a big gap in almost all areas. The number of primary school teachers required in fundamental subjects (English, Mathematics, Language of the Immediate Environment) were by far more than the available teachers in most of the States. There was no State with near the required number of teachers in any of the fundamental subjects. The situation at the junior secondary level was not better as no State had the required number of teachers for any of the seven fundamental subjects at this level.

✓ Teacher Training

As a result of commitment by the Federal Government to improve the quality of teaching at basic education level, teachers were trained using funds allocated for that purpose.

The areas of training for Head teachers and Principals include school management, ICT, school supervision, pedagogical skills, school records and communication. For teachers, areas of training include reading skills, use of mathematics and science kits, improvisation and use of instructional materials, effective record keeping, pedagogical skills computer appreciation,
implementation of HIV/AIDS curriculum, unified continuous assessment, professional
development, cluster school model, methodology of teaching Social Studies. A good number of
States are emphasizing training for heads of schools and teachers although the relevance and
deepth of the training are yet to be determined.

The National Teachers Institute (NTI) was established in 1978 with the mandate to organize and
provide programmes for training, development, upgrading and certification of teachers. Since its
inception, the institute has progressively strengthened its role in running training programmes to
upgrade the qualification and competences of teachers at the basic and secondary education
levels especially, taking advantage of Open Distance Learning (ODL). It presently runs academic
programmes for NCE, Advance Diploma and PIVOTAL Teacher Training by the Distant
Learning System (DLS), in addition to Special Teacher Upgrading Programme (STUP).

The National Institute for Educational Planning and Administration (NIEPA) was established in
1992 as a sub-regional staff development Institute for education sector planners and managers.
The Institute serves as a resource centre for regular capacity building, research, consulting, as
well as dissemination of relevant information for effective and efficient management of the
education sector.

10. Infrastructure
Quality is enhanced by the provision of adequate numbers and specifications of facilities that
would comfortably serve as a child-friendly school environment. The facilities include
classrooms, separate toilets for boys and girls, technology workshops, and integrated science
laboratories. According to the 2013 State of Education Report, one of the most important
facilities in formal schooling is classroom space. Especially in the urban centres, schools are
overcrowded, a situation that makes it difficult for teacher-pupil contact, thereby making difficult
an effective teaching-learning process. The number of pupils in a class is a very important factor
in the learning process. Generally, schools at ECCDE level adopt class-teacher method rather
than subject-teacher method. The NPE (2013) pegs teacher-pupil-ratio in crèches at 10:1 while
nursery schools and kindergartens are pegged at 25:1. In consideration of the above, it may be
expedient to also peg the class size in crèches at 10 pupils, while a class in either nursery or
kindergarten should not have more than 25 pupils.
Another important factor influencing learning is the provision of utilities, particularly water, electricity both of which make the school environment child-friendly. However, according to SER (2013), provision of water in most schools is not good enough. While 21 states had more than 60% provision, only 5 States had 60% provision of water supply in private schools. For electricity, it has generally been the case that provision tends to be better in private than in public schools. There is need, therefore, for improvement in the provision of both electricity and water in both public and private pre-primary schools.

At the primary school level 59,007 (65.04%) schools were constructed in 2010 as against 11,295 (36.60%) constructed for junior secondary schools. In 2011, the figures rose to 75.53% and 55.62% respectively. In 2011, the percentage of classrooms constructed at the primary school level stood at 72.25% of the required number; this represented an increase in the figure of 60.35% recorded in 2010. For the junior secondary school, there was an increase of about 10% from 69,610 (67.87%) in 2010 to 77.51% in 2011. According to the EFA Country Report (2012), there was a shortfall of 252,312 classrooms at the JS level, and 130,755 at the Senior Secondary level, making a total of 383,067 shortfalls of classrooms.

✓ The deliverables

According to UBEC, the following projects were delivered from the funds disbursed (2009-2012) as follows: No deliverables have been reported yet for 2013.

Table 3.6.14: Summary of Deliverables 2009 – 2012 (from Matching Grant Funds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Classrooms Construction</td>
<td>5,768</td>
<td>5,852</td>
<td>6,270</td>
<td>2,220</td>
<td>20,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Classrooms Renovation</td>
<td>3,735</td>
<td>5,907</td>
<td>4,464</td>
<td>1,196</td>
<td>15,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Classrooms (Ren + Const.)</td>
<td>9,503</td>
<td>11,759</td>
<td>10,734</td>
<td>3,416</td>
<td>35,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>V.I.P. Toilets</td>
<td>3,849</td>
<td>4,665</td>
<td>3,077</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>12,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Library Construction</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Laboratory Construction</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Workshop Construction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Administrative Block Construction</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Assembly Halls</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Staff Common Rooms</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Borehole Construction</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Deep Well Construction</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wall Fence Construction</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Computer Laboratory Construction</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Computer Supply</td>
<td>1,576</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>1,334</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Science Kits</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Clinics</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Laboratory Equipment</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sport/Recreation Equipment</td>
<td>2,184</td>
<td>1,056</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Staff Quarters</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Ware Houses</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Student Hostels</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Dining Halls</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Monitoring Vehicles</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Electrification Projects</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Erosion Control</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Generator Houses</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Workshop Equipment</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Pupils’ Furniture</td>
<td>219,355</td>
<td>395,645</td>
<td>128,194</td>
<td>30,935</td>
<td>774,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Headteachers’ Furniture</td>
<td>2,388</td>
<td>2,310</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>5,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Teachers’ Furniture</td>
<td>10,757</td>
<td>9,402</td>
<td>6,698</td>
<td>3,673</td>
<td>30,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Library Furniture</td>
<td>13,145</td>
<td>11,712</td>
<td>7,248</td>
<td>3,859</td>
<td>35,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Instructional Materials</td>
<td>267,851</td>
<td>1,337</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>269,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Textbooks</td>
<td>533,562</td>
<td>52,080</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>585,642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Teachers’ Guides</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,997</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Library Books</td>
<td>47,277</td>
<td>145,235</td>
<td>178,760</td>
<td>54,600</td>
<td>425,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Dictionaries</td>
<td>608,628</td>
<td>677,784</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,286,412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Teaching Aids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Teachers Trained</td>
<td>50,672</td>
<td>34,803</td>
<td>29,671</td>
<td>23,165</td>
<td>138,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Staff Trained</td>
<td>1,549</td>
<td>1,917</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>1,039</td>
<td>5,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>11,182</td>
<td>3,378</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 3.6.11: Total Number of Classrooms Constructed or Renovated**
The number of classrooms dwindled in 2012 as a result of emphasis placed on other facilities to make teaching and learning effective. It is also the result of non-accessing of Matching Grants in many states.

The supply of furniture was based on the priority of States. Despite investing heavily in infrastructure over recent years, the number of schools, facilities and teachers available at the basic education level remain inadequate for the eligible number of children. Furthermore, the curricula are tilted towards academics and less on skills, there is huge apathy for technical and vocational education and although the minimum qualification for teaching is the 3 year Nigeria Certificate in Education, many teachers are unqualified. As a result, learning achievements are poor, as illustrated by the Africa Student Learning Index compiled by the World Bank, where Nigeria receives the lowest score. Several strategies for the continuous professional development of teachers and to improve learning achievements aim to rectify this situation.

**Instructional Materials**

![Diagram](image-url)
Teachers need good learning materials, such as textbooks, to be effective. Factors affecting the quality of textbooks differ, from content to printing quality and timelines of distribution. Many students face the basic problem of not having access to textbooks. Since 2010, the Government has been consistent in the distribution of large quantity of instructional materials and textbooks in all core subjects to public schools nationwide in order to close the gap in pupil/book ratio. However, in a survey sample only 34.6% of teachers had recommended textbooks for all subjects taught (FME, Updated National Action Plan, 2013).

![Fig 3.6.14: Number of Computer and Science kits, 2009-2012](image)

Science Kits were directly supplied to States in 2009, 2010 and 2011 by the Universal Basic Education Commission while none were supplied in 2012 and 2013. Computers were direct supplied by States through Matching Grant Funds.

### 11. Conclusion

In concluding this section, it is noted that Quality is reflected by a range of indicators, including government spending on education, pupil/teacher ratios, teacher qualifications, test scores and the length of time pupils spend in school. Public expenditure on education represents a higher proportion of GDP in rich countries that have already achieved EFA goals (regional median: 5.52% in North America and Western Europe) than in poorer countries that need to sharply expand under-resourced school systems (regional medians: 3.3% in sub-Saharan Africa, 3.9% in East Asia and the Pacific).

- Key Challenges in Education Quality
Noncompliance with adopted benchmark qualifications required to be a primary-school teacher hence, indiscipline and teacher absenteeism;

- Large class sizes of many primary schools
- Widespread low learning achievement as children are not mastering basic skills;
- Vulnerability of children as victims of conflict, disability HIV/AIDS and child labour
- Funds constraints;
- Enrolment and available school resources.

• **Suggested Priorities to Improve Quality**
  - Inclusive policies that respond to the diverse needs and circumstances of all learners (positioning learners at the heart of the learning experience)
  - defining appropriate goals and relevant content
  - Adoption of structured teaching – a combination of direct instruction, guided practice and independent learning.
  - Enforcement of pedagogically language policy to allow children to learn in their mother tongue for at least their first few school years as prescribed in the National Policy on Education (2013).
  - Regular assessments teaching and learning processes.
  - Strengthening accountability and improve management and supervision of schools.
  - Teacher development and appropriate incentives.
  - Equity in the deployment of teachers to both urban and rural areas.
  - Provision of adequate learning materials.
  - Encouragement of local publishing textbooks.
  - Provision of adequate infrastructure and necessary facilities.
Section 4 - Implementation of EFA Strategies

1. Introduction
The high level launch of the EFA campaign in 1999 injected a ripple of activities into the EFA environment giving it the impetus to identify and mobilize its stakeholders and review implementation strategies. During this period, an EFA Coordinating unit was created in the Federal Ministry of Education and was headed by a Coordinator appointed from the civil society. During the same period, the Education Sector Analysis (ESA) project was initiated to identify the persistent challenges in the education system in Nigeria and proffer short, medium and long-term solutions to these. Data provided by the over 43 studies conducted in the ESA project provided the primary data needed for developing the first national EFA plan in Nigeria which was undertaken in collaboration with the states.

2. Some Strategies used to Implement EFA in Nigeria
Following its Strategic Plan, the Federal Ministry of Education has successfully carried out some of its programs to boost access, and enhance quality in basic education. Thus, it has carried out campaigns to boost access and enrolment, both of which activities were held in the North and in the South to address the challenge of low girl-child and low boy-child enrolment respectively. A committee has also been set up on Madrasah education, and a national framework developed for its operations. Although the campaigns have been carried out, their impacts in terms of enrolment, attendance, and participation have not been assessed.

i. The National EFA Action Plan
Through the EFA, the Government committed itself to the process of instituting an inclusive, empowered, and participatory National EFA Forum and equivalent structures at lower levels within Nigeria. It also pursued the development of a participatory National Action Plan (NAP) which set out the means by which the country would achieve the EFA targets in the context of its own culture, history, and development priorities.

ii. The National EFA Forum/State EFA Forum
A number of activities and programmes were embarked upon in response to the concern for the delivery of Education For All by 2015. In 2001 the National EFA Forum (NEFAF) was
inaugurated to show the commitment by the Nigerian government toward achieving EFA by 2015. The Forum became a consultative and high-level decision-making body on the Education For All process, chaired by the Minister of Education, with Commissioners of Education from the 36 states and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) as members. Other members of the forum were drawn from civil society organizations, Federal Ministry of Education Parastatals that are EFA vehicles, consultants, members of the organized private sector, International Development Partners, and other stakeholders in the education sector.

In collaboration with UNESCO, UNICEF, World Bank, other international development partners and civil society organizations (principally the Civil Society Action Coalition on Education for All (CASCEFA), the Federal Ministry of Education developed plans culminating in the National EFA Forum Workshop of July 2001. The workshop which was held from July 29th - August 1, 2001, brought together over 200 participants, provided a good opportunity for creating awareness and understanding of the context of EFA and the identification of some key constraints to achieving EFA. The workshop was an opportunity for stakeholders to make contributions to the draft EFA National Action Plan. It produced consensus on the framework for coordination, planning, resource mobilization, monitoring, evaluation, and impact assessment of EFA. It also saw the constitution of a participatory and representative National EFA Forum, to be replicated at the State level with membership comprising multi-sectoral representation from government, civil society, the private sector and International Development Partners (IDPs).


The Nigeria EFA Country Report 2001 formed the basis of the National Action Plan (NAP). It set out targets to be achieved by 2015 in pursuit of the EFA goals agreed in Dakar in 2000. For each area, the report set out clear position statements, objectives, targets, indicators and responsibilities for achieving the Dakar goals. The report was built on the principle of participation of critical stakeholders, including civil societies, the private sector, and IDPs in all aspects and at all levels of education in Nigeria.

iv. Yearly EFA Report Card since 2002 to 2013
This is a document produced by EFA Unit of Federal Ministry of Education every year as a report card for all the activities of the Ministry toward achievement of and also highlights challenges encountered in the process towards EFA by 2015. The document is usually used as country report card for High Level Group Meeting (HLGM), now General EFA Meeting (GEM), which Nigeria is a Member.

3. **Some Key Reforms Influenced by EFA**
   
   i. **Launch of the Universal Basic Education (UBE)**
   
   In 1999, in fulfillment of its international obligation to the 1990 Jomtien Declaration, the Federal Government launched the free Universal Basic Education (UBE); subsequently, the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) was established in 2001 while the UBE and other Related Matters Acts were enacted in 2004 to give a legal framework for the operation of UBEC. In addition, the Universal Basic Education Commission was established to achieve uniform and qualitative basic education in the country.

   ii. **Change in National Education system**
   
   In 2012, one year was introduced into the educational system to enhance the achievement of goal 1 in the country. This changed the education system from 6–3–3–4 to 1–6–3–3–4 to establish a ten-year continuing education with three (3) components as follows:
   
   - Early Childhood Care Development Education (ECCDE) - 1 year;
   - Primary Education - 6 years; and
   - Junior Secondary Education - 3 years.

   iii. **Early Childhood Care Development Education**
   
   - Developed a National Policy for the Integrated Early Childhood (IEC);
   - Institutionalized the one year ECD as part of the education system, for establishment of the ECCE Centres in all public and primary schools and ensure stronger foundational transition from pupils to primary schools;
   - Grassroots sensitization, advocacy and mobilization of activities on ECD;
   - Translation of relevant ECCE materials into thirteen (13) indigenous languages including the policy, integrated ECD Policy, the National Curriculum/Training Guide, criteria for establishment of centres and care-givers and Toy-Making manuals.
   - Establishment of community Based Child Centres (CBCC) in five pilot states to promote community participation in the ECCE.

   iv. **Introduction of Almajiri Education**
   
   To integrate basic education into quranic schools and provide access for children at risk of exclusion irrespective of gender, religious background or social status, the Federal government introduced the Almajiri education. 125 model boarding schools have been constructed in 27 States of the Federation.

   v. **Establishment of the National Commission for Nomadic Education (NCNE)**
The Act establishing the NCNE was revised in 2004 in order to streamline the activities of the Commission into UBE. The Commission is empowered by law to provide educational opportunities to the nomadic pastoralists and migrant fisher folks through the provision of basic literacy classes and business/financial management to empower the learners.

vi. Girl-child Education Project (GEP)
This programme is initiated to achieve girl-child related goals and increase enrollment of girls and help them to achieve completion of basic education. The programme is driven in the Northern States in collaboration with UNICEF.

vii. Back-to-School Programme for Boys
It is initiated to address the problem of large rate of school drop-out among boys in the South-East and South-South States. Special basic education schools that would incorporate vocational skills relevant to the socio-economic environment of the concerned states are being constructed for implementation.

viii. Support For Special Needs Education Programme (SNEP)
This is aimed at promoting inclusive education and increase access to basic education for children with special needs. Under the programme, special needs schools are being established and a National Diagnostic and Assessment Centre for special children was established in the FCT to screen, assess and place children with special needs in schools. Also, the Government through the UBEc provided financial support to the tune of N2, 077, 196, 805.00 to 348 public and provide school providers to expand the programme and provide necessary facilities.

ix. Establishment of the Nigerian Education Management Information System (NEMIS)
In 2007, the Government of Nigeria established the Nigerian Education Management Information System (NEMIS) to generate data for effective planning and monitoring of education programmes.

x. School Based Management Committees (SBMC)
Establishment of School Based Management Committees (SBMC) to improve school governance.

xi. Adult and Non-Formal Education
Establishment of a 4-year programme on Revitalizing Adult and Youth Literacy in Nigeria (2011 – 2015), aimed at strengthening the capacity of national institutions to design and deliver quality literacy programmes. The programme is targeted at youth and adults with special attention for the difficult to reach groups such as girls, women and integration of skills development and wealth creation.

xii. Quality
✓ Teacher Development;
✓ Professionalization of the teaching profession and establishment of minimum standards of teaching. Accredited courses and programmes of teacher training in 33 institutions to ensure compliance with the national minimum standards;
✓ Curriculum review of teacher training institutions and development of National Benchmark for uniform context of curriculum of teacher training institutions;
✓ Integration of ICTs into teaching programme;
✓ Federal Teachers’ Scheme (FTS) established to address the challenges of teacher shortage and distribution of quality teachers in the basic education. It is a 2-year programme for employed NCE graduates which provide opportunity for internship preparatory to formal engagement into the teaching profession. In 2013, 15,000 FTS teachers were recruited (10,000 for Primary and 5,000 for Junior Secondary Schools).
✓ Accreditation of courses and programmes of 33 teacher training institutions by 2013.
✓ Restoration of the Technical Teachers Training Programme (TTTP);
✓ Institution of regular in-service training programmes including proficiency in ICT skills.


xiv. Review of School Curriculum to incorporate among other things, vocational subjects at the primary level and vocational and entrepreneurial skills; aimed at enhancing the quality of education and bridging the gap between education acquired and the current demands of the labour.


4. Successes
   a. Access
      i. Increased access and enrolment of children aged 3-5 years in public pre-primary schools nationwide. The pupils enrolment in ECCDE centres increased from 1,510,974 in 2009 to 2,994,734 in 2013 (an increase of 98% per cent).
      ii. Increase in the number of public ECCDE centres from 20,698 in 2009 to 30,901 in 2013 (50% of 61,305 total primary schools). The increase has impacted positively in the number of pupil’s enrollment and transition to primary level.
      iii. Increase in pupil enrollment in public primary schools from 21,857,011 in 2009 to 24,071,559 in 2013 (10 per cent increase)
      iv. Student enrollment in public JS Schools in the year 2009 was 3,107,287 while that of 2013 was 4,219,679 (35 per cent increase). The shortfall between 2012 and 2013 enrollment could be as a result of issues arising from disarticulation problem junior and senior secondary schools.
      v. 10 adult literacy centres were established in each of the 774 LGAs in the Federation in addition to the existing ones.
      vi. Reduction in number of out-of-school children.

b. Equity
   i. Improvement in gender parity index
      ✓ ECCDE: 2009 and 2012 had the highest rate of 0.97 while 2010 and 2013 recorded the same figure of 0.96 each;
Primary schools: 2013 had the highest gender parity index of 0.91 while year 2010 had the east with 0.85; and
JSS: record shows 2010 had the least with 0.79 while year 2012 had 0.80.

c. Quality
i. Instructional materials: provision of 35 million textbooks in 4 core subjects to all public schools from 2009 to date.
ii. Enhanced learning environment through massive construction and rehabilitation of infrastructure such as classrooms, e-libraries and laboratories in public schools in order to create conducive teaching and learning.

5. Effectiveness of National Education Strategies
The successes recorded in the implementation of these strategies can be attributed to some of the following:
- Federal Government commitment to annual release of the 2% Consolidated Revenue Fund (CRF) to improve service delivery of Basic Education which has encouraged investment in the Sector by other levels of Government;
- Progressive increase in Government budgetary allocation to education sector although still inadequate because of the huge population and inherent challenges of including attitudinal and socio-economic issues;
- Strategic partnership and collaboration with IDPs including UNESCO, UNICEF, World Bank, USAID, DFID and other donor countries. Others include corporate private sector, civil society, NGOs, the Media and other stakeholders; etc.

6. Constraints
- Inadequate funding of the education sector especially NFE;
- Inadequate number of well-trained ECCD teachers/caregivers and dearth of qualified teachers;
- Risk of exclusion of children in rural, the hard to reach areas and children with special needs in education programmes;
- Insecurity in some parts of the country which may truncate successes recorded in school enrollment;
- Inadequate and inaccurate data for effective planning and monitoring etc.

7. Solution
- Prioritization of the education sector by all level of Government.
- Increased funding of the education sector.
- Enhance teacher development programmes.
- Innovative sensitization and advocacy activities to encourage enrollment, retention and solicit technical and financial support.
- Promotion of good governance to encourage accountability and fair and just distribution of human, materials and financial resources to especially, benefit to the vulnerable and those at the grassroots.
- Creation of security consciousness among school personnel, pupils and students.
– Government at all levels should strengthen security measures to ensure safety of live and property.
– Develop the capacity of personnel and ensure adequate funding of NEMIS to generate data for the sector as and when due.

8. Conclusion
The Federal Government remains committed to leveraging available resources and support of stakeholders in the education sector, not only to ensure quality education for all our learners but also scale-up our actions to meet the EFA goals set targets.
SECTION 5 - Financing Basic Education in Nigeria

1. **Introduction**

Government’s constitutional responsibility is the promotion of education for all, that is, education is a shared responsibility of the three tiers of Nigeria’s government: Federal, State and the Local government. In view of this, the Federal Government has the responsibility to ensure quality control, maintenance of minimum standard as well as general coordination of programme implementation.

In demonstration of its commitment to this mandate, the Federal Government set aside some percentages of its Consolidated Revenue Fund (CRF) to Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) from 2005, with the passage of (UBEC) Act in May, 2004.

The release of the first tranche of UBE Fund in 2005 clearly demonstrated government’s commitment to play an active role in assisting state in the implementation of the UBE programme. From the first quarter of 2010, the commission received and disbursed to the states and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), on equal basis, the sum of one hundred and nineteen billion, forty seven million, six hundred and seventy five thousand, one hundred eighty seven naira, ninety eighty kobo. (₦190,470,675, 187.98k.) to address issues of access, equity and quality in the delivery of Basic Education.

2. **Funding And Other Financial Issues**

The prescriptive **nature of how UBE fund and counter resources may be used** has contributed to lack of ownership at the state level.

- Most of the UBE intervention fund’s allocation is equal for all states, regardless of number of students and incidence of poverty. This appears inequity Unified, National Education Systems rely on funding allocation formula to ensure that resources are distributed equally and in accordance with specific educational priorities;
- The under-utilization of UBE funds has become a major challenge in the implementation of the UBE programme. Several reasons have been given for non-accessibility of funds;
- Insufficient consultation with the states in designing and implementation of the UBE programme including project selection;
- Inadequate policy coordination across the three tiers of government in the implementation of the UBE programme;
- Lack of capacity within states to use funds in accordance with guideline;
- Apart from weak capacity in accessing the funds, many state governments misappropriate or misplay funds released to them;
- In 2007, the independent corrupt practices and other related offences commission (ICPC) recovered ₦2.31 billion misappropriated from SUBEB across the country. The recovered
Fund was part of a total of ₦3.31 billion either embezzled or diverted to projects other than those of the UBE in the thirty six states (Punch Newspaper, Friday, April 10 2009 and Editorial);

- Complex conditions for accessing the funds and associated bureaucracy;
- Lack of capacity and political will at Federal level to amend guidelines in light of experience and to drive through disbursements;
- The suspension of disbursement due to “Sharp practices” in expenditure, which has occurred in several states;
- Lack of willingness of states to give counterpart funding for matching grants;
- Pace of disbursement on the part of UBEC which has been subject to major delays. The fact that quarterly allocations can be rolled over indefinitely does not provide a strong incentive for state government to commit their matching funding.

3. **Accumulated Un-Accessed Funds.**

Funds allocated and not accessed have become another major challenges that confronts the UBE programme. It is on record that between 2000 and 2008, the sum of ₦22.6 million (Mohmood Yakubu publication 2009) that had been allocated to some public tertiary institutions, states ministries of Education and Universal Basic Education Board(UBEB) by the Education Trust Fund have not been accessed.

Technical and Vocational Education and training is not a cheap programme to run therefore concurrent provision is seriously hampered by lack of equipment around Technical Colleges while the (VEIs) are equally not yet freely available. There must be increased funding of fund to this sub-sector to enable acquisition of the equipment that are crucial for practical training.

Five percent (5%) of the fund allocated to the Universal Basic Education Commission (UBEC) is made available for the funding of ECCDE initiatives. Funds are also made available for Care-givers.

4. **Debt Relief Gains**

Nigeria’s negotiation of debt relief in 2005, with huge burden of US $19,293,207,575 wiped off Nigeria’s debt profile. Hence, a rebut mechanism for executing this initiative was put in place with the establishment of the office of Senior Special Assistant to the President on MDGs, to oversee the utilization of the funds for pro-poor projects and coordinate Nigeria efforts in the quest to attain the MDGs by 2015.

The disbursement of the DRGs funds commenced with the 2006 Federal Budgetary Appropriation. Since then, a number of programmes and projects were executed with DRGs with Education constituting a key sector.
A total sum of N111, 850, 284, 694.00 was appropriated from 2006-2013.

5. **Education Related MDGs**

The millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Debt Relief Gains (DRGs) funded project initiatives in the Education sector are focused on the issues of quality, access, equity and relevance. Thus, MDGs intervention in Education is adopted as framework for responding to the challenges of access to quality education. It is therefore, focused towards achieving quality improving access and ensuring equity in the sector.

These project initiatives are guided by two MDGs goals that relate to the sector’s mandate.

These are:

(a) Achieve the Universal Primary Education - goal 2; and

(b) Promote Gender Equality to Empower Women – goal-3.

6. **Conditional Grants Scheme**

The Conditional Grants Scheme (CGS) is the flagship of office of the Senior Special Assistant to the President on MDGs.

It deployed funds to sub-National governments through a unique funding arrangement which leverages extra funding from states and LGAs.

The Conditional Grants Scheme is able to intervene in supply side of education market through the following:

1. Construction and furnishing of classroom;
2. Construction and equipping of libraries;
3. Construction and equipping of computer centres;
4. Renovation/Rehabilitation of school building;
5. Supply of instructional materials like textbooks, writing materials and

The Conditional Grants Scheme is also able to stimulate the uptake of provided infrastructure and services boosting the demand side through: Conditional Cash Transfer (CCT) to ensure enrollment and attendance in schools under the Social Safety Net Programme (SSNP).
### Figure 4.2: MDGs-DRGs Funds For Education Sector: Funding Trend Of MDGs/DRGs Appropriation To Education Sector From 2006-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total DRGs Appropriation (₦)</th>
<th>Total DRGs Released (₦)</th>
<th>% Released Out of Total Appropriation</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>18,221,707,736.00</td>
<td>15,000,000,000.00</td>
<td>82.32%</td>
<td>₦3.2 billion mopped up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>15,353,043,361.00</td>
<td>5,734,086,672.00</td>
<td>37.35%</td>
<td>₦9.6 billion mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>13,631,400,260.00</td>
<td>8,681,400,000.00</td>
<td>63.69%</td>
<td>₦4.9 billion mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9,650,479,814.00</td>
<td>9,300,000,000.00</td>
<td>96.37%</td>
<td>₦350.5 million mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>14,500,371,130.00</td>
<td>11,502,892,000.00</td>
<td>79.33%</td>
<td>₦3.0 billion mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>13,892,149,483.00</td>
<td>8,519,364,737.75</td>
<td>61.33%</td>
<td>₦5.4 billion mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>16,147,759,184.00</td>
<td>8,616,553,463.00</td>
<td>53.36%</td>
<td>₦5.2 billion mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>11,984,236,490.00</td>
<td>6,785,778,989.00</td>
<td>56.62%</td>
<td>₦5.2 billion mopped up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRANT TOTAL</td>
<td>113,381,147,728.40</td>
<td>74,140,076,122.58</td>
<td>65.40%</td>
<td>₦39,241,071,606.00 mopped up.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A Critical look at the funding trend in education sector from inception of MDGs-DRGs funds from 2006-2013 revealed that Federal Government through the office of the Senior Special Assistant to the president on the MDGs has invested a huge sum of DRGs funds (₦113,381,147,728.40) for various MDGs interventions in the sector.

Table 4.2 revealed that the appropriation in 2007 was ₦15, 353, 043, 361.00 out of which only ₦5,734,086,672.00 (37.35%) was released and ₦9.6 billion was returned to treasury. Also in 2013 ₦11,984,236,490.00 was appropriated and ₦6,785,778,989.00 (56.62%).

However, a good trend was only witness in 2009 with highest released of 96.37%. Generally, the major bottleneck as shown in table 4.2, that seems to create gap in funding of this goals (MDGs-2) are issue of poor/ non releases and mopped up of the funds at the end of every fiscal year.

Others are:
Poor utilization, transparency and accountability in the management of funds by the FME and its parastatals that implement the MDGs-DRGs funded projects and programmes in the sector;
Non-prioritization of MDGs-DRGs funds to other activities of the Ministry;
Diversion of MDGs-DRGs funds to other activities of the Ministry;
Delay in procurement process;
Non-compliance with the work plan and initial proposal.

All these funding challenges create gap towards the achievement of these goals in the sector.

7. **Sources Of Fund**
The sources of funding basic education in Nigeria at the Federal level as provided by the UBEC Act of 2004 are categorized into three:
- Federal Government block grant of not less than 2% of its Consolidated Revenue Fund (CRF);
- Funds or Contributions in form of Federal guaranteed credit, and
- Local and International donor grants.

The block grant of 2% of CRF is disbursed to state government. However, there are key conditions to be met by states in order to draw from the fund. These include:
- The existence of a state UBE law; and
- Contribution of matching grant and development action plans.

8. **Release Of The UBE Fund**
A reviewed sharing formula for the 2% CRF which is disbursed for the execution of the UBE programmes are as follows:

- Matching Grant to state ................................................................. 50%
- Education imbalance ................................................................. 14%
- Good performance ................................................................. 5%
- Physical and mentally challenged ............................................ 2%
- UBE Implementation ............................................................... 2%
- UBE Monitoring ................................................................. 2%
- Instructional materials (formerly school feeding) ...................... 15%
- Teacher Professional Development ........................................... 10%
- TOTAL ............................................................................. 100%

- Matching Grant
This is the fund contributed by both Federal and the State Governments on equal basis. States are particularly required to match the Federal Governments contribution by providing their counterpart funding in order to draw from UBE fund. This is to ensure that states do not rely exclusively on the Federal Governments’ intervention fund for implementing the programme.

Some other funds such as Debt Relief Gains (DRGs), MDGs funds and ETF interventions have also been utilized by some states to make provision in Basic Education delivery. These provisions have contributed significantly to improving aspects of quality and enhancing access and equity in the delivery of basic education in Nigeria.

9. Community Self-Initiated

It is a community based self–help initiative designed to provide support towards the execution of priority-based projects in the Public Primary Schools. In implementing the project, benefiting communities are expected to provide counterpart funds in cash or in kind of not less than 10% of the total project cost for each project component. Under the current arrangement, UBEC provides the sum of ₦1,000, 000.00 as funding support to each beneficiary community.

10. Challenges In Financing Basic Education In Nigeria

i.) Slow draw–down of intervention fund by states.

ii.) Low level of budgetary allocation to basic education at state and local government levels for the provision of infrastructure.

iii.) Inadequate budgetary allocation to Agencies of adult and non–formal education and nomadic education to take care of high illiterate adult population and nomadic children.

iv.) Neglect of over 10 million out–of–school children and youth including the Almajiris and children with special needs.

v.) Low level of commitments of some parents, NGOs and private sector to issues relating to basic education.

vi.) Unacceptably rate of unqualified teachers in the system due to low level of training.

vii.) The planned exist of the ETF from basic education funding will deplete the total resources available to the basic education sub –sector by at least #22.00 billion annually.

viii.) Frequent strikes by teachers due largely to labour issues involving salaries and incentives.

ix.) Non absorption of FTS Teachers in the some states of the federation.
x.) Frequent dissolution of SUBEB by states which slow down UBE implementation.

11. **Possible Solutions**

- Clearly define the division of responsibilities among and within the various institutions. Build the capacity of the state ministry of education (SMOEs and LGEAs) which are weak at present.
- Ensure that the National vision is clearly understood by all officials. Outline specific objectives and strategies required to achieve UBE Project.
- Allocate resources more equitably. Ensure more balanced delivery of funds to states and local governments.
- Budgets should be based on clear plans and strategies. Narrow the gap between resources available to managers and head officers and those available to teaching staff and field officers.
- Provide material resources to schools and offices. Construct classrooms, provide educational materials (desks, textbooks, facilities such as toilet and water) especially for LGEAs with resources essential for communication, record-keeping and transportation.
- Create a management culture that promotes productivity. Ensure that human and material resources are fully and efficiently utilized; define clear responsibility for each component and task; and
- Ensure all managers receive project management training immediately.
SECTION SIX – Prospect For Post 2015

1. Introduction
In order to ensure a successful post 2015 basic education agenda the following aspects of basic education delivery will have to be given priority attention. On the basis of the forgone analysis, this section provides the emerging issues that Nigeria aims to address through its basic education programme in order to reach every child with quality learning.

2. Access
The mission of the UBE Commission is the provision of unfettered access to high quality basic education in Nigeria. This means that all the states of the federation are bound by this desire. Although access has greatly improved, Nigeria recognizes that poverty is prominent among the issues that keep many children out of school despite the fact that the UBE Act provides for a free, universal and compulsory education for all school aged children. Thus, continuous mobilization, sensitization and advocacy of parents and guardians to sustain and increase enrolment has continued to receive priority attention.

Sensitization programmes on UBE will be intensified at the local government level and the rural areas. In recognition of the roles of civil society groups in reaching this segment of the population, Government is partnering with private and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) so that the children currently roaming the streets would see the need for their being in school. This sensitization would lead to construction and renovation of additional classrooms and expanded role for private schools. Expanding access would mean the provision and establishment of new schools for disadvantaged children and other such groups. Already, some states are exploring the public private partnership (PPP) options for increasing access, enhancing quality and increasing efficiency.

3. Quality
Government is also addressing quality issues by strengthening teacher quality and quantity, review of the curriculum, improving inspection/supervision and provision of adequate instructional materials. Government has designed programmes that will:
employ adequate number of qualified professional teachers and education managers including head teachers;
provide capacity development of existing personnel, especially teachers and management staff;
transform the Inspectorate service to Quality Assurance as already obtained in some States such as Ondo;
comply with the approved 1:35 teacher/pupil ratio at primary and secondary school levels;
provide adequate school infrastructure, equipment and materials, laboratories, workshops and libraries;
ensure that pupils are not overcrowded while learning, but there should be allowance for relaxation by constructing adequate number of classrooms with quality furniture and other items necessary for strengthening teaching/learning process;
provide special incentives to attract and retain competent teachers in rural areas and difficult terrains;
improve teachers’ welfare such as improvement of salary and regular promotion;
empower school inspectors through regular training, seminars and workshops;
establish a data bank and the required facilities to keep inspection reports and other relevant statistics about schools and teachers;
introduce the concept of ‘super-teachers through the absorption of retired teachers who are willing to give more of their skills to serving teachers; and among others,
encourage and empower head-teachers to see their work as including overseeing their teachers and supporting them in performing their work effectively. The quality of instruction begins with the interaction that takes place in the classroom between the teacher and his/her pupils.

4. **Equity**
The challenge of equity in education has been attributed to many social problems at both societal and family levels. These problems are also being addressed through programmes that are offered in collaboration with other sectors outside education in welfare and women affairs ministries. Despite gains that have been made in some states, the Government of Nigeria and education stakeholders have also begun to focus on educational and social interventions that will improve
the conditions of schools in rural areas by providing comparable and equally competitive facilities and equipments. Government is also embarking on sensitization and advocacy programmes in order to increase parental awareness and raise the level of access to special schools. Programmes have also been initiated to increase the economic status of parents in rural areas by promoting traditional economic skills (e.g. weaving, carving, fishing, construction of good roads between rural and urban areas, provision of social amenities in rural communities, provision of information on economic opportunities). Government also plans to:

- enforce policy guidelines on schools location in relation to equity.
- ensure that learners with special needs are given adequate attention in order to remove inadequate access of special learners. This includes the recognition and inclusion of Autism as an area of special focus for education whereby ‘Autism Spectrum Disorders is real and the establishment of Diagnostic Centres is a panacea for early intervention and placement options’ (57th meeting held from 21st to 25th February, 2011, in Sokoto, NCE).
- enact appropriate law against stigmatization and discrimination of physically challenged children and providing customized facilities for them in all structures and facilities;
- enforce the provision of UBE Act on school aged children found out of school;
- provide special schools and qualified (special) teachers to cater for the special pupils;
- establish new schools to provide for the growing number of schools required for only girls schools to encourage the girl-child in areas where cultural differences are impeding co-education. Feeding arrangement for pupils/students should be introduced and sustained by state governments;
- through UBEC/SUBEB/LGEA, embark on ‘holistic’ mobilization of parents and the communities on the objectives of UBE and the importance of girl-child education as well as that of the physically challenged. This is recognition of the intent of the policy that “Parents should be more enlightened and/all compelled by law to allow their female children to complete education before marriage”.
- provide means of transport (school buses should be secured by relevant authorities to convey pupils/students to distant schools, especially girls as an inducement.

In addition to enhancing access, quality and equity Government recognizes the need for mobilizing state support to utilize make their own financial contributions to the UBEC funds that
they receive. This will enhance the draw-down of these funds and ensure that quality education is available to all children.

Continuous conduct of the school census of all schools in Nigeria including those privately owned and those considered out of school, is also recognized as an issue that will be tackled to strengthen the data management capacities at the national, state and local government levels. Strict compliance to the NEMIS policy is recognised as an issue that must be enforced to resolve the dearth of data.

Government is also laying greater emphasis on technical and vocational education especially at JSS level to prepare students for lifelong learning through the acquisition of technical skills useful to themselves and the society, in which they live, will be added impetus to successful implementation of post 2015 UBE programme.

5. Conclusion
The Government of Nigeria is already focusing on the critical areas outlined in the section which are being embedded into new initiatives being introduced in the UBE programme. It is expected that policy focus on learning outcomes in order to address the gaps observed in education provision. Towards this end, early childhood care and education is receiving adequate attention in recognition of the head start it provides in overall learning. It is also expected that skills will dominate in the post 2015 agenda for Nigeria to build on the extensive introduction of skills into the secondary education curriculum while adequate attention would be required to enhance transition and completion rates. Provision of education of good quality will also be enhanced by strengthening all avenues of education delivery both formal and non-formal, provision of learning materials and strengthening the capacity of teachers and facilitators of learning in schools and non-formal learning centres respectively.
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